Role of liver magnetic resonance imaging in hyperferritinaemia and the diagnosis of iron overload
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Summary

Hyperferritinaemia is a frequent clinical problem. Elevated serum ferritin levels can be detected in different genetic and acquired diseases and can occur with or without anaemia. It is therefore important to determine whether hyperferritinaemia is due to iron overload or due to a secondary cause. The main causes of iron overload are intestinal iron hyperabsorption disorders and transfusion-dependent disorders. Iron homeostasis and iron overload are quantified by different diagnostic approaches. The evaluation of serum ferritin and transferrin saturation is the first diagnostic step to identify the cause of hyperferritinaemia. The assessment of liver iron concentration by liver biopsy or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) may guide the further diagnostic and therapeutic workup. Liver biopsy is invasive and poorly accepted by patients and should only be carried out in selected patients with hereditary haemochromatosis. As a non-invasive approach, MRI is considered the standard method to diagnose and to monitor both hepatic iron overload and the effectiveness of iron chelation therapy in many clinical conditions such as thalassaemia and myelodysplastic syndromes. Accurate evaluation and monitoring of iron overload has major implications regarding adherence, quality of life and prognosis. There are different technical MRI approaches to measuring the liver iron content. Of these, T2 and T2* relaxometry are considered the standard of care. MRI with cardiac T2* mapping is also suitable for the assessment of cardiac iron. Currently there is no consensus which technique should be preferred. The choice depends on local availability and patient population. However, it is important to use the same MRI technique in subsequent visits in the same patient to get comparable results. Signal intensity ratio may be a good adjunct to R2 and R2* methods as it allows easy visual estimation of the liver iron concentration. In this review a group of Swiss haematologists and radiologists give an overview of different conditions leading to primary or secondary iron overload and on diagnostic methods to assess hyperferritinaemia with a focus on the role of liver MRI. They summarise the standard practice in Switzerland on the use of liver iron concentration MRI as well as disease-specific guideline recommendations.
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Introduction

Iron is essential for many cellular functions in the human body. The majority of iron required for the primary needs of the body is recycled from senescent red blood cells by the reticuloendothelial system. Under physiological conditions iron metabolism is kept within a narrow range. Dietary iron is absorbed in small amounts (about 1–2 mg/day) by duodenal enterocytes. Almost the same iron amount is lost by sloughing of gastrointestinal mucosa, skin cells and blood loss [1].

After being taken up in the gut, iron circulates in the blood bound to the carrier protein transferrin prior to intracellular use and storage. Approximately 80% of total body
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iron is functional and utilised by the bone marrow for erythropoiesis or located in myoglobin or iron-containing enzymes. The remaining 20% is mainly stored in the intracellular depot proteins ferritin and haemosiderin, a partially denatured form of ferritin. The liver serves as the primary iron storage organ, but ferritin can also be stored in the spleen and bone marrow. Ferritin facilitates the mobilisation of iron depending on the requirements of the body. The circulating serum ferritin pool mostly arises from the liver and the reticuloendothelial cells [1, 2].

Hepcidin mediates the homeostasis of extracellular iron concentrations. If the liver senses elevated transferrin saturation, it secretes hepcidin, which binds to the iron exporter ferroportin and induces its internalisation and degradation. Thus, the influx of iron from the gut and the reticuloendothelial system in the plasma is reduced and intestinal iron absorption is downregulated. Systemic iron requirements and infectious as well as inflammatory stimuli modulate hepcidin expression [3, 4].

The body has no active mechanism to excrete iron. Therefore, if excessive iron is absorbed, systemic iron overload can develop [1, 5]. When transferrin saturation exceeds 70%, toxic non-transferrin bound iron forms [6]. The redox active fraction of non-transferrin bound iron, labile plasma iron, can be taken up by cells outside the physiological iron-controlling mechanisms, which leads to the formation of toxic oxygen radicals (reactive oxygen species). This results in damage to cell membranes, proteins and DNA [7]. The most sensitive organs to suffer iron overload are the liver, endocrine organs and, importantly, the heart (fig. 1) [2, 9].

Hyperferritinaemia is a frequent clinical problem. Elevated serum ferritin levels can occur in many different genetic and acquired diseases with or without concurrent anaemia (table 1) [4, 10–12]. Hyperferritinaemia may be caused for instance by chronic disease, renal insufficiency with haemodialysis, or bone marrow failure syndromes as well as by malignancy, or chronic inflammation of diverse aetiologies. In these patients iron overload is usually not present and therefore diagnosis and management of the disorders that contribute to hyperferritinaemia are indicated. In other patients, hyperferritinaemia may result from iron overload due to intestinal iron hyperabsorption, ineffective erythropoiesis, or other reasons such as impaired iron transport (table 2) [2, 7, 9, 13]. In addition, multiple blood transfusions are an important cause for iron overload [2, 7]. Since each unit of packed red blood cells (PRBC) contains 200–250 mg iron [9, 15, 16], transfusion therapy increases iron stores to many times the normal range. In myelodysplastic syndromes, both ineffective erythropoiesis and multiple transfusions can be involved in the development of iron overload. Due to an ageing population, the importance of myelodysplastic syndromes is expected to increase over the next decades [17]. As in other

