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Summary

Questions under study: Various studies have repeatedly shown an increased prevalence for Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) in delinquents when compared with the general population. Lifetime prevalence varies between 33% and 36%, and point prevalence between 17% and 21%.

The aim of this study was to examine whether these findings are applicable to offenders detained in Switzerland.

Methods: The sample consisted of 86 offenders. In order to control for over-reporting of traumatic life events three sub-samples (remand, sentenced/inpatient and sentenced/outpatient) administered by the Office of Corrections of the Canton of Zurich were examined. PTSD was diagnosed using the Posttraumatic Diagnostic Scale (PDS), a self-rating instrument for diagnosing PTSD according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Version IV (DSM-IV).

Results: Point prevalence of PTSD was conservatively estimated at 27%. The three sub-samples did not differ in the prevalence of PTSD when adjusted for potential demographic differences. Seventy-five percent of the subjects had experienced at least one traumatic event that matched the criteria for a traumatic event according to the DSM-IV. The Median number of traumatising life events according to the PDS was four in the examined sample.

Conclusions: In this sample of male prisoners in Switzerland the prevalence of current PTSD was comparable to other international studies. The limitations and implications of these findings were discussed.
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Introduction

Numerous studies focusing on the psychopathology and biography of offenders have concluded that delinquents have an increased prevalence for psychological disorders compared to the general population [1–4] and are more frequently exposed to traumatic life events [5–8].

Dutton and Hart [5] investigated a Canadian prison sample and found that 31% of the inmates were victims of physical abuse in childhood and adolescence, 11% suffered from sexual abuse, and 13% said they had been neglected or had witnessed abusive behaviour between their parents. Lake [6] reported that 11% of prison inmates were victims of a sexual assault. Furthermore they found that nearly three-quarters (74%) of the study sample had at one time been physically assaulted by a stranger, with 41% of them needing medical treatment as a consequence.

Concerning the general victimisation rate, Weeks and Widom [7] reported a considerably higher prevalence of victimisation in a New York state prison sample of 68%. Sixteen percent reported having been neglected, 14% reported sexual abuse and 34–58% reported physical abuse (depending on the examination instrument). A similar result was reported by Gibson et al. [8], with 68% of the inmates of a US penitentiary previously exposed to a traumatising situation.

As it’s a well-known finding that sexual abuse and rape are especially high risk factors for developing Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) [9, 10], the elevated rate of PTSD in delinquents compared to the general population is not surprising [1, 3, 4, 11, 12]. Representative statistics of the prevalence of traumatic experiences and PTSD in the general US population showed a lifetime prevalence of PTSD between 8% and 10% [9, 10]. This figure is considerably lower for European countries: a recent study with a representative random sample of non-institutionalised inhabitants from six European countries (Belgium, France, Germany, Italy, the Netherlands, and Spain) showed a lifetime prevalence of 1.9% (males: 0.9%, and females: 2.9%) [13].
In US penitentiaries, the lifetime prevalence of PTSD in male detainees is 33% [11]. These results were the basis for a study by Gibson et al. [8], who corroborated the lifetime prevalence of 33% for developing PTSD. At the time of the survey, 21% met PTSD criteria and 68% had experienced a traumatic event. Those who met PTSD criteria at one point in their life named having witnessed a violent assault, rape or physical violence to be the most disturbing events experienced, followed by being raped and experiencing other forms of physical violence.

One of the few studies investigating European offenders examined 54 delinquents detained in German forensic psychiatric institutions (according to paragraphs 63 and 64 of the German Penal Code) and found a lifetime prevalence of 36% for PTSD and a point prevalence of 17% [12]. Lifetime prevalence for PTSD was 56% in delinquents who had had at least one traumatic experience. The most frequent traumatic experience was victimisation during childhood (sexual or physical abuse), accounting for 35%, followed by self-committed offences.

