Cranio-maxillofacial injuries in victims of interpersonal violence

Data from a Swiss university hospital
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Summary

BACKGROUND: Recent research has indicated an increase in the severity of head injuries in Switzerland. The aim of the present study was to describe the epidemiological features of cranio-maxillofacial (CMF) injuries due to interpersonal violence in patients at the Bern University Hospital Emergency Department (ED), based on injury patterns.

METHODS: Retrospective analysis was performed on data collected during an 11-year period between 1 January 2000 and 31 December 2010 covering 1,585 patients. A distinction was drawn between neurocranial and maxillofacial injuries, and two time periods (2000–2004 and 2005–2010) were compared.

RESULTS: The patients’ median age at the time of admission was 26 years (range 12–82), and 1,473 of 1,585 patients (92.9%) were males. Referrals increased from an annual average of 119.6 in 2000–2004 to 164.5 in 2005–2010 (p < 0.001). Severe neurocranial injuries doubled in number – from an annual average of 4.2 in 2000–2004 to 8.5 in 2005–2010 (p = 0.010). Maxillofacial injuries seen in the ED increased from an average of 163.6 per year in 2000–2004 to 247.8 in 2005–2010 (p < 0.001), and the number of maxillofacial injuries per patient increased from 1.37 to 1.51 (p = 0.015).

CONCLUSIONS: Cranio-maxillofacial (CMF) injuries chiefly affect young people, and thus impose a heavy economic burden on society. Synergies are possible between the implementation of violence prevention strategies and other prevention objectives in every age group and intervention area.
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Introduction

Interpersonal violence comprises family and community violence [1, 2] and involves the same classic risk factors as those applicable to a multitude of public health problems, namely socioeconomic factors, including problems with inequality and clashes between different cultures [1]. Victims of interpersonal violence frequently suffer head and face injuries, and it has been shown that the severity and number of major head injuries increased in the early 2000s [3]. Fatal outcome rates (i.e. mortality rates) are readily available for high-income countries [4, 5], but reliable data on non-fatal outcomes of interpersonal violence are scarce worldwide and researchers have largely to rely on estimates [1, 3, 4, 6–9]. It is estimated that interpersonal violence is responsible for 0.2% of disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) overall, ranking 53rd of all causes and rising to 2.5% of total DALYs and 4.3% to total mortality in men aged between 15 and 44 years in high-income European countries [1, 4]. As the consequences of interpersonal violence predominantly concern young people, they may have a much higher economic impact than can be gleaned from mortality rates or DALYs alone (e.g. high opportunity costs, a lifetime of psychological distress/disability) [10]. The effective economic impact of violence in Switzerland is virtually unknown: Miller et al. estimated the total costs of violence for the United States in 2001 at US$ 329.8 billion, and thus 3.3% of US gross domestic product [11]. A study for England and Wales estimated the total costs as US$ 40.2 billion in 2000 or US$ 773 per capita [12]. Extrapolated to the Swiss population in 2000, this would correspond to annual costs of violence for Switzerland of US$ 5.4 billion. Regarding head injuries, recent research has chiefly focused on facial trauma alone or has included only certain types of injury [13–15]. The purpose of the present study was to describe the epidemiological features of cranio-maxillofacial (CMF) injuries due to interpersonal violence as recorded in patients at the emer-
Methods

The study was conducted in an inner city ED with approximately 30,000 annual visits. The ED at Bern University Hospital is the only Level 1 accident and emergency unit in a rural catchment area with a population of nearly 1 million providing service for all insurance classes with 24-hour coverage. During the study period the size of the population of the city and canton of Bern slightly increased (3.9% and 3.8% respectively; see supplementary online table 1), and a centrally located ED opened in the inner city, but without closure of nearby hospital services providing care for patients with CMF injuries. Two authors (APB, JK) performed a retrospective analysis of data prospectively collected during an 11-year period between 1 January 2000 and 31 December 2010, using our SAP patient database, in which all ED visits are registered and which is accessible by classified key word search, as described previously [3]. The study was approved by the institutional review board and data were collected, stored, analysed and shared in strict adherence to the ethics committee standards of Inselspital Bern. Patients with the key words “Schlägerei” (brawl), “Gewalt” (violence), “Messerstecherei” (stabbing), “Schiesseri” (shooting), and “häusliche Gewalt” (domestic violence) were included (n = 1,585). We compared two time periods, viz. 2000–2004 versus 2005–2010. Baseline demographic data and the following clinical data were extracted: type and location of cranio-maxillofacial injuries (table 1), cause of injury/instruments used, use of alcohol and drugs and concomitant injuries due to direct violence against the respective body part. We included only the most dangerous instruments used against the head. Generic entries (e.g. “fight”) were classified as “body parts”.