![Figure 1: Pathophysiology of iron overload and resulting organ damage (simplified) [6–8]. NTBI = non transferrin bound iron; LPI = labile plasma iron; ROS = reactive oxygen species](image)

**Table 1:** Common disorders associated with hyperferritinaemia [4, 10–12].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hyperferritinaemia without anaemia</th>
<th>Hyperferritinaemia with anaemia (with and without blood transfusions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hereditary haemochromatosis</td>
<td>Myelodysplastic syndromes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hereditary hyperferritinaemia-cataract syndrome</td>
<td>Haemoglobinopathies e.g., thalassaemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute infection/inflammation/ autoimmune disorders</td>
<td>Anaemia of chronic disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injury to ferritin-containing tissues (e.g., in liver diseases)</td>
<td>Sideroblastic anaemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic syndrome</td>
<td>Macrophage activation syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early after intravenous iron substitution</td>
<td>Hypo-al transferrinaemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aceruloplasminaeania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Haemodialysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cancer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
acquired anaemias PRBC transfusions are a key part of the supportive therapy of myelodysplastic syndromes. In patients with genetic haemoglobin disorders such as thalassaemia, ineffective erythropoiesis, increased gastrointestinal iron absorption and multiple blood transfusions may lead to hyperferritinaemia and iron overload [18, 19]. Prevalence of thalassaemia are highest in south-eastern and southern Asia, the Middle East, Mediterranean countries, and North and Central Africa [18, 19]. However, the number of patients and the impact on healthcare systems in Europe are increasing due to migration trends, which is also affecting Switzerland. Intestinal iron hyperabsorption disorders leading to iron overload include hereditary haemochromatosis and iron-loading anaemias. Hereditary haemochromatosis is the most common genetic disorder in Caucasians and mostly seen in northern Europe. Increased intestinal absorption of dietary iron results from inadequate or ineffective hepcidin-mediated down-regulation of ferroportin. In the most common type 1 hereditary haemochromatosis, iron overload is associated with the C282Y homozygous genotype or with compound heterozygosity for C282Y and H63D. In hereditary haemochromatosis types 1, 2 and 3 excess iron usually accumulates first in the liver [2, 11, 13, 20]. In iron loading anaemias (table 2) iron hyperabsorption is caused by ineffective erythropoiesis [4]. In clinical practice it is essential to differentiate iron overload from other causes of hyperferritinaemia. Current approaches to quantify and monitor iron homeostasis and iron overload include measurement of serum ferritin and transferrin saturation as well as assessment of liver iron concentration (LIC) (table 3) [16]. Since the liver contains 70% or more of body iron stores and LIC correlates with the total body iron load, assessment of LIC has been proposed to support further diagnostic and therapeutic workup. LIC can be determined by both biopsy and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). In contrast to biopsy, liver MRI cannot directly assess iron deposits, but indirectly infers iron overload by measuring changes in the MR signal relaxation of the tissue surrounding the deposit [16, 31, 35]. The entire liver is measured and there is a correlation with tissue iron content. Liver MRI is useful for longitudinal measurements. LIC assessment by biopsy is limited in particular by its invasiveness, there is the risk of sampling error due to sample size, and it is impractical for longitudinal measurements [4]. In this review article, the authors, a group of Swiss haematologists and radiologists, give an overview on different diagnostic methods to assess hyperferritinaemia focusing on the role of liver MRI. Furthermore, the article summarises international guideline recommendations as well as the standard practice in Switzerland on the use of liver MRI for the diagnosis and monitoring of iron homeostasis in patients with the most common forms of iron overload – haemochromatosis, thalassaemia major and myelodysplastic syndromes.

 Diagnostic methods for disorders of iron metabolism

 Serum ferritin
 Assessment of serum ferritin is easily available, inexpensive and therefore used worldwide to estimate iron stores. Serum ferritin generally correlates with total body iron stores [19]. It serves as an indirect measure of iron overload, particularly if serial measurements are performed to overcome the sometimes significant serum ferritin fluctuations [36]. However, this parameter has some important clinical limitations. Although serum ferritin values >1000 ng/ml indicate iron overload, serum ferritin levels may not correlate closely and in a linear manner with liver and cardiac iron load [9]. Serum ferritin can be influenced by various factors such as malignancy, liver disease and ascorbate

Table 2: Frequent mechanisms and disorders of iron overload [2, 4, 7, 11, 13, 14].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Increased intestinal iron absorption</th>
<th>Frequent transfusions of PRBCs</th>
<th>Others</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Impaired hepcidin–ferroportin axis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hereditary haemochromatosis</td>
<td>Thalassaemia major</td>
<td>Chronic liver disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sickle cell disease</td>
<td>Impaired iron transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diamond Blackfan anaemia</td>
<td>Aceroaplasminaemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Myelodysplastic syndromes</td>
<td>Mutations of the divalent metal transporter 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Myelofibrosis</td>
<td>Hypoironaferremia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aplastic anaemia</td>
<td>African iron overload</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anaemia before or after stem cell transplantation</td>
<td>Haemyolitic anaemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dietary or intravenous iron supplementation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

