Rapid point-of-care HCV RNA quantification in capillary whole blood for diagnosing chronic HCV infection, monitoring treatment and detecting reinfection
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Summary

BACKGROUND: Rapid point-of-care capillary hepatitis C virus (HCV) RNA quantification could remove barriers to chronic hepatitis C diagnosis and treatment.

AIMS: To evaluate the diagnostic accuracy of rapid point-of-care HCV RNA quantification by Cepheid’s GeneXpert® in 100 µl capillary whole blood using our laboratory-based standard quantitative HCV polymerase chain-reaction (PCR) test (Roche Cobas® Ampliprep/Taqman) with 650 µl venous EDTA plasma as the reference test.

METHODS: In a prospective study conducted between November 2016 and May 2019 in the Infectious Diseases Outpatient Clinic of a Swiss tertiary care hospital, all adults with an indication for HCV RNA quantification (including HCV treatment monitoring) and written informed consent provided venous and capillary blood for parallel testing. Up to October 2018, we used the Xpert® HCV Viral Load (VL) test (105 min; developed for 1 ml plasma or serum), for which 1 ml Cepheid® buffer was added to 100 µl finger-stick capillary whole blood (~55% plasma). Thereafter, the Xpert® HCV Viral Load Finger-Stick (VL FS) test (60 min; specifically developed for 100 µl capillary whole blood) was evaluated.

RESULTS: (1) Xpert® HCV VL test. Among 194 paired samples from 88 patients, 99 (51.0%) were positive using Cobas® in venous plasma. Sensitivity and specificity of the Xpert® HCV VL test with 100µl capillary whole blood was 97.0% (96/99; 95% confidence interval [CI] 91.5–99.0%) and 94.7% (90/95; 95% CI 88.3–97.7%), respectively. The eight (4.1%) discordant results (three false negative, five false positive) were all under direct acting antiviral (DAA) treatment (week 1–4 or end of treatment), when HCV RNA was near the limit of quantification (highest HCV RNA value missed by Xpert® 68 IU/ml). Quantifiable results (n = 68) correlated well (R² = 0.9165) irrespective of genotype, sex and HIV status. On average, Xpert® HCV VL test results were 0.10 (±0.17) log IU/ml lower.

(2) Xpert® HCV VL FS test. Among 33 paired samples from 23 patients, 15 (45.5%) were positive using Cobas® in venous plasma. Sensitivity and specificity of the Xpert® HCV VL FS test with 100 µl capillary whole blood was 100% (15/15; 95% CI 79.6–100%) and 88.9% (16/18; 95% CI 67.2–96.9%), respectively. The two (6.1%) discordant results (both false positive) were under DAA treatment (week 3 and 4), when HCV RNA was near the limit of quantification. Quantifiable results (n = 14) correlated well (R² = 0.9899). On average, Xpert® HCV VL FS test results were 0.10 (±0.17) log IU/ml lower.

CONCLUSIONS: Point-of-care HCV RNA quantification in capillary whole blood is a convenient, rapid and reliable method to diagnose active HCV infection, monitor treatment response and detect reinfection. For patients with difficult venous access after long-term intravenous drug use, capillary testing removes a crucial barrier to HCV treatment and reinfection monitoring. Same-day results might improve linkage to care.

Keywords: point-of-care, POCT, quantitative HCV RNA, finger-stick, capillary whole blood, HCV treatment monitoring, GeneXpert

Introduction

In order to reach the World Health Organization (WHO) goal “Hepatitis C elimination by 2030”, 80% treatment uptake is required [1]. With the latest well-tolerated pangenotypic direct acting antivirals (DAAs) (sofosbuvir/velpatasvir or glecaprevir/pibrentasvir) [2, 3], treatment duration decreased to 8–12 weeks, treatment success increased to 95–100% and genotyping became dispensable [4]. As a consequence, hepatitis C virus (HCV) treatment uptake in the Swiss Association for the Medical Management in Substance Users (SAMMSU) cohort increased from 55 to 65% between 1 May 2017 and 1 May 2018 [5]. However, only those diagnosed by HCV antibody screening, further evaluated with HCV RNA polymerase chain-
reaction (PCR) testing and linked to care will eventually receive treatment. Diagnostic gaps in the HCV cascade have been described all over the world [6–9], particularly for the high-prevalence population of people who inject drugs with often difficult venous access.

Capillary tests are well accepted and potentially increase screening uptake [10]. Also, point-of-care tests (POCTs) providing results within minutes have been shown to improve linkage to care [11, 12]. However, they can only be recommended if sufficiently reliable. Although reliable rapid HCV antibody tests using capillary whole blood or saliva are already available [13], there is still need for optimising vireaemia detection [14]. Dried blood spots (DBSs) were successfully used for HCV genotyping and HCV RNA quantification [15]. However, at 150–250 IU/ml, the detection threshold was significantly higher than in plasma [16] and turn-around times do not allow immediate decision making.

