Pre- and intra-operative mediastinal staging in non-small-cell lung cancer
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Summary

Primary mediastinal lymph node staging is important to select properly patients who can benefit from an induction treatment. The accuracy of CT scan in the evaluation of mediastinal lymph nodes is low. Further staging can be omitted in patients with negative mediastinal PET in most of the cases. PET positive findings should always be histologically or cytologically confirmed. Endoscopic techniques are accurate minimally invasive techniques mostly used to confirm a PET-positive finding but not for complete mediastinal staging. Mediastinoscopy is an invasive technique which provides a complete staging of the upper mediastinum. At least one ipsilateral, one contralateral and the subcarinal nodes should be routinely biopsied. Restaging of the mediastinum after induction treatment is necessary to select the patients who can benefit from surgery. There are no imaging techniques which can accurately determine the biological response of the tumour to the induction treatment. Neither CT, PET or PET-CT seem good enough to make further therapeutic decisions, based on their results. The accuracy of PET in mediastinal restaging is not optimal, mainly due to its low sensitivity. Fusion images with PET-CT seem to improve the results with a very favourable sensitivity, specificity and accuracy. An invasive technique providing cytohistological information is necessary. For restaging techniques, endoscopic techniques or surgical invasive techniques can be used. If they yield a positive result, definitive nonsurgical treatment seems to be indicated in most patients. Remediastinoscopy has proven to be feasible but due to adhesions and fibrosis, the intervention is technically challenging.

The technique of lymph-node assessment during surgery for non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is not standardised to date. Accurate intra-operative staging is necessary to compare the results from different institutions and to conduct multi-institutional trials. Systematic mediastinal lymph-node dissection is recommended in all cases for complete resection of NSCLC and improves pathologic staging and the prospect for adjuvant therapy. The role of mediastinal lymphadenectomy regarding overall survival and local control remains controversial but systematic lymph-node dissection might be associated with a better outcome in stage I NSCLC. Lobe-specific systematic nodal dissection is acceptable for peripheral squamous T1 tumours, if hilar and interlobar nodes are negative on frozen section studies.
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Pre-operative mediastinal staging

Figure 1
Introduction
Accurate staging is required to provide precise information on the extent of the disease and to determine the most appropriate therapy in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC). It is also important for estimating prognosis and for comparison of studies. It has been shown that patients with clinical stage III are a heterogeneous group in which the outcome is very poor when treated with surgery or radiotherapy alone. In order to improve the outcome in this subgroup of patients, the concept of multimodality treatment has been introduced. Several studies have shown a significant benefit on survival with potential curability after an induction therapy followed by surgery in the case of disappearance of the tumour from mediastinal nodes (downstaging, observed in about 50–60%) and complete resection in the patients with stage IIIA–N2 disease (tumour infiltration of the mediastinal nodes) [1–5]. Therefore, an accurate pre-induction (primary staging) and post-induction (restaging) lymph-node staging is mandatory. It is evident that both in primary staging and restaging, not every staging technique is available in every centre. Therefore, the choice of the technique used is dependent on the availability and expertise of the centre. In order to obtain the most precise (re)staging, an integration of these procedures is highly recommended, especially in the context of clinical trials and to maintain the morbidity as low as possible.

Primary mediastinal lymph-node staging

Chest CT-scan
Computed tomography (CT) of the chest is part of the traditional work-up used for staging purposes. CT provides morphologic information on the extent of the disease but has limited usefulness in the assessment of mediastinal lymph-node involvement. A diameter larger than 1 cm in the short axis is generally considered as the standard criterion for a suspicious lymph node. However, metastases have been found in up to 20% of small nodes in patients with clinical stage cT1N0 and cT2N0, and only about 50% of the nodes with a diameter of 1.5 cm to 2 cm are metastatic [6]. Therefore, lymph-node size does not predict malignancy. Several meta-analyses have reported low sensitivities and specificities of CT in the assessment of mediastinal lymph-node involvement, ranging from 50% to 65% and from 65% to 85%, respectively [6]. This performance is insufficient for clinical decisions, but CT can be of help in selecting the most appropriate procedure for tissue sample of the suspect lymph nodes.