 Iron loading anaemias with ineffective erythropoiesis
 Thalassaemia intermedia (NTDT)          |                               |        |
 Congenital sideroblastic anaemia        |                               |        |
 Congenital dyserythropoietic anaemia    |                               |        |

Table 3: Thresholds for iron parameters, adapted from [21, 22].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Iron overload</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Mild/moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum ferritin (ng/ml) [23, 24]</td>
<td>&lt;400</td>
<td>&gt;1000–2500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transferrin saturation (%)</td>
<td>20–45</td>
<td>55–70 in men; 50–70 in women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver iron concentration (mg/g dw) [19, 25–28]</td>
<td>&lt;1.8 (Levels of up to 7 seen in some non-thalassaemic populations without apparent adverse effects)</td>
<td>7–15 (increased risk of iron induced complications)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver T2* MRI (ms) [22, 29, 30]</td>
<td>&gt;6.3</td>
<td>&lt;6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac MRI, T2* (ms) [31–34]</td>
<td>&gt;20</td>
<td>10–20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dw = dry weight; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging. MRI was performed on a 1.5 Tesla scanner.
deficiency. In addition, serum ferritin is an acute phase reactant and rises with inflammation. Serum ferritin rates also depend on the transfusion rate and disease characteristics [9, 36–38]. In hereditary haemochromatosis, serum ferritin is a highly sensitive indicator of iron overload when confounding factors are absent. Normal serum ferritin levels usually – with rare exceptions – rule out hereditary haemochromatosis [39]. In thalassaemia major and myelodysplastic syndromes, serum ferritin is useful for identifying trends of iron loading and for monitoring iron chelation therapy, despite its limitations. In this setting, it is best to check serum ferritin frequently (every 3–6 weeks). Serum ferritin trends should be linked to the gold standard assessment of iron burden where possible [36, 40].

Transferrin saturation
Transferrin saturation is a widely available serologic marker of iron balance. Reported alongside hyperferritinaemia, it can be useful to provide information on the existence of iron overload [4, 8]. In hereditary haemochromatosis, transferrin saturation is the most specific key screening marker. However, just like serum ferritin, transferrin saturation does not quantitatively reflect body iron stores and should not be used as a surrogate marker for tissue iron overload in hereditary haemochromatosis [12, 20, 39]. Moreover, transferrin saturation is influenced by a number of patient-related factors and is not suitable for follow-up assessments due to intra- and inter-laboratory variability. Transferrin saturation can be used as an indicator to initiate iron chelation therapy in chronically transfused patients. However, many patients have fully saturated transferrin, making it uninformative for short and mid-term assessment of iron chelation therapy efficiency. In addition, transferrin saturation values cannot be interpreted during iron chelation therapy, which should therefore be suspended for at least one day before analysis [3, 36].

LIC determination by biopsy or MRI
Liver biopsy is a quantitative, specific and sensitive method that provides a direct assessment of LIC. Although in experienced hands liver biopsy is safe, it carries a risk of complications of approx. 0.5%. Risks include bile peritonitis or bleeding due to haemoperitoneum, pericholecystic haematoma, or kidney haematoma [41]. In addition, high sampling variability due to biopsy specimen size heterogeneity or unequal distribution of iron in the liver can lead to misinterpretations [16, 36, 42, 43]. Despite its limitations, liver biopsy can identify and determine the degree of iron overload. In addition, it is of value for the assessment of liver tissue damage such as fibrosis, cirrhosis and necroinflammation [9]. In hereditary haemochromatosis, liver biopsy used to be the gold-standard diagnostic test before the availability of HFE genotyping [9]. In the differential diagnosis of hyperferritinaemia, liver biopsy may be useful to prove the presence of iron overload or to identify secondary causes of iron overload e.g., non-alcoholic steatosis hepatitis [39]. Due to its invasiveness and poor acceptance by patients, liver biopsy was never fully acknowledged as a standard of care in iron loading and transfusion-dependent anaemias such as thalassaemia major and myelodysplastic syndromes. In these disorders, non-invasive techniques for LIC estimation have been established based on iron’s magnetic properties [21, 36, 40]. Today, MRI dominates LIC quantification and can be considered the standard of care in LIC assessment in the majority of patients. MRI offers both non-invasive LIC assessment and worldwide availability [21, 36]. Additionally, MRI may also provide information on the fibrotic stage of the liver, potentially identifying patients who still require biopsy for histological analysis [9]. Differences between LIC assessment by biopsy and MRI are listed in table 4.