As stated in the introduction, various analyses repeatedly showed that offenders reveal an increasing prevalence for PTSD and associated symptoms when compared with the general population. This paper asks whether these findings are applicable to offenders detained in Switzerland. We hypothesised that the prevalence of PTSD among prisoners in Swiss prisons is comparable to the prevalence rates reported in US investigations.

Method

Sample

The study sample was composed of 86 male offenders administered between November 2003 and April 2004 by the Office of Corrections of the Canton of Zurich, Switzerland. Recently it has been shown that the selection of the sample is of particular importance in offender studies and that different predictors of recidivism are found for sanction and verdict samples [14].

The present study was intended to analyse several groups of offenders of different legal status, namely: remand prisoners (group 1: remand), convicted offenders receiving therapy within a correctional facility (group 2: sentenced/inpatient), and convicted offenders receiving therapy on an outpatient basis (group 3: sentenced/outpatient).

A further reason for selecting three different groups was that offenders might be motivated to different degrees to report stressful life experiences, which could lead to over-reporting or even under-reporting of symptoms [15]. It is hypothesised that this strategic reporting behaviour would be different for the three different offender groups, depending on whether the offender is at a stage in the legal process at which he might hope that the reporting of traumatic experiences would have an impact on his sentence or probational status. Accordingly, if a difference in reporting were to be found, it is argued that most over-reporting would be found in the remand group, as the likelihood of reporting having an influence on their situation (i.e. the impending verdict) would be the highest.

A basic knowledge of the German language was a prerequisite for participating in the study. Women were excluded from the study because it is known that men and women differ with regard to PTSD prevalence [9, 10, 16].

For the group of remand prisoners, all male inmates with at least basic knowledge of German in Zurich's largest remand prison ("Bezirksgefängnis Zürich") were asked to participate in the study. In 2001, the number of foreigner among inmates amounted to 80%, which amounted to 122 of 152 prisoners. During the study-period, 28 inmates were sufficiently fluent in German and were asked to participate: 3 refused and 25 agreed. This group had not yet been convicted or assigned a clear legal status (remand group n = 25).

A second and third group consisted of convicted offenders undergoing treatment. All therapists of the Office of Corrections of the Canton of Zurich were obliged to ask their clients whether they wanted to participate, provided that the therapeutic process allowed it and they were sufficiently fluent in German. At the time of the investigation there were 102 offenders in treatment guided by the Psychiatric-Psychological Service of the Office of Corrections, which is the only service that is entitled to provide psychotherapy in the penitentiary and in the prisons of the Canton of Zurich. Of these 102 offenders 72 agreed to participate. Exclusion criteria were psychotic disorders, suicidal ideations, and severe intramural violent infractions that led to solitary confinement, which decreased the sample further to 61 subjects. Half of these offenders were detained in Switzerland’s largest state penitentiary, the Zurich cantonal state penitentiary “Poschwies” (sentenced/inpatient, n = 31) and were undergoing court-ordered therapy. The other half were non-detained delinquents (sentenced/outpatient, n = 30). This group consisted of convicted delinquents undergoing therapy – by court-order or voluntarily – as post-sentence treatment, while on parole or during a prison sentence suspended in favour of therapy.

Diagnostic Assessment

PTSD was diagnosed with the help of the PDS (Post-traumatic Diagnostic Scale). The PDS [17] is a self-rating scale with which the degree of trauma can be quantified and PTSD can be diagnosed. The PDS measures PTSD symptomatology experienced by the client 1 month prior to the assessment and is similar to the operationalisation of PTSD in the DSM-IV. Consequently the PDS is a conservative measure of PTSD since DSM-IV criteria are more narrowly understood than ICD-10 criteria [18].