The Xpert® HCV Viral Load (VL) test is a POCT approved for 1 ml venous plasma or serum (limit of detection [LOD] 4.0 IU/ml, 95% confidence interval [CI] 2.8–5.2) and 6.1 IU/ml, 95% CI 4.2–7.9, respectively). It provides quantitative results within 105 min [17–19]. So far, only one study in 150 participants evaluated the performance of this test for finger-stick capillary whole blood. Compared with the Abbott® RealTime HCV Viral Load assay with 500 µl venous plasma, sensitivity was 95.5% (95% CI 84.5–99.4%) and specificity 98.1% (95% CI 93.4–99.8%) [20]. In September 2018, the Xpert® HCV Viral Load Finger-Stick (VL FS) test, specifically developed for 100 µl capillary whole-blood (LOD 40 IU/ml; 60 min), was approved. Compared with the Abbott® RealTime HCV Viral Load assay with 500 µl venous plasma, sensitivity was 98.3% (95% CI 91.1–100%) and specificity 100% (95% CI 96.6–100%) (167 participants) [21]. Both studies, conducted by The Kirby Institute in Australia, excluded patients under DAA treatment [20, 21].

The aim of our prospective study was to evaluate the diagnostic accuracy of rapid point-of-care HCV RNA quantification by GeneXpert® in 100 µl capillary whole blood overall and in different settings (according to HCV genotype, sex and human immunodeficiency virus [HIV] serostatus, with and without correction for haemocrit, on and off HCV treatment) using our laboratory-based standard quantitative HCV polymerase chain reaction (PCR) test (Roche Cobas® Ampliprep/Taqman) with 650 µl venous EDTA plasma as the reference test. Until October 2018, we used the Xpert® HCV VL test (105 min; developed for 1 ml plasma or serum). Thereafter, the Xpert® HCV VL FS test (60 min; specifically developed for 100 µl capillary whole blood) was evaluated.

Materials and methods

Ethical considerations

The study was approved by the cantonal ethics committee (AG/SO 2012/091; PB 2016-02058). All participants gave written informed consent.

Study design and participants/samples

In a prospective study conducted between November 2016 and May 2019 in the Infectious Diseases Outpatient Clinic of the Cantonal Hospital Aarau, a tertiary care hospital, all adults with an indication for HCV RNA quantification and written informed consent provided both venous and capillary blood for parallel testing. To evaluate suitability of the capillary HCV RNA test for HCV treatment monitoring, specimens were collected during treatment, at the end of treatment (EOT) and 12 weeks thereafter (sustained virological response [SVR]).

The Xpert® HCV VL test was evaluated between November 2016 and October 2018 (194 paired samples from 88 patients) and the Xpert® HCV VL FS test between November 2018 and May 2019 (32 paired samples from 23 patients).

Index tests

Xpert® HCV VL test: 100 µl capillary whole blood collected after a finger stick (MiniCollect Safety Lancet; Greiner Bio-One, Frickenhausen, Germany) into an EDTA minivette (MiniCollect® POCT 100 µl EDTA; Sarstedt, Nümbrecht, Germany) were immediately transferred into an Xpert® HCV VL cartridge (GXHCV-VL-CE-10; Cepheid, Sunnyvale, CA, USA), approved for 1 ml plasma (limit of quantification [LOQ] 10 IU/ml, LOD 4.0 IU/ml, 95% CI 2.8–5.2) or serum; results are available within 105 min. As described by Grebely et al. [20], 1 ml Cepheid buffer (Cepheid, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) was added with the 1 ml transfer pipette included in the kit. Based on the assumption of an average haematocrit of 45%, 100 µl whole blood contains ~55 µl plasma, which is ~18-fold less than the 1 ml for which the cartridge is approved. Thus, capillary measurements were expected to be 1.3 log IU/ml lower than measurements in 1 ml plasma. Xpert® HCV VL testing of capillary whole blood was done on a GeneXpert® with four modules (GXIV-4-L System; Cepheid Sunnyvale, CA, USA).

Xpert® HCV VL FS test: 100 µl capillary whole-blood collected after a finger stick (see above) were immediately transferred into an Xpert® HCV VL FS cartridge (GXHCV-VL-CE-10; Cepheid, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) approved for 100 µl capillary whole blood (LOQ 100 IU/ml, LOD 40 IU/ml; result within 60 min) and measured with a GeneXpert®.

Both index tests were used as POCT. The GeneXpert® containing four modules is connected to a laptop and transportable in a passenger car. For the duration of the study, the GeneXpert® was situated in the room of the Infectious Diseases Outpatient Clinic where blood sampling is performed. After instruction by the study team, the clinical nurses ran the Xpert® tests independently. Prior to the capillary blood draw, the GeneXpert® instrument and the software were started, patient data entered and the Xpert® cartridge scanned. Immediately after the capillary blood draw, the sample was transferred into the cartridge, which was directly loaded to the prepared GeneXpert®. Thus, the “puncture-to-test-start time” was less than 1 minute. Since the four modules operate independently of each other, the next test can be loaded while the first is still running. After the Xpert® test had been started, venepuncture was performed to collect venous plasma for the reference test and the third assay (see below). In the event of an error message from the GeneXpert® (“insufficient volume errors” occur after 3.5 minutes), the patient was still available...
for another capillary blood draw. With the Xpert<sup>®</sup> HCV VL test running with 100 µl capillary whole blood and 1 ml buffer solution, we did not observe “insufficient volume errors”, whereas complete filling of the 100 µl EDTA minivette is crucial for the Xpert<sup>®</sup> HCV VL FS test, which uses only 100 µl capillary whole blood. Therefore, a lancet providing sufficient blood flow should be used. In the case of slow blood flow or air bubbles in the capillary, the blood draw should be repeated in order not to waste a cartridge.