Mediastinoscopy
Mediastinoscopy remains the gold standard for invasive complete staging of the upper mediastinum in patients with potentially operable lung cancer. Cervical mediastinoscopy is the most commonly used and was introduced by Carlen in 1959. It is a surgical biopsy technique under general anaesthesia [7].

According to the lymph-node map proposed by Montain and Dresler [8; fig. 1], the following lymph-node stations can be evaluated by cervical mediastinoscopy: the high mediastinal station (level 1), the right and left superior paratracheal station (level 2R, level 2L), the right and left lower paratracheal station (level 4R, level 4L), and the subcricoid station (level 7). There is no internationally accepted recommendation regarding how many lymph-node stations should be examined at cervical mediastinoscopy but ideally, the following nodal stations should be biopsied [9]:
- right and left superior paratracheal nodes (stations 2R and 2L)
- right and left inferior paratracheal nodes (stations 4R and 4L)
- subcricoid (station 7)

An advantage of mediastinoscopy over fine needle aspiration is that a full mediastinal staging can be performed. This might be important in the differentiation and treatment planning of patients with single and multi-level N2-disease. The sensitivity of cervical mediastinoscopy is reported to be between 72% and 89%, on average 81% with a negative predictive value of 91% [10]. The results of the suboptimal sensitivity can partly be explained by the fact that some lymph node (LN) stations (stations 5, 6, 7 posteriorly and stations 8 and 9) are not accessible by cervical mediastinoscopy.

More recently, mediastinoscopy is performed by the use of video-mediastinoscopy [7, 11]. This definitely improves the visualisation of the operative field and may lead to a higher accuracy in staging and to a better systematisation of the technique [11, 12]. The technique of video-mediastinoscopy seems relatively simple to perform but should be reserved for experienced centres due to the proximity of some large vessels (superior vena cava, right pulmonary artery, vena innominata and brachio-cephalic trunk) and of the left recurrent nerve on the left side of the trachea. Some large published series have shown that the morbidity and mortality of this staging technique can be very low in experienced hands [13].

PET scan and PET-CT
Non-invasive lung cancer staging was substantially improved by the use of positron emission tomography with 18F-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose (FDG-PET), which gives information about the metabolism of the cells. A large
number of accuracy studies and already six meta-analyses [6, 14–18] have demonstrated that PET is superior to CT scans for mediastinal staging in potential operable non-small-cell lung cancer.

Comparable high sensitivities and negative predictive values have been observed for PET and mediastinoscopy (table 1). However, the positive predictive value and the specificity of FDG PET-scan are lower than mediastinoscopy due to the fact that FDG is also taken up by inflammatory processes.

Due to the high negative predictive value of PET-scans, invasive staging procedures like mediastinoscopy can generally be omitted in patients with negative mediastinal PET images. However, in case of patients with central tumours, central hilar N1-disease on CT scan, broncho-alveolar cell carcinoma or in all situations with weak FDG-uptake in the primary [19, 20], more invasive mediastinal staging by use of mediastinoscopy or endoscopic techniques is recommended. It is estimated that the introduction of PET has reduced the number of mediastinoscopies by 65% [19]. In case of positive mediastinal PET, invasive mediastinal staging is still needed to confirm lymph-node metastasis or not.

The actual resolution of PET scans is about 5 mm. The main drawback of PET is the poor quality of its anatomical information. The exact localisation of a single focal abnormality can be difficult or even impossible with use of PET alone. Ten years ago, integrated PET-CT scanners were introduced. The great advantages of this technique consist of the precise anatomical correlation of the radionuclide uptake, an identical positioning of the patient, no time interval for data acquisition and no additional work for collecting data. The first available studies showed an increased diagnostic accuracy of integrated PET-CT which respects the nodal staging in comparison to all other standard imaging methods [21]. Integrated PET/CT was also demonstrated to be superior to all other imaging techniques in the evaluation of chest wall infiltration, of mediastinum invasion, and in the exact localisation of occult distant metastases, which are found in up to 15% of the patients with potentially operable NSCLC [21].

Invasive-non surgical procedures

Endoscopic techniques are minimally invasive approaches that provide histological or cytological confirmation of nodal tumour involvement. A transbronchial needle aspiration (TBNA) of mediastinal lymph nodes can be performed. TBNA has been shown to be safe and useful in patients with enlarged mediastinal lymph nodes. However, this technique has a moderate yield (ATS 4, 7), is a “blind” technique, operator dependent, and the results depend on the size of the lymph node [22]. In an overview, a sensitivity of 76% and a false negative rate of 29% were reported for conventional transbronchial needle aspiration in clinical N2 disease [10, 23] (table 1). This high false negative rate compromises the use of conventional transbronchial needle aspiration for routine complete mediastinal lymph-node staging.