The DSM-IV requires operationalised event factors and the specific emotional reactions of a person upon them. According to the DSM-IV, PTSD exists if a person shows any symptoms of the three clusters of re-experiencing, avoidance behaviour and over-excitation after a traumatic event (one which corresponds with the definition of trauma), provided these symptoms have occurred for at least one month and led to impairment or suffering [19]. According to the DSM-IV, an event is classified as traumatic if a person has experienced or witnessed a life-threatening event or an event which endangers the phys-
Socio-demographic characteristics of the study sample

The delinquents were 38.7 years old on average, the individual age ranged between 18 and 62. Seventy-seven subjects agreed to provide information on their professional training. Thirty-two percent (n = 25) did not complete their professional training or were unskilled workers, 39% (n = 30) were blue-collar and 29% (n = 22) white-collar workers. In table 1 information on vocational training and age of the offender population of Switzerland’s largest state penitentiary (“Pöschwies”) is provided as a comparison to the offender sample of the present study.

Prevalence of traumatic life events

More than half of the delinquents examined had experienced a serious accident (63%, n = 54), 35% (n = 30) of the subjects had experienced a violent assault by a family member, and 53% (n = 46) a violent assault by a stranger. Ten percent (n = 9) had experienced sexual assault by a family member and 16% (n = 14) by a stranger; 14% (n = 12) stated they had experienced torture. 93% of the study sample had a history of incarceration, which was usually reported as the most traumatic experience, i.e. the index event.

Almost all the subjects examined (99%) had experienced at least one potentially traumatic event according to the PDS list. The median of stressful experiences according to the PDS amounted to 4 events for the total sample with an interquartile range of 2 to 6 events.

Prevalence of PTSD

In 75% of the subjects, the most stressful event at the time of evaluation met the DSM-IV criteria for an event that may trigger PTSD. The diagnostic criteria for PTSD were met in one-third of the cases (34%, n = 29).

Especially in detained offenders there is a danger of overestimating PTSD prevalence by attributing symptoms of adjustment disorder or reaction to imprisonment to PTSD. Therefore the index event “imprisonment” was disregarded for diagnosis in order to obtain a more conservative estimate of PTSD prevalence. If “imprisonment” was excluded 63% of subjects experienced an index event according to DSM-IV. Due to this change, the prevalence of PTSD diagnosis was reduced to 27% (n = 23).

In the three sub-samples the following prevalences of conservative estimation of PTSD (namely without the index event “imprisonment”) were found: the subjects of the remand group showed the largest proportion of PTSD (32%), while in the inpatient treatment group this proportion was at its lowest (25%) and a good fourth of the offenders in outpatient treatment met PTSD criteria (26%).

When adjusted for age and vocational training, the differences of PTSD prevalence (conser-
In summary, it can be stated that various international studies support the emergence of an increased prevalence for psychological disorders in delinquents [1, 3, 4, 24]. The prevalence of PTSD among offenders has less frequently and only recently been examined. However, these studies too found an increased prevalence of PTSD in delinquents [8, 11, 12]. It has been the aim of this analysis to check whether these results also apply to Switzerland.

Nearly two-thirds of the subjects had experienced at least one traumatic event (excluding imprisonment), which met the criteria for a traumatic event according to the DSM-IV.

At the time of the analysis, one third of the participants fulfilled the diagnostic criteria for PTSD according to the DSM-IV. The diagnostic criteria of PTSD and “adjustment disorder” overlap to some degree. In order to obtain a robust estimate of the PTSD prevalence it may be sensible to adjust the diagnostic algorithm by omitting “imprisonment” as the most strenuous experience. However, this procedure only seems conclusive in subjects who stated “imprisonment” (meaning their current imprisonment) as the most stressful event at the time of the evaluation (index-incident). If no PTSD is assumed for this group, the prevalence of PTSD drops from 34% to 27%.

Based on the present data, it cannot be stated conclusively whether a minor part of the prevalence found may be better explained by the diagnosis of an adjustment disorder. Thus we assume a point
PTSD-prevalence of 27% in the delinquents examined.