In the case of a soft tissue injury above a fracture, an entry was made only for the more severe injury. Multiple entries for one structure were made if multiple unrelated injuries to that structure had occurred (e.g. multiple non-communicating orbital fractures). Communicating injuries (e.g. fractures of the zygoma involving the maxillary sinus) were considered a single injury. For anatomical definitions see supplementary online table 2. Cranial injuries were subdivided into severe and mild injuries (table 1).

Rates and median values were tested for changes over time using the Cochran-Armitage trend test. Levels of statistical significance were defined as p < 0.05. All tests were two-sided. The statistical analyses were performed with SAS version 9.0 (SAS Institute Inc. Heidelberg, Germany).

Results

For the 11-year study period, 1,585 patient referrals to the ED were enrolled in the study. The median age at the time of admission was 26 (range 12–82) years, with 116 (7.3%) patients >45 years (table 2); 1473 (92.9%) were males, 191 (12.1%) were students/trainees and 1,025 (64.7%) were Swiss citizens. Overall, 943 (59.5%) of referrals occurred

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Type and location of cranial and maxillofacial injuries.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region of maxillofacial injury*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Types of maxillofacial injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of cranial injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region of concomitant injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrument used against head</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Middle, right or left added where applicable. ** e.g. knives, broken bottles, glass shards.
at weekends. Over time, the frequency of CMF injuries and maxillofacial injuries as a percentage of all ED visits significantly increased from 0.21% to 0.47% (p trend < 0.001; see fig. 1) and from 0.34% to 0.68% (p trend < 0.001) respectively.

The most frequently used instruments were parts of the attacker’s body, accounting for 1,396/1,646 (83.4%) of instruments, followed by blunt objects (210/1646; 12.8%), sharp objects (29/1,646; 1.8%) and irritant gas (11/1646; 0.7%). Over time, parts of the attacker’s body were used significantly more frequently as instruments as a percentage of all instruments used in CMF (2000: 78.9%, 2010: 88.9%; p trend = 0.009).

A total of 736 patients with injuries to the neurocranium (273 patients with isolated neurocranial and 463 with combined neurocranial and maxillofacial injuries) and 849 patients with isolated maxillofacial injuries were referred, including 72/736 (9.8%) patients with severe cranial injuries. In all, 816/2,305 (35.4%) maxillofacial injuries were located in the middle, 861/2,305 (37.4%) on the left side and 584/2,305 (25.3%) on the right side of the face (44 not specified). Concomitant injuries were registered in 572/1,585 (36.1%) patients and 645/1,585 (40.7%) were under the influence of drugs or alcohol when sustaining their CMF injury. In all, 28/1,585 (1.8%) were referred due to domestic violence; 25/28 were females, even though females accounted for only 112/1,585 (7.1%) patients.

There were several statistically significant changes from the first (2000–2004) to the second time period (2005–2010): the ratio of weekend: working day referrals increased from 0.81–1.1 to 1.5–2.3 (p < 0.001); the numbers of patients with injuries of the neurocranium increased from 268/398 (44.8%) to 468/987 (47.7%) (p < 0.001) and the annual average of 4.2 referrals per year with severe cranial injuries doubled to 8.5 (p = 0.010); the average of 163.6 maxillofacial injuries per year rose to 247.8 per year (p < 0.001) and the number of maxillofacial injuries per patient rose from 1.37 to 1.51 from the first to the second period (p = 0.015). The proportion of patients who had consumed alcohol or other drugs increased from 213/598 (35.6%) to 432/987 (43.7%) (p = 0.001).

**Discussion**

Most of the patients referred to the ED with violence-related CMF injury were young males. The absolute number of injuries, the number of injuries per patient and the severity of head injuries increased over time. Neurocranial injuries were more severe and slightly more frequent; severe neurocranial injuries occurred twice as frequently in the later period.