The accuracy can be improved by guidance of endoscopic ultrasonography (the endobronchial or esophageal ultrasound-guided fine needle aspiration, EBUS-TBNA and EUS-FNA respectively). A prospective study demonstrated an improvement of the diagnostic accuracy of EBUS-TBNA in comparison with TBNA, especially for stations 2 and 4 [24]. The yield of EBUS-TBNA is comparable with mediastinoscopy (upper mediastinum) but the hilar (ATS 10) and intrapulmonary nodal stations can be biopsied additionally. The EUS-guided FNA are mainly suitable for the assessment of LNs in the posterior part of levels 4L, 5 and 7, and in the inferior mediastinal at levels 8 and 9, as described on the Mountain-Dresler map (fig. 1).

A review of the literature reported a pooled sensitivity of 88%, a specificity of 91%, a positive predictive value of 98% and a negative predictive value of 77%. However, these studies were performed in patients with enlarged lymph nodes and a high suspicion of N2-N3 disease [25].

When the prevalence of involved mediastinal lymph nodes is high, an improved sensitivity is to be expected which does not reflect the accuracy in patients with normal sized lymph nodes. It is generally accepted that endoscopic techniques are suitable to prove suspicious mediastinal lymph nodes (PET-positive finding), especially when the lymph node is not reachable by mediastinoscopy, but cannot be used to exclude mediastinal lymph-node disease because of the low negative predictive value. Transbronchial-/oesophageal US-guided FNA is therefore not a substitute for mediastinoscopy. These techniques should be considered as complementary staging methods.

Summary for primary mediastinal lymph-node staging

(see algorithm fig. 2)

– The accuracy of CT scans in the evaluation of mediastinal lymph nodes is insufficient to guide clinical decisions.

– Invasive staging can be omitted in patients with negative mediastinal PET images. However, in case of central tumours, PET hilar N1 disease, low FDG uptake of the primary tumour, invasive staging with mediastinoscopy remains indicated.

– PET positive mediastinal findings should be histologically or cytologically confirmed.

– Transbronchial needle aspiration, ultrasound-guided bronchoscopy (EBUS-TBNA) and esophagoscopy (EUS-FNA) are techniques that provide cytological/histological diagnosis and are minimally invasive. They can be complementary to surgical invasive staging technique. Their specificity is high, but their negative predictive value is low. Due to this, if they yield negative results, an invasive surgical technique is indicated.

– Cervical mediastinoscopy provides the advantage that a full mapping of mediastinal lymph nodes can be performed. At least one ipsilateral, one contralateral and the subcarinal LNs should be biopsied.

Mediastinal restaging after induction treatment

Mediastinal restaging after induction therapy is required to aid proper selection of patients likely to benefit from surgical resection. This is important because resection following induction therapy may increase post-operative mor-
bidity and mortality compared with resection without induction treatment [26].

The mediastinum can be restaged by CT-scan, mediastinoscopy or mediastinotomy, PET scan, PET-CT-scan and fine needle aspiration.

**Techniques of restaging**

In primary staging, CT-scanning has proved to have a low accuracy. It is not surprising that the accuracy of CT-scans in restaging the mediastinum is also low, with a sensitivity of 50%, a specificity of 65%, and an accuracy of about 60% [27, 28].

Mediastinoscopy offers the advantage of providing histological evidence of response after induction therapy. The technique is often challenging, due to fibrosis and severe adhesions.

Only a few centres have reported their experience with repeat mediastinoscopy. All these studies showed a lower sensitivity and a lower negative predictive value in comparison with primary mediastinoscopy [28–30]. The sensitivity to detect residual mediastinal disease was 70%, the specificity was 100% and accuracy was 80%. The problem is that mediastinoscopy was often inadequate or incomplete due to technical difficulties. A prospective study evaluated the accuracy of mediastinoscopy and PET-CT in restaging the mediastinum after mediastinoscopy proven N2 disease in 30 patients [27]. In this experience, mediastinoscopy was technically feasible but inaccurate due to severe adhesions and fibrosis. In all these series involving a limited number of patients, no mortality and only minimal morbidity was reported. However, technical difficulties of mediastinoscopy may prevent the generalisation of its use for restaging purposes.

