Decompressive craniectomy after middle cerebral artery infarction

Retrospective analysis of patients treated in three centres in Switzerland
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Summary

Questions under study/principles: Several studies have reported an improved outcome in patients presenting with complete middle cerebral artery (MCA) infarction treated by decompressive hemi-craniectomy. Although this palliative treatment form has gained popularity in Switzerland since 2000, the results of these series have not been reported. The aim of this study is, firstly, to report factors influencing the outcome of our patients, in order to create awareness of the indications and decision-making processes in our departments, and, secondly, to analyse therapeutic strategies which are open to improvement and standardisation.

Methods: This retrospective study included a total of 28 patients (age 51 ± 12 years) who underwent decompressive craniectomy after MCA between January 2000 and May 2002 at the Departments of Neurosurgery of Aarau (n: 6), Bern (n: 10), and Zurich (n: 12). Demographic characteristics included preoperative clinical condition (NIHSS and GCS), timing of surgery, cause, location, and extension of infarction. Additionally, the time delay from the onset of symptoms to surgery and preoperative signs of herniation and their relation to final outcome was analysed. The final outcome was assessed in terms of mortality and scores such as modified Rankin scale and Barthel index.

Results: The preoperative clinical condition according to NIHSS was 20.2 ± 4.7 and GCS was 10.6 ± 3.6. The mean time in hours to surgery after onset of symptoms was 35 ± 24. Twelve patients (42.8%) underwent “early” surgery (within 24 hours) and 21 (75%) suffered non-dominant stroke. The follow-up period was 22 ± 13 months and 17% of the patients died within this period. Outcome did not differ significantly between institutions. The overall mean Barthel index was 47 ± 25 and modified Rankin scale was 4 ± 1.3.

Conclusions: The outcome in patients undergoing decompressive craniectomy after MCA infarction in Switzerland is less favourable than in other series recently reported. Less favourable preoperative clinical condition, inclusion of dominant hemispheric infarction, poorly defined protocols and late involvement of neurosurgeons on these patients’ admission may explain the results.

Key words: cerebral infarction; brain oedema; craniectomy

Introduction

Stroke is the third cause of death and disability in industrialised countries [1]. In Switzerland, 150 per 100 000 population have a stroke in any year [2]. Moreover, 600–800 per 100 000 persons living in Switzerland have survived a stroke. Since stroke units are actively involved in the management of these patients in our country, the decision to treat has gained relevance in regard to direct and indirect costs as well as survivors’ quality of life. According to a recent study, 23% of stroke patients in Switzerland die and 44% need help or assistance in their daily activities [3].

Complete middle cerebral artery (MCA) infarction is a life-threatening condition and occurs in up to 10% of all stroke patients [1]. “Malignant” MCA infarction is defined as an infarction of at least two thirds MCA territory upward [6]. These patients present clinically with severe hemispheric stroke syndrome, including hemiplegia, forced eye and head deviation, and progressive deterioration of consciousness within the first 2 days. Thereafter, symptoms of transtentorial herniation occur within 2–4 days of stroke onset. These patients’ prognosis is poor and mortality is as high as 80% [6, 7].

Conventional therapies for complete MCA infarction, such as mechanical ventilation, osmotherapy, hypothermia and barbiturate administra-
tion have a limited role in stroke patients developing intracranial hypertension [8–10]. Surgical decompression or so-called hemicraniectomy appears to reduce mortality in patients presenting with malignant MCA infarction to 20%, particularly in those undergoing the procedure within 24 hours of onset of symptoms [8]. Patients aged over 60 and patients with speech dominance on the infarcted cerebral hemisphere may have a poorer functional outcome [11]. Although this surgical treatment form has gained popularity in Switzerland since 2000, the results and outcome have not yet been reported. The aim of this study is, firstly, to report factors influencing outcome in our patients, in order to create awareness of the indications and decision-making processes in our departments, and secondly, to analyse therapeutic strategies open to improvement and standardisation.