Quantification of tissue iron by MRI
General technical aspects
The following is a brief and simplified presentation of the MRI principles needed to understand the basics of MRI-based iron measurement. More complete but still accessible descriptions of MRI physics for physicians can be found in excellent reviews [45–47]. Clinical MRI scanners generate images in which the signal originates from the hydrogen nucleus consisting of a single proton with a magnetic property called nuclear spin. Under certain conditions, the hydrogen proton can absorb energy from radiofrequency pulses. The various rates of the protons to dissipate the absorbed energy and to return to equilibrium can be measured to create an image. The parameters mostly used to describe this process are the relaxation times T1, T2 and T2*. During T1 relaxation (also called longitudinal relaxation), protons exchange energy with their surroundings to return to their basal state. Iron accumulation in tissue has a weak effect on T1 relaxation time [45], explaining why it is rarely used for iron quantification. T2 relaxation (also called transverse relaxation) describes the loss of coherence of the nuclear spin population due to random energy exchange. T2* relaxation combines T2 relaxation.
ation with the effects of inhomogeneity in the main magnetic field. For the measurement of iron content using MRI, T2 and T2* are of particular interest because they reflect the magnetic properties of the microstructure and are both decreased in presence of superparamagnetic molecules such as ferritin and haemosiderin. As a result, the MRI signal decays faster in iron overloaded organs [36, 40]. MRI techniques probe iron concentrations indirectly by measuring the effect of iron on hydrogen nuclear spins. Basically, there are three different technical MRI approaches: T2 and T2* relaxometry, also referred to as T2- and T2*-mapping, and also the signal intensity ratio (SIR) [2, 9, 36, 48], that are all associated with specific advantages and disadvantages (table 5).

**Relaxometry/mapping methods**

Relaxometry or mapping are considered the standard of care for measuring iron content [2, 9]. It is based on quantification of relaxation time T2 or T2* by means of measuring signal decay at various echo times. For T2 measurement, spin echo techniques are used, while for T2*, gradient echo sequences are typically applied. The relaxation time, measured in milliseconds, is derived from algorithms based on monoexponential fits. The procedure of measuring T2 and T2* is also referred to as T2- and T2*-mapping. For convenience, the reciprocal of the relaxation times T2 and T2*, R2 and R2* (in Hz) are frequently used for LIC (R2 = 1/T2; R2* = 1/T2*) [9, 49]. Both R2 and R2* are directly proportional to liver iron concentration, whilst T2 and T2* are inversely proportional i.e., the greater the iron concentration, the higher the R2 and R2* and the smaller the T2 and T2* relaxation times [3, 49].

There are different companies that provide imaging and analysis software solutions for R2 and R2* analysis. Almost all MR scanners can perform R2* mapping from multi-echo MRI datasets without the need to export data for off-line use. Some centres also use software developed in-house that requires cross-validation with established techniques prior to clinical use [49]. FerriScan®, one specific liver R2 acquisition and analysis protocol, has been approved as a clinical device in the USA [49]. The R2 FerriScan® method is an outsourced, standardised image analysis method based on the T2 relaxation time using relatively long spin echo MR sequences [25, 52]. Its main advantages are convenience and high quality control including technician training manuals, scanning of a test object, strict checks of sequence parameters, and inspection of image quality. FerriScan® is a chargeable service run by a private company and costs €250–300 per examination [3].

R2* relaxometry of the liver and the heart are based on fast gradient echo MR sequences and can therefore be done in the same session. When examinations are performed at shorter than annual intervals, R2* is more responsive to fluctuations in liver iron distribution and shows better temporal stability [53]. Depending on the MRI scanner gradient system, liver R2* mapping can only measure LIC levels up to 35 or 40 mg/g. To calculate R2*, dedicated software is necessary, which is provided by all MRI vendors and some independent companies [3]. There are some differences between R2 and R2*. R2 methods take about 10 minutes and are thus vulnerable to ghosting while R2* measurements can be performed in a single breath-hold. In contrast, R2 techniques are insensitive to external metal or gas artefacts whilst R2* methods can be influenced by these factors. R2 shows a wider range of measurable LIC than R2* [49]. Cardiac iron assessment by MRI can be performed during the same examination as liver R2* or T2*-mapping. In addition, cardiac function can be determined during the same examination [9, 32, 54]. Further differences of R2 FerriScan® and R2* are listed in table 5.

**Sensitivity, specificity and reliability of MRI in LIC determination**

Both R2 and R2* are robust and instrument-independent estimators of LIC and produce highly accurate non-invasive estimates of hepatic iron [44]. Calibration relates to the mathematical association between MRI data and tissue iron concentration. Iron calibration curves are published for liver R2 and liver R2* [25, 44]. In liver R2, St Pierre et al. found high degrees of sensitivity (85–94%) and specificity (92–100%) of R2 to LIC by biopsy for clinical important LIC thresholds (1.8, 3.2, 7.0, and 15.0 mg/g) and a high correlation between R2 and biopsy (correlation coefficient r = 0.98) [25]. According to Wood et al., both R2 and R2* correlated closely with LIC from biopsies (r = 0.97 for R2, r = 0.98 for R2*) for LIC from 1.3 to 32.9 mg/g dry weight (dw) [44]. R2 had a curvilinear relationship to LIC by biopsy, which was similar to the curve generated by St. Pierre et al. despite differences in technique and instrumentation [25, 44]. Hankins et al. observed a strong correlation between liver R2* and LIC by biopsy (correlation coefficients, 0.96–0.98, analysed by three independent reviewers) [55].