In the restaging of mediastinal lymph nodes after induction therapy, PET-scan is less sensitive than before induction treatment with a higher rate of false negative interpretations. In most studies [31–35], the sensitivity was reported to be 50–60% with a good specificity of 85–90%. The reason for this poor sensitivity is not clear. A very small mass of tumour, such as post-treatment microscopic foci surrounded by fibrosis, may be more difficult to detect. Changes in the microenvironment of the tumour such as altered perfusion due to post-chemotherapy changes may also impair presentation of FDG to the metastatic lymph nodes. The time interval between induction therapy and post-induction PET also seems to play an important role in the accuracy of this technique for mediastinal restaging.

Use of PET-CT fusion images significantly increases the specificity through better localisation of focal FDG uptake in mediastinum [27].

It seems that SUV max values from two PET/CT scans, before and after induction therapy allow prediction of the histopathological response in the primary tumour and mediastinal lymph nodes and have prognostic value [36]. Several studies have shown that high residual activity (≥4) in primary tumour and in mediastinal nodes is associated with poor prognosis and a high rate of incomplete resections (up to 46%).

There is still little experience with transbronchial or transesophageal ultrasound guided biopsy for restaging of the mediastinum. First results indicate a diagnostic accuracy of about 80%. The problem remains a low negative predictive value as shown in a recent prospective study [37, 38]. Although the use of the endoscopic techniques may avoid the difficulties of mediastinoscopy, their role for a complete mediastinal restaging has not yet been defined.

**Summary for mediastinal restaging after induction therapy**

– Restaging of the mediastinum after induction treatment is necessary to select the patients who can benefit from surgery.

– At the present time, there are no imaging techniques which can accurately determine the biological response of the tumour to the induction treatment. Neither CT, PET or PET-CT seem good enough to make further therapeutic decisions, based on their results.

– The accuracy of PET in mediastinal restaging is not optimal, mainly due to its low sensitivity. Fusion images with PET-CT seem to improve the results with a very favourable sensitivity, specificity and accuracy.

– An invasive technique providing cytohistological information is necessary. For restaging techniques, endoscopic techniques or surgical invasive techniques can be used. If they yield a positive result, definitive non-surgical treatment seems to be indicated in most patients.

– Mediastinoscopy has proven to be feasible but due to adhesions and fibrosis, the intervention is technically challenging.

– Less invasive techniques, such as EBUS fine needle aspiration, seem to obtain similar results as mediastinoscopy.

**Intra-operative mediastinal staging**

**Introduction**

There are internationally accepted definitions for intra-operative lymph-node staging in NSCLC, however there are some unanswered questions regarding the extent, nomenclature definition, and surgical procedure of intra-operative lymph node evaluation.

---

**Table 1: Performance of different locoregional staging techniques (adapted from Toloza 2003).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
<th>NPV (%)</th>
<th>PPV (%)</th>
<th>Prevalence (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PET</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blind TBNA</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUS-FNA</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediastinoscopy</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NPV: negative predictive value; PPV: positive predictive value; TBNA: transbronchial needle aspiration; EUS-FNA: esophagal ultrasound guided fine needle aspiration; Prevalence: proportion of patients with metastatic mediastinal nodes in the study cohorts.
**Intra-operative lymph node assessment**

Although it is clear that nodal staging of non-small-cell lung cancer should be as accurate as possible, the extent of mediastinal lymph node assessment during surgery is controversial and there is no consensus [39]. Different techniques are used, ranging from simple visual inspection of the unopened mediastinum to an extended bilateral lymph node dissection. Furthermore, different terms are used to define these techniques.

- **Sampling:** Sampling is the removal of one or more lymph nodes guided by pre- or intra-operative findings which are thought to be representative. Systematic sampling means a predetermined selection of the lymph node stations specified by the surgeon.

- **Systematic nodal dissection:** All the mediastinal tissue containing the lymph nodes is dissected and removed systematically within anatomical landmarks. It is recommended that at least 3 mediastinal nodal stations (but always subcarinal) should be excised as a minimum requirement. The nodes are separately labelled and examined histologically. Beside the mediastinal nodes, the hilar and the intrapulmonary lymph nodes are dissected as well [40].