**Clinical material and methods**

Between January 2000 and May 2003, 28 patients underwent decompressive craniectomy at the Departments of Neurosurgery of the Kantonsspital Aarau (n: 6) and the University Hospitals of Bern (n: 10) and Zurich (n: 12). Since this study is based on a retrospective analysis of patients in three different institutions, no prospective protocol was applied. The criteria and timing of surgery were, therefore, different in each institution. Data sources included patients’ hospital records, rehabilitation summaries, evaluation at outpatient clinics, and personal phone calls to patients or relatives. No exclusion criteria for surgery could be consistently elucidated in this retrospective study. All patients underwent cranial computerised tomography (CCT) immediately prior to surgery. Common criteria for surgery in all institutions included initial CCT findings consistent with a large or malignant MCA infarction (early large parenchymal hypodensity of more than two-thirds of the MCA territory and signs of local brain swelling such as effacement of the sulci and compression of the lateral ventricle) [6] and deterioration of level of consciousness (LOC). Monitoring of intracranial pressure (ICP) was performed by infrared parenchymal catheter (Camino, Integra Life Science Corporation, Plainsboro, NJ, USA) or intracranial pneumatic transducer (Spiegelberg GmbH & Co, Hamburg, Germany). All patients were monitored and treated medically pre- and postoperatively at a neurocritical intensive care unit (NICU) until LOC improved or systemic life-threatening conditions stabilised. Medical treatment included fluid haemostasis with crystalloid and colloid fluids to ensure adequate cerebral perfusion, and standard antioedema treatment such as osmotherapy. Preoperative clinical evaluation included Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) [12] and National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) [13].

Diagnostic workup of patients included ultrasound examination of the extracranial and intracranial vessels by

---

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Feeding                        | 0 = unable  
5 = needs help cutting, spreading butter, etc., or required notified diet  
10 = incontinent                |
| Bathing                        | 0 = dependent  
5 = independent (or in shower) |
| Grooming                       | 0 = needs to help with personal care  
5 = independent face/hair/teeth/shaving (implements provided) |
| Dressing                       | 0 = dependent  
5 = needs help but can do about half unabided  
10 = independent (including buttons, zips, laces, etc.) |
| Bowels                         | 0 = incontinent (or needs to be given enemas)  
5 = occasional accident  
10 = continent                    |
| Bladder                        | 0 = incontinent, or catheterised and unable to manage alone  
5 = occasional accident  
10 = continent                        |
| Toilet Use                     | 0 = dependent  
5 = needs some help, but can do something alone  
10 = independent (on and off, dressing, wiping) |
| Transfers (bed to chair and back) | 0 = unable, no sitting balance  
5 = major help (one or two people, physical), can sit  
10 = minor help (verbal or physical)  
15 = independent |
| Mobility (on level surfaces)   | 0 = immobile or < 50 yards  
5 = wheelchair independent, including corners, >50 yards  
10 = walks with help of one person (verbal or physical) > 50 yards  
15 = independent (but may use any aid; for example, stick) > 50 yards |
| Stairs                         | 0 = unable  
5 = needs help (verbal, physical, carrying aid)  
10 = independent |
| TOTAL                          | 0–100            |
Doppler sonography, magnetic resonance imaging (MR), MR angiography and/or digital subtraction cerebral angiography (DSA), and haematological tests. If documented preoperatively, oral anticoagulation was reverted using vitamin K, fresh frozen plasma, or human prothrombin complex if necessary. Thrombolysis was attempted systemically or intra-arterially according to standard guidelines [10, 14]. Potential risks and benefits of surgical decompression were discussed with the patients or their families. Decompressive craniectomy was defined as “early” if performed within 24 hours of symptom onset and “late” if performed after 24 hours [8]. The surgical technique of decompressive hemicraniectomy has been described elsewhere [8]. At surgery, a bone flap with a diameter of at least 12 centimeters was performed including the frontal, parietal, temporal, and parts of the occipital squamae. After dura incision, a dural patch was applied to allow adequate brain relaxation. The bone was removed and stored frozen until reimplantation 3–6 months later. Resection of infarcted tissue was considered as an adjuvant surgical procedure only in patients treated in Zurich. Postoperatively patients were kept intubated and mechanically ventilated, and were transferred to the NICU until extubation and stabilisation of the neurological condition. Most patients were transferred to a normal ward until rehabilitation was initiated. Clinical outcome was assessed by the modified Rankin scale (RS) (table 2) [15, 16] and Barthel index (BI) (table 1) [17, 18].

All values are expressed as mean ±SD. Data shown in table 3 were not intended for statistical analysis.