**Table 5:** Advantages and disadvantages of available MRI LIC assessment methods [3, 25, 44, 49–51].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FerriScan® R2 LIC</th>
<th>R2* LIC</th>
<th>SIR LIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Advantages</strong></td>
<td>Strong validation evidence</td>
<td>Strong validation evidence</td>
<td>Strong validation evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High quality control</td>
<td>Rapid (&lt;1 minute examination time)</td>
<td>Wide availability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High dynamic range (0–43 mg/g)</td>
<td>Temporal stability</td>
<td>Qualitative assessment possible directly from the images</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Robust to metal artefacts</td>
<td>Single breath-hold technique</td>
<td>Free software</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Suitable also for the heart and other organs</td>
<td>5 breath-hold technique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disadvantages</strong></td>
<td>Longer examination time necessary (10 minutes/exam)</td>
<td>Smaller dynamic range (0–35 mg/g) by comparison with R2</td>
<td>Poor dynamic range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High costs</td>
<td>Variable quality control, local expertise necessary</td>
<td>Greater variability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sensitivity to iron distribution</td>
<td>Validated software required</td>
<td>Not suitable for the heart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Breathing artefacts possible (vulnerable to ghosting)</td>
<td>Can be affected by metal artefacts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LIC = liver iron concentration; SIR = signal intensity ratio
R2 and R2* show excellent reproducibility and high interobserver agreement [36, 50, 55]. Trends between the two methods correlate well, especially when compared over longer time scales. Both are suitable for LIC estimates in clinical practice and both are superior to invasive liver biopsy in indicating the effectiveness of iron chelation therapy [44]. The choice of liver iron measurement technique therefore primarily depends on availability of local expertise. FerriScan® remains a good option for centres with limited experience in LIC measurements, but T2* methods are generally preferred in centres routinely performing LIC measurements, as they offer high success rate, high interobserver agreement, the ability to detect changes in LIC over a wide range of LIC values, a single breath-hold acquisition, and are available from all major vendors without additional per-scan costs [31, 36, 50]. If possible, LIC MRI should be performed on 1.5 Tesla magnets because higher field strengths enable the detection of more susceptibility artefacts. R2 and R2* values rise with the strength of the magnetic field, requiring adjustment of calibration curves [3, 56]. Variability can result from variability in biopsy sample size. Also, liver iron distribution varies across individuals leading to patient-specific deviations between R2 and R2* and LIC. As individual LIC estimates do not agree perfectly, R2 and R2* must not be interchanged in the same patient [14, 57, 58].

Signal intensity ratio (SIR) method

SIR, a third MRI imaging technique, may be performed to identify patients with milder iron overload. In SIR, gradient echo (GRE) image series of the abdomen are collected in five breath-holds. Regions of interest are drawn in the liver and paraspinous muscles. To generate LIC estimates, the values can be entered into a free web-based calculation tool from the University of Rennes, France [3, 59]. Calibration was derived from liver biopsies and shown in a highly T2-weighted GRE (GRE T2++) sequence, with the highest sensitivity (89%) and specificity (80%) and a good correlation (r = 0.87) between liver to muscle (L/M) SIR and LIC [51]. SIR is one of the earlier methods to assess LIC that is easy to perform, but has a severe limitation as it is only robust for low iron concentrations [59]. However, it is a good adjunct to R2 and R2* methods as it allows easy visual estimation of LIC, which is not possible with either R2 or R2* methods (fig. 2). In addition, SIR approaches are an option for screening purposes in primary haemochromatosis syndromes, particularly if relaxometry is not feasible [3]. Therefore SIR cannot replace R2 or R2* relaxometry, which is now the standard of care for tissue iron quantification. However, it can be useful especially when the quality of the relaxometry data is poor.

MRI iron measurements in heart and endocrine organs

In thalassaemia major, LIC represents total body iron stores quite well, but the majority of iron toxicity develops in extrahepatic tissues e.g., in the heart and endocrine organs, which have different mechanisms of iron uptake and removal than the liver, mainly through the uptake of non transferrin bound iron [35, 60]. LIC has little predictive value for cardiac iron deposition and there is no LIC threshold below which no cardiac iron overload can develop. Iron chelation therapy removes iron more quickly from the liver than from the heart. Some patients develop progressive extrahepatic iron loading despite iron chelation therapy [32, 61]. Thus, it is necessary to assess both liver and heart iron in patients at risk [9].

The ability to detect extrahepatic iron deposition by MRI before the onset of symptoms has changed patient manag-
agement. Before cardiac T2* mapping was available, iron cardiomyopathy was the leading cause of death in thalassaemia major [23]. Now patients with excess cardiac iron can be identified before they develop cardiac dysfunction [36]. A marked improvement in survival in thalassaemia major has been mainly driven by a reduction in deaths due to cardiac iron overload, most likely because of the introduction of T2* cardiac mapping to identify myocardial siderosis and appropriate intensification of iron chelation therapy, alongside other improvements in clinical care [62]. Cardiac T2* mapping is also successful at monitoring iron chelation therapy and was used to assess the benefit of chelator treatment [63]. Carpenter et al. compared cardiac R2* measurement with tissue iron concentration detected using inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy in heart samples of transfusion-dependent patients after either death or transplantation for heart failure. They showed that myocardial iron measured by R2* is strongly correlated in a linear fashion with cardiac iron (R² = 0.910, p < 0.001) [54]. For a long time, no calibration curves were available to convert cardiac T2* values to cardiac iron concentration, so cardiac iron is still frequently reported in T2* units without further conversion to iron concentration. The clinical community is used to interpreting the results [9]. Assessment of iron in other tissues, such as the pancreas and pituitary gland, is currently being explored. Since the pancreas accumulates iron earlier than the heart, assessment of pancreatic iron deposition could become an early predictor of cardiac iron overload [64].