- **Lobe-specific systematic node dissection:** In this procedure, the mediastinal tissue containing specific lymph node stations are excised, depending on the lobar location of the primary tumour. The subcarinal front should always be removed.

- **Extended lymph node dissection:** In this procedure, bilateral mediastinal and cervical lymph node dissection is performed through median sternotomy and cervicotomy.

For complete resection of non-small-cell lung cancer, a systematic nodal dissection is recommended in all cases (also after induction therapy) [41–43]. Ideally, this should be done as an en-bloc resection, when possible, of the upper mediastinal nodes on the right side (stations 2R and 4R), and of the lower mediastinum, including the fatty tissue from the diaphragm to the subcarinal space (stations 7, 8, and 9). On the left side, removal of the lymph node stations 4–9 should be performed, including the sub-aortic (aorto-pulmonary window, number 5) and para-aortic (number 6) stations. For a complete nodal dissection of the left upper mediastinum, division of the ligamentum arteriosum allowing mobilisation of the aortic arch is recommended, with special care not to injure the left recurrent laryngeal nerve [43].

All the nodal stations excised should be put in different vials with separate labelling. It is important to note that although systematic mediastinal nodal dissection is recommended, only 1/3 of the surgeons in North America perform this type of lymphadenectomy.

**Modification in specific clinical situations**

- For peripheral squamous cell carcinoma T1, a more selective nodal dissection depending on the lobar location of the primary tumour (lobe-specific systematic nodal dissection) is acceptable, based on the detailed analysis of lobe-specific lymphatic drainage [44, 45]. It has been shown that the probability of unforeseen N2 disease is very low (less than 5%) in such patients [46, 47].

With this technique, a minimal dissection of at least 3 mediastinal nodal stations depending on the lobar location of the primary tumour has been recommended [48]. Dissection and histological examination of hilar and interlobar nodes have to be tumour-free on frozen section analysis. Right upper and middle lobe: 2R, 4R and 7 Right lower lobe: 4R, 7, 8 and 9 Left upper lobe: 5, 6 and 7 Left lower lobe: 7, 8 and 9.

In total, the lymphadenectomy specimen should include at least 6 nodes.

- **High-risk patients:** Intra-operative lymph node assessment can be minimised in high-risk patients undergoing minimal invasive video assisted wedge resections, but if the patient can tolerate a lobectomy, standard recommendation of lymph node assessment should be followed [49].

Whether extending the lymph-node dissection influences survival or recurrence rate of the disease remains to be determined [50–53]. There are data which clearly show that systematic sampling or nodal dissection improves intra-operative staging, especially in the detection of multi-level N2 disease which is associated with a poorer prognosis [50, 51, 54].

Three retrospective studies have shown a survival benefit (5-, and 10-y survival) from complete mediastinal dissection in stage I NSCLC. One prospective study indicates improved survival in stage II and IIIA after systematic dissection [51]. A prospective non randomised trial comparing 50 patients undergoing systematic lymph node dissection and 50 patients undergoing systematic sampling showed a significant longer disease-free survival and a significant lower local recurrence rate (13% vs. 45%) after systematic nodal dissection in patients with stage I NSCLC. There was no higher morbidity (intra-operative blood loss, need for transfusion, bronchopleural fistula) after systematic nodal dissection, and the duration of chest tube drainage and of hospitalisation was comparable in the two groups [53].

Recently, a meta-analysis of 3 randomised controlled trials indicated a significant reduction in the risk of death at 4 years after systematic nodal dissection compared to sampling in patients with stage I-III A NSCLC undergoing resection [55].

**Summary**

- The technique of lymph-node assessment during surgery for NSCLC is not standardised to date.

- An accurate intra-operative staging is necessary to compare the results from different institutions and to conduct multi-institutional trials.

- Systematic mediastinal lymph-node dissection is recommended in all cases for complete resection of NSCLC, and improves pathologic staging and the prospect for adjuvant therapy.

- The role of mediastinal lymphadenectomy regarding overall survival and local control remains controversial but systematic lymph-node dissection might be associated with a better outcome in early-stage NSCLC.
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