### Table 2
Barthel Index [17, 18].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>No symptoms at all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>No significant disability despite symptoms; able to carry out all usual duties and activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Slight disability; unable to carry out all previous activities, but able to look after own affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Moderate disability; requiring some help, but able to walk without assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Moderate severe disability; unable to walk without assistance and unable to attend to own bodily needs without assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Severe disability; bedridden, incontinent and requiring constant nursing care and attention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Dead</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3
Demographic characteristics of 28 patients undergoing decompressive craniectomy after cerebral infarction in Aarau, Bern, and Zurich. Abbreviations: SD: standard deviation, F/U: follow-up, GCS: Glasgow Coma Score (12), NIHSS: National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (13), NCCU: Neurocritical care unit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Zurich</th>
<th>Bern</th>
<th>Aarau</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>12 (42%)</td>
<td>10 (35%)</td>
<td>6 (21%)</td>
<td>28 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male patients)</td>
<td>9 (75%)</td>
<td>8 (80%)</td>
<td>4 (66%)</td>
<td>21 (75%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (mean ±SD)</td>
<td>52 ±13</td>
<td>48 ±9</td>
<td>51 ±13</td>
<td>51 ±12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F/U months (mean ±SD)</td>
<td>24 ±11</td>
<td>21 ±15</td>
<td>19 ±11</td>
<td>22 ±13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCS (mean ±SD)</td>
<td>12.4 ±3</td>
<td>8.7 ±3.8</td>
<td>10 ±2</td>
<td>10.6 ±3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIHSS (mean ±SD)</td>
<td>17.2 ±4.2</td>
<td>22.5 ±4.7</td>
<td>22 ±2</td>
<td>20.2 ±4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time (hours) to surgery</td>
<td>34 ±25</td>
<td>30 ±23</td>
<td>46 ±22</td>
<td>35 ±24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCCU days (mean ±SD)</td>
<td>15 ±9</td>
<td>5 ±2</td>
<td>10 ±3</td>
<td>10.5 ±8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital days (mean ±SD)</td>
<td>43 ±24</td>
<td>15 ±8</td>
<td>23 ±10</td>
<td>26 ±19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barthel index (mean ±SD)</td>
<td>46 ±25</td>
<td>47 ±26</td>
<td>48 ±22</td>
<td>47 ±25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified Rankin scale</td>
<td>3.7 ±1.1</td>
<td>4.3 ±1.4</td>
<td>4.1 ±1.1</td>
<td>4.0 ±1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality (%)</td>
<td>1 (8.3%)</td>
<td>3 (27%)</td>
<td>1 (16%)</td>
<td>5 (17%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timing (% early)</td>
<td>5 (41%)</td>
<td>6 (60%)</td>
<td>2 (33%)</td>
<td>13 (46%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nondominant craniectomy (%)</td>
<td>11 (91.6%)</td>
<td>6 (60%)</td>
<td>4 (66%)</td>
<td>21 (73%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results

A total of 28 consecutive patients (7 women and 21 men) who underwent decompressive craniectomy in the three institutions were included in this study (table 3). The mean age of the patients was 51 ± 12 (range 34 to 72 years). In all patients at least two thirds of the MCA territory infarction was infarcted preoperatively. Additionally, 7 patients (25%) presented with hypodensity in the anterior cerebral artery (ACA) territory documented in CCT prior to surgery. Interestingly, the latter was not considered as a preoperative diagnosis in 4 of the 7 patients. Twenty-one patients (75%) undergoing surgery had a cerebral infarction in the nondominant hemisphere. As part of preoperative workup Doppler sonography was performed in 19 patients (67.8%), MR angiography in 22 (78%) and DSA in 7 (25%). Two patients (7%) underwent surgery without preoperative neurovascular assessment. Thrombolysis was attempted systemically in 6 patients (21.4%) and intra-arterially in 4 (14.2%). Eighteen patients (64.2%) did not fulfill the criteria for thrombolysis according to standard therapy guidelines [10, 19]. No patient undergoing systemic thrombolysis improved clinically or showed radiological signs of revascularisation. Among the 4 patients who un-
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 decompression craniectomy reduces mortality in than 24 hours after symptom onset [8]. Although compared with 34.4% in those operated on later mortality of 13% in patients operated on early treated surgically. The same authors reported with nondominant hemispheric infarction were however, in the Heidelberg series only patients undergoing early craniectomy than in patients operated on after 24 hours (62.6 and 68.8 respectively). derending. The mean BI was 47 ± 25 and the RS was 4 ± 1.3. The patients’ outcome was similar in the three centres (table 3). No better outcome was observed in patients operated on within 24 hours of onset of symptoms, though lower mortality was observed in this group. The mean BI in the group of patients operated on “early” was 41 (mortality 7%) and in patients who underwent “late” decompression 54 (mortality 28%). Six patients (21%) were diagnose with reactive depression. The overall incidence of surgical complications with the procedure was 25 %. In 5 patients (17.8%) a postoperative infection was diagnosed (meningitis 3, epidural abscess 1, cerebral abscess 1). In two patients postoperative haematomas were diagnosed (epidural 1, subdural 1) and evacuated. Finally, 5 patients (17.8%) underwent ventriculoperitoneal shunting after communicating hydrocephalus was confirmed as a cause of neurological deterioration.