Clinical significance of liver MRI

Diagnostic work-up of hyperferritinaemia

The first diagnostic step to identify the cause of hyperferritinaemia is assessment of transferrin saturation (fig. 3) [4, 10, 11, 13]. If transferrin saturation is increased (>45%), HFE testing should be performed. In patients with C282Y homozygosity or with C282Y/H63D compound heterozygosity, the diagnosis of HFE-hereditary haemochromatosis is confirmed. In these patients, therapy and monitoring are guided by serial serum ferritin measurements. If serum ferritin levels are higher than 1000 ng/ml and/or liver enzymes (alanine aminotransferase; aspartate aminotransferase) are elevated, liver biopsy is recommended for prognostic reasons to evaluate liver fibrosis or cirrhosis. Liver MRI may help to identify heterogeneous distribution of iron within the liver, differentiate parenchymal from mesenchymal iron overload, and detect small iron-free neoplastic lesions [39]. For all other HFE genotypes, comorbid factors, compensated iron-loading anemias, and non-HFE hereditary haemochromatosis or rare HFE mutations should be considered. In this situation liver biopsy or LIC MRI may guide further diagnostics e.g., to rule out other liver diseases or to order genetic testing in the case of iron overload [13, 39]. If transferrin saturation is normal or low (<45%), common causes of hyperferritinaemia should be excluded such as metabolic syndrome, alcohol consumption, inflammation, and liver disease. After exclusion of these conditions, the precise assessment of hepatic iron load, either by LIC MRI or liver biopsy, may help to identify the cause of hyperferr-
ritinaemia. In patients with increased LIC, genetic testing for rare non-haemochromatotic genetic iron overload diseases such as ferroportin disease or aceruloplasminaemia can be considered. Genetic testing for non-HFE hereditary haemochromatosis forms is usually not recommended because it is performed in very few specialised laboratories only, is expensive, and usually does not add clinically useful information regarding treatment decisions. If LIC values are normal, genetic testing for hereditary hyperferritinaemia-cataract syndrome or benign hyperferritinaemia can be considered [39]. In all cases with normal LIC values, phlebotomy should be avoided as there is no evidence of iron overload despite hyperferritinaemia.

The following case vignettes illustrate diagnostic approaches to patients with hyperferritinaemia in the haematology department of a large tertiary Swiss hospital using Liver MRI and LIC measurements.

Case 1: Exclusion of iron overload in H63D homozygosity

During a routine check-up in a male patient, an serum ferritin value of 1,779 ng/mL was observed. Transferrin saturation was 70%, genetic hereditary haemochromatosis testing revealed H63D homozygosity. In MRI R2 normal LIC values were found (1.3 mg/g dw, fig. 4). Due to elevated alanine aminotransferase (136 U/l) a gastroenterological evaluation was performed that showed hepatic steatosis. Finally, secondary hyperferritinaemia without the presence of iron overload was diagnosed, probably due to non-alcoholic fatty liver disease / non-alcoholic steatosis hepatitis. Conclusion: In this patient with H63D homozygosity, MRI could exclude iron overload in the liver and indicated the presence of a secondary cause of hyperferritinaemia. Following an algorithm-based diagnostic approach (see fig. 3) provides an answer to the clinical question on the aetiology of hyperferritinaemia. This case illustrates that, despite suspected iron overload, patients can have secondary hyperferritinaemia even in the presence of increased transferrin saturation.

Case 2: Confirmation of iron overload in myelodysplastic syndromes

In a woman with myelodysplastic syndrome (RCMD-RS according to the WHO 2008 classification, first diagnosis February 2014, MDS-RS-MLD according to the WHO 2017 classification) serum ferritin at the time of first diagnosis was 329 ng/mL. Initially, treatment with darbepoetin alfa was commenced and no transfusions were required. During the course of the disease the patient became transfusion dependent. After transfusion of 20 PRBCs, a serum ferritin of 1795 ng/ml and transferrin saturation of 100% were observed. LIC MRI R2 showed a 3-fold elevated LIC (5.2 mg/g dw, fig. 5), which led to the diagnosis of hyperferritinaemia due to iron overload. Iron chelation therapy with deferasirox was started.

Conclusion: In this patient with myelodysplastic syndrome, serum ferritin values were moderately elevated, but MRI results showed a marked liver iron overload requiring iron chelation therapy.

Monitoring of iron overload in thalassaemia and myelodysplastic syndromes

Most of our understanding of iron-overload monitoring and treatment arises from studies in transfusion-dependent thalassaemias such as thalassaemia major [36]. In clinical practice, regular MRI assessment is performed not only to determine the degree of iron overload, but also to gain information on initiation and adjustment of iron chelation therapy [9].