Like other international studies, we can assume that even though there are no representative results for the general population of Switzerland, the prevalence among offenders is considerably elevated. Furthermore the prevalence is slightly higher than in other international studies among offenders, where point prevalence was recorded between 17% [12] and 21% [8].

It may be assumed that the present analysis overestimated the PTSD prevalence. Causes may be a false interpretation of the surveys by the people tested, or deliberately false answers. An argument against the assumption that the prevalence was overestimated due to misunderstanding of the questions is that the questionnaires were filled out together with a psychologist during a clinical interview [23].

It may be possible that the subjects gave incorrect answers deliberately, expecting advantages by reporting traumagenic experiences. However, the data were collected anonymously, and additional characteristics (such as offences and police records etc.) were not taken into account, to make it impossible for the recorded information to be subsequently attributed to the subjects, and to reduce the likelihood of such a distortion. In doing so, the processes were arranged so that the strict anonymity of the data collection was made transparent and comprehensible to the participants. If strategic predication behaviour is assumed despite this process, it would seem chiefly plausible in the remand participants, who may have hoped to take advantage of it for an impending court case.

Such an interest would hardly be justified in prison inmates and even less so in delinquents who have already served their sentence. It might therefore be assumed that the three groups of delinquents examined (remand, sentenced/inpatient, and sentenced/outpatient) differ with regard to the prevalence of PTSD, if strategic predication behaviour has an influence on the prevalence of PTSD recorded. Since there was no significant difference between the three groups neither in regard to median number of reported stressful life events nor in regard to prevalence of PTSD, it seems unlikely that any predication behaviour had been strategically motivated. However, the association between traumatic life events (or PTSD) and offending is less clear. There are different approaches to explaining the frequent occurrence of delinquent behaviour after victimisation experiences. Depending on the approach, psychological, social (i.e. learned experience) or biological factors are considered the main factors in a circle of violence.

Bandura [25] showed that role-models play a crucial role in learning various social (and aggressive) behaviour. Bandura’s social learning model [25] can be applied to delinquent behaviour after victimisation experiences. As victims, future delinquents learn that violence poses a legitimate instrument for establishing oneself. The conclusion that numerous primary families of delinquents display psychiatric disorders and delinquent behaviour may be an indication for a genetic predisposition for criminal behaviour [26, 27]. Adshead [28] points out that the high rate of traumatic events and abuse detainees experienced during childhood may indirectly increase the chance of criminal actions later on, particularly in men in the case of violent delinquency. This assumption is supported by Rivera and Widom [29]; in a prospective study, they compared the later delinquency of 908 individuals who had been sexually abused or neglected before the age of twelve with a matched random study of 667 individuals who had not been abused or neglected. Those abused or neglected during childhood showed a higher risk for violent delinquency and began their criminal career at an earlier stage. In the Dutton and Hart [5] study, those inmates who were abused had a three-fold increased risk of violent offences, and sexually abused inmates a five-fold risk of sexual offences. A longitudinal study [29] investigating a sample of 908 abused children supported this finding: in abused children, the risk of offending was considerably elevated and offending occurred earlier. In a German sample of 54 inmates of a forensic institution, 9% of the patients reported that their own offence triggered the PTSD. So far, it has been unclear how to explain the significantly higher prevalence of PTSD in delinquents. On the one hand, it may be possible that persons suffering from PTSD (or stressful experiences) are more likely to commit an offence. On the other, delinquents may be more likely to develop PTSD after an offence. The results of this analysis cannot provide an explanation for this.

Overall, we can assume that 27% of the examined group of delinquents showed PTSD at the time of the examination. Even though the prevalence rate is robust across sub-populations in Switzerland and comparable to international results, the question whether this finding is representative for the general offender population in Switzerland has to be addressed in future investigations.

Further analyses will focus particularly on the frequency and type of potentially traumagenic experiences and the beginning of PTSD in delinquents convicted in Switzerland; the role of their own offence in developing PTSD should receive particular attention.
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