Discussion

This retrospective analysis of patients undergoing decompressive craniectomy after malignant MCA infarction shows a similar reduction in mortality but a poorer outcome in terms of patients’ performance and independence in daily activities [8]. Although a greater reduction in mortality of up to 17% is achieved in patients operated on “early” compared with those operated on “late” (28%), the timing of the procedure did not influence the outcome in terms of BI (table 2). Schwab et al. recently reported higher BI in patients undergoing early craniectomy than in patients operated on after 24 hours (62.6 and 68.8 respectively). However, in the Heidelberg series only patients with nondominant hemispheric infarction were treated surgically. The same authors reported mortality of 13% in patients operated on early compared with 34.4% in those operated on later than 24 hours after symptom onset [8]. Although decompression craniectomy reduces mortality in patients with complete MCA infarction, no significant improvement in patients’ performance and independence in terms of BI could be demonstrated [8]. The mean BI score in patients who survived the natural history of the disease was reported to be 60 [6]. The fact that the mean BI in our series tended to be lower than the reported natural history of the disease (47 ± 25) may be explicable by patient selection criteria and poor preoperative condition. Review of the records of the cases presented in this study shows that preoperative re-evaluation of the clinical condition in terms of NIHSS or GCS was frequently not sufficiently documented and in most of the cases had to be inferred from the surgeons’ operation reports or from nurses’ evaluations. Inclusion of the neurosurgeon in the stroke team’s discussion of the case immediately after the patient’s admission could prevent surgical complications such as postoperative haematoma. The decision to treat with aspirin,
which is often taken immediately after admission in patients with signs of a malignant MCA infarction, should be avoided or discussed prior to surgery. In the series analysed in this study, optimisation of coagulation and interruption of treatment with aspirin was instituted late, after the decision for surgery. Thus the incidence of complications due to these preventable factors should not be underestimated.

Indications for surgery in the management of occlusive cerebrovascular disease have continued to evolve since the first reported series of decompressive craniectomy for massive intractable cerebral swelling and herniation in 1935. Table 4 summarises the worldwide case reports and clinical studies in hemispheric space-occupying cerebral infarction [19]. A total of 219 patients who underwent this procedure have been reported. Only two open studies have compared surgical therapy with the natural history of the disease [8] or other forms of therapy such as hypothermia [9]. Our series is retrospective and included three centres in Switzerland in which no standardised protocols exist. Despite the fact that stroke teams exist and are well defined in Switzerland, neurosurgeons are frequently not involved on the patients’ admission and thereafter take no part in the discussion of alternative procedures such as ICP monitoring or early surgical decompression.

The high incidence of surgical complications in this series (up to 25%) deserves analysis. All 5 patients (17.8 %) who developed an infection, viz. meningitis, cerebritis or epidural abscess, underwent resection of infarcted tissue in a second procedure or were treated by additional barbiturate coma (n: 1). From the surgical viewpoint sufficient osseous decompression with a generous dural patch obviates the need for further decompression or resection of necrotised space-occupying tissue and the consequent increased infection risk. The technical aspects of “a simple craniectomy” should not be underestimated in the neurosurgical team and must be under the control of an experienced neurosurgeon.

Finally, the incidence of hydrocephalus in stroke patients who undergo decompressive craniectomy has not been discussed in the literature. In our series, 17.8% of the patients developed hydrocephalus and required a ventriculoperitoneal shunt. This high incidence of hydrocephalus, which is similar to the rates of shunt dependence after subarachnoid haemorrhage [20, 21], must be considered in these patients’ follow-up and ruled
out if neurological improvement is observed to stagnate.

In conclusion, the outcome of patients undergoing decompressive craniectomy after MCA infarction in Switzerland is less favourable than that in other series recently reported. A less favourable preoperative clinical condition, the inclusion of dominant hemispheric infarctions, poorly defined protocols and late involvement of neurosurgeons on admission of these patients may account for these results. Analysis of the results presented makes it possible to propose a standardised protocol for the treatment of these patients and the design of a controlled study within our institutions.
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