Figure 4: Exclusion of iron overload in a patient with H63D homozygosity by MRI R2.
Transfusion-dependent thalassaemia patients who have received >10 units of PRBCs may already qualify for initiation of iron chelation therapy. In this case, an initial LIC MRI scan could be performed in order to quantify the exact iron burden before starting treatment. LIC determination by MRI is also useful to establish a baseline in patients with elevated or rising serum ferritin levels and prior to the start or a change of iron chelation therapy [9]. In many thalassaemia centres, LIC is measured annually to guide iron chelation therapy. MRI should be performed repeatedly if trends in serum ferritin are incongruent with reported patient compliance or other clinical assessments [49]. Normal LIC values in transfusion-dependent thalassaemias are up to 1.8 mg/g dw [9, 40]. LIC >7 mg/g dw was identified as an indication to start iron chelation therapy in transfusional iron overload [16, 26]. Very high LIC levels (>15 mg/g dw) are associated with liver fibrosis progression and cardiac iron deposit, and appear to have prognostic value [16, 25, 27, 40, 65, 66].

Chronically transfused patients should undergo cardiac T2* assessment. Cardiac iron accumulation is rare in patients with transfusion-dependent thalassaemias who have received <70 PRBCs. Transfusion-dependent thalassaemia patients with cardiac T2* values over 20 ms have normal cardiac iron. Decreasing cardiac T2* values correspond with iron accumulation and a rising risk of cardiac dysfunction [9, 33]. Cardiac T2* <10 ms is the most important predictor of heart failure development [33]. Based on these data, a “stoplight” risk paradigm is frequently applied, with T2* ≤10 ms considered the red (danger) zone, T2* of 10-20 ms the yellow (cautionary) zone, and T2* ≥20 milliseconds the green (safe) zone [31]. Thus, a T2* value <10 ms is often used as a marker for more aggressive iron chelation therapy. Myelodysplastic syndrome patients show a high rate of hepatic iron overload [67]. However, serum ferritin, liver and heart iron do not necessarily correlate. In myelodysplastic syndromes, low-grade inflammation is also seen in some cases, making serum ferritin measurements less reliable. Although cardiac complications account for most non-leukaemic death in low-risk myelodysplastic syndromes, there is no sufficient evidence that these result from excess cardiac iron [15, 29, 30]. Additionally, myelodysplastic syndrome patients are older than transfusion-dependent thalassaemia patients and will often have pre-existing cardiac disease. According to Shenoy et al., liver T2* MRI would be assessed reasonably after the transfusion of 20 PRBCs in myelodysplastic syndromes patients. Increased LIC and cardiac T2* MRI below-normal strongly suggest hepatic and cardiac iron overload, with the beginnings of iron chelation therapy in even mild forms of cardiac iron overload (e.g., cardiac T2* <20 ms) or when LIC reaches 3 mg/g dw. Although too insensitive and unspecific for the diagnosis of iron overload, changes in serum ferritin and transferrin saturation are still useful to monitor therapeutic efficacy [21].

Iron chelation therapy is effective in reducing liver and cardiac iron overload [68–70]. However, treatment adherence can be a problem as iron overload is often asymptomatic and many patients do not perceive direct benefits from iron chelation therapy. In these patients, periodic monitoring can be used to reinforce adherence by showing and discussing the images together. Increasing LIC values over time can demonstrate the need for better adherence to iron chelation therapy. Vice versa, decreasing LIC directly reflects therapeutic benefit and may support adherence [9].

**Figure 5:** Confirmation of iron overload in a patient with myelodysplastic syndrome by MRI R2.
Recommendations for MRI LIC monitoring

The Swiss perspective
In Switzerland, there are no nationally accepted guidelines on diagnosis and clinical management of hyperferritinemia. In the following, the authors, a group of Swiss experts in the field of haematology and radiology, present typical clinical practice in Switzerland. This is, in part, influenced by local expertise and individual experience.
A laboratory evaluation with serum ferritin and transferrin saturation is a reasonable initial approach to provide information on the existence of iron overload in patients with hyperferritinemia. Serum ferritin, as an inexpensive and widely used technique, can track iron overload and the therapeutic response to iron removal therapies. However, due to their limitations, neither is suitable as a single monitoring instrument. The techniques should be combined with quantitative assessment of iron burden.
LIC measurement is the gold standard for the diagnosis of iron overload. Although specific and sensitive, liver biopsy is invasive and little accepted by patients, and should only be carried out in patients with hereditary haemochromatosis at serum ferritin >1000 ng/ml and elevated transaminases for prognostic reasons, i.e., to look for liver fibrosis or cirrhosis.
In hereditary haemochromatosis, especially in cases with no relevant co-morbidities, assessment of LIC is usually not recommended, since serum ferritin levels adequately reflect hepatic iron content and cardiac iron overload rarely occurs.
In patients with transfusion-dependent thalassaemia and myelodysplastic syndromes, assessment of LIC by MRI is the standard of care to identify and determine the degree of iron overload, as it is non-invasive, accurate, reproducible, suitable for longitudinal measurements and well accepted by patients. MRI with cardiac T2*-mapping is the standard to assess heart iron, although cardiac iron measurement is only important in thalassaemia major, where cardiac iron overload is the main clinical issue.
In thalassaemia major, good correlations between serum ferritin and LIC are observed, so MRI monitoring is only performed in patients with rising serum ferritin. In myelodysplastic syndromes, where the correlation of serum ferritin and LIC is poor, MRI LIC assessment is recommended annually to screen for the degree of iron overload and to commence and adjust iron chelation therapy if necessary in appropriate candidates for iron chelation therapy. In both groups, monitoring can be used to encourage adherence to iron chelation therapy. There are some differences in MRI techniques. R2 and R2* analyses are both suitable to accurately assess LIC in case of good quality control. Currently there is no consensus on which technique should be preferred. The choice depends primarily on local availability and patient population. However, to get comparable results in the same patient, it is important to use the same MRI technique in subsequent visits.