Young males are known to be frequent victims and perpetrators of interpersonal violence, and the 15- to 44-year group is greatly overrepresented [1, 3, 4, 16]. Previous work including all types of interpersonal violence-related injuries showed a male-to-female ratio of 8.4:1 [3] versus 13.2:1 in the present investigation; males were more prone to suffer CMF injuries requiring medical attention in fights than are females. Males appear to be injured by more dangerous mechanisms, being more frequently injured with objects than are females [13]. In this study females are more common among older patients and the majority of victims of domestic interpersonal violence are women.

As previously shown, an increasing number of referrals occurred at weekends [3, 7]. Consumption of alcohol and other drugs is recognised as an independent risk factor for violent behaviour [1, 16]. The proportion of patients found to have consumed alcohol or other drugs was lower than in previous work on patients with facial fractures from interpersonal violence [15].

As shown by others, many risk factors and protection factors for interpersonal violence are similar to other forms of externalised problem behaviour (e.g. delinquency, substance abuse). Thus prevention of interpersonal violence should be part of general health promotion, with analogue goals for prevention of substance abuse and promotion of mental and physical health (e.g. promotion of individual, family and community connectedness) [17, 18].

Comparison of the 2000–2004 and 2005–2010 periods showed that the number of patients under drugs at the time of injury has increased. This may be due to two factors: on the one hand, the alcohol consumption of the Swiss population in general and of adolescents in particular has increased in recent years; and at risk drinking has been occurring more frequently, especially at weekends [5, 19]. On the other hand, the ED staff’s sensitivity to youthful alcohol consumption may have increased, resulting in more diligent recording of such findings.

---

**Table 2: Age distribution.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>% of referrals</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>% of males</th>
<th>Females</th>
<th>% of females</th>
<th>Ratio M:F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;18</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>12.6:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18–25</td>
<td>715</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>669</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>14.5:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26–35</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>16.2:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36–45</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>10.4:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;45</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>7.3:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,585</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>1,473</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>13.2:1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure 1**

CMF injuries as a percentage of all ED visits.
The trend towards more severe head injuries seems to be continuing [3]. Although these numbers may seem relatively small, such injuries cause not only a huge loss of quality of life for the patient but also major medical and indirect costs [19]. The left side of the face has previously been found to be injured more often than the right side in cases of interpersonal violence, as around 95% of the population are right-hand dominant and the opponent’s left side is thus more accessible [13]. This investigation suffers from the limitations inherent in all retrospective studies, being reliant on data not specifically tailored towards its needs, while underreporting or misreporting may be possible. Additionally, patients were already pre-selected by the act of referral to a university hospital ED, as opposed to having sought assistance in one of the smaller EDs in Bern, in their general practitioner’s office or not at all.

Conclusion

Interpersonal violence is a global public health problem, and although violence-related head injuries are still less common in Switzerland than in other high-income countries, they appear to be increasing in number and severity. In order to clarify their full national impact, further research in other Swiss centres is necessary. Cranial and maxillofacial injuries chiefly affect young adults and thus impose a major economic burden on society. There are possible synergies for the implementation of violence prevention strategies along with other prevention objectives (e.g. for delinquency, substance abuse) in every age group and intervention area.
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Supplementary tables

**Supplementary table 1:** Size of population in the catchment area*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catchment area</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Size of Population</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nearer area: city of Bern</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>126,752</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>131,702</td>
<td>+3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wider area: canton of Bern</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>943,735</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>979,435</td>
<td>+3.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Reference: www.vol.be.ch

**Supplementary table 2:** Anatomical definitions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anatomical structure</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maxillofacial region</td>
<td>Vertically: extending from the inferior limit of the mandible to the superior rim of the orbit.Horizontally: including all structures anterior to the dorsal rim of the auricle, except the external auditory meatus.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior part of the orbit</td>
<td>Although the superior part of the orbit is formed by the frontal bone, this was allocated to the face.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cranial region</td>
<td>Superior to a line from above the orbits to the superior rim of the auricles. Injuries below that line were considered to be maxillofacial injuries frontally and to be neck injuries retroauricularly.Retroauricularly all structures between the inferior border of the earlobe (e.g., mastoid).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1
CMF injuries as a percentage of all ED visits.