Disease-specific international guidelines
The German guidelines on secondary iron overload in hereditary anaemias recommend assessment of LIC by MRI prior to chelation. During treatment, regular assessment of serum ferritin is recommended to monitor therapeutic efficacy and to adjust therapy. In addition, LIC should be measured annually for exact evaluation of iron overload and to confirm trends in serum ferritin. Repeated measurements should use the same method. Annual cardiac T2* assessment is recommended [14] from the age of 10 years. In transfusion-dependent thalassaemias, the Italian [71], the US [72] and the Thalassaemia International Federation (TIF) guidelines [19] also recommend serial measurements of serum ferritin to guide initiation or to monitor iron chelation therapy, mostly with a frequency of every three months. All guidelines recommend cardiac

Table 6: Recommendations on initiating and monitoring iron chelation therapy in transfusion-dependent thalassaemias [14, 19, 71, 72]; modified from [73].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guideline</th>
<th>Initiation of iron chelation</th>
<th>Monitoring of iron overload</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>• SF &gt;1000 ng/mL, or LIC &gt;ULRR, or LIC &gt;3 mg/g dw</td>
<td>• Periodic SF&lt;br&gt;• LIC annually&lt;br&gt;• Cardiac T2* annually (from the age of 10 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>• SF &gt;1000 ng/mL, or 10 PRBCs, or LIC R2 &gt;ULRR (in patients &gt;5 years with unknown transfusion history or inappropriate prior chelation)</td>
<td>• Periodic SF&lt;br&gt;• LIC annually&lt;br&gt;• Cardiac T2* annually (in patients with poor chelation history or inadequate chelation therapy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>• SF ≥1000 ng/mL, or LIC ≥3 mg/g dw</td>
<td>• In patients with SF 1000–2500 ng/mL or LIC 3–7 mg/g dw&lt;br&gt;→ SF every 3 months&lt;br&gt;• In patients with SF* &gt;2500 ng/mL or LIC &gt;7 mg/g dw&lt;br&gt;→ SF every 2–3 months&lt;br&gt;→ LIC within 6 months&lt;br&gt;• In patients with excess cardiac iron without cardiac dysfunction; T2* &lt;20 ms&lt;br&gt;→ SF every 2–3 months&lt;br&gt;→ LIC within 6 months&lt;br&gt;• In patients with iron-induced cardiomyopathy; T2* &lt;20 ms; or T2* &lt;10 ms without cardiomyopathy&lt;br&gt;→ SF every 2–3 months&lt;br&gt;→ LIC within 6 months&lt;br&gt;→ cardiac function within 6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIF</td>
<td>• SF &gt;1000 ng/mL, or 10–20 PRBCs</td>
<td>• Serial SF&lt;br&gt;• Sequential LIC&lt;br&gt;• LIC useful, &lt;br&gt;→ if SF levels deviate from expected trend&lt;br&gt;→ when new chelating regimes are used&lt;br&gt;→ to identify whether the current regime is adequate or needs to be modified&lt;br&gt;• Cardiac T2* annually</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

dw = dry weight; LIC = liver iron concentration; PRBC = packed red blood cells; SF = serum ferritin; TIF = Thalassaemia International Federation; ULRR = upper limit of reference range

iron MRI assessment by T2* MRI and MRI LIC assessment, but there are different recommendations regarding frequency. TIF gives more general recommendations, but the Italian guidelines recommend annual checks [71], and the US and TIF guidelines recommend more frequent assessment in patients with values that indicate iron overload (table 6) [19, 72].

The current recommendation to initiate iron chelation therapy in appropriate myelodysplastic syndrome patients are based on the total number of blood transfusions and on elevated serum ferritin. Serum ferritin should be assessed at diagnosis of myelodysplastic syndrome and at regular intervals thereafter; in transfusion-dependent patients, this should be three to four times a year. Liver MRI is considered more precise and useful than serum ferritin, but not compulsory [74, 75]. T2* may be used to measure iron concentrations in cardiac and liver tissue [76].

Conclusions

Accurate evaluation and monitoring of iron overload has major implications regarding prognosis and quality of life. Serum ferritin and transferrin saturation are valuable screening instruments and help to identify patients with hyperferritinemia and risk of iron overload. LIC MRI can guide further differential diagnosis by evaluating the presence of iron overload and by the detection of underlying conditions. As LIC MRI is non-invasive, accurate, reproducible and well accepted by the patients, it is suitable not only for the diagnosis of iron overload, but also for regular assessment of iron overload. It allows physicians to tailor therapy more individually in iron-chelated patients. Invasive LIC assessment by biopsy remains reserved for cases where histopathology is required.
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