Reference test
HCV RNA in 650 µl venous EDTA plasma was quantified with real-time PCR using the Cobas® AmpliPrep / Cobas® TaqMan<sup>®</sup> HCV Test CAP/CTM version 2.0 (Roche®, Ref. Nr. 05532264) (LOQ 15 IU/ml), which is routinely run once a week in our laboratory. Samples are centrifuged within 6 hours of collection and plasma stored at −20°C until testing. Specimen preparation is automated using the Cobas® AmpliPrep instrument with amplification and detection automated using the Cobas® TaqMan<sup>®</sup> 48 analyser. The Cobas® AmpliPrep / Cobas® TaqMan<sup>®</sup> HCV test is based on three major processes: (1) specimen preparation to isolate HCV RNA; (2) reverse transcription of the target RNA to generate complementary DNA (cDNA), and (3) simultaneous PCR amplification of target cDNA and detection with a cleaved dual-labelled oligonucleotide detection probe specific to the target. Primers define a sequence within the highly conserved region of the 5′-untranslated region of the HCV genome. The nucleotide sequence of the primers has been optimized to yield comparable amplification of all HCV genotypes.

Third assay for discordant/discrepant results
In the case of discordance between the index and the reference test, as well as discrepant quantifiable results (>2.3 log IU/ml or <0.3 log IU/ml difference between the Xpert<sup>®</sup> HCV VL test with 100 µl capillary whole-blood and the Cobas® assay with 650 µl venous plasma), an additional 1 ml venous plasma from the same blood draw was tested with the Xpert<sup>®</sup> HCV VL test according to the manufacturers’ recommendations to differentiate between material- (capillary whole blood vs venous plasma) and test- (Xpert<sup>®</sup> vs Cobas®) specific causes.

HCV genotyping
HCV genotypes were analysed by means of the VER-SANT<sup>®</sup> HCV Genotype 2.0 Assay (LiPA) (Siemens, Zurich, Switzerland), which detects 6 genotypes and 19 subtypes.

Statistical analysis
Data regarding the Xpert<sup>®</sup> HCV VL and the Xpert<sup>®</sup> HCV VL FS test were analysed separately. The following measures of accuracy were assessed: sensitivity (proportion of HCV RNA positives correctly identified by the capillary test), specificity (proportion of HCV RNA negatives correctly identified by the capillary test), positive predictive value (PPV; proportion of individuals with a positive capillary test result actually being HCV RNA positive), negative predictive value (NPV; proportion of individuals with a negative capillary test result actually being HCV RNA negative) and the proportion with concordant qualitative results. For the sensitivity/specificity analysis, we only considered qualitative results (detectable or not). “Detectable below 10 IU/ml” (Xpert<sup>®</sup> HCV VL test), “detectable below 100 IU/ml” (Xpert<sup>®</sup> HCV VL FS test) and “detectable below 15 IU/ml” (Cobas® assay) counted as positive results. We also determined diagnostic accuracy according to the following indications: pretreatment baseline, on-treatment follow-up, EOT, SVR, screening for reinfection, differentiation between spontaneous clearance and chronic hepatitis C, screening for acute infection.

Paired samples with quantifiable results in both tests underwent correlation analysis. A squared correlation coefficient (R<sup>2</sup>) and a fitted regression line were calculated.

Primers used by the Xpert<sup>®</sup> and the Cobas® platform might not recognise HCV RNA from different HCV genotypes equally well. Women have a lower haematocrit than men. Thus, on average, the plasma volume contained in 100 µl capillary whole blood is higher in women than in men. In human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) / HCV co-infected patients, there is interaction between the two viruses, resulting in enhanced HCV RNA replication and more rapid progression of HCV-related liver disease in co-infected patients [22, 23]. Accordingly, we investigated the correlation between Xpert<sup>®</sup> HCV VL and Cobas® results stratified by HCV genotype, sex and HIV serostatus and corrected [−log<sub>10</sub>(1000/(100−haematocrit(%)))] versus uncorrected for the same-day haematocrit.

For paired quantifiable results, Bland-Altman plots were produced. We plotted the difference between the Cobas® and the respective Xpert<sup>®</sup> results against their average. Correction for the haematocrit was done only for the Xpert<sup>®</sup> HCV VL test.

Statistical analyses were performed with Stata Version 12.0 and OpenEpi (www.openepi.com).

Results
Xpert<sup>®</sup> HCV VL test

Patient/sample characteristics
Between November 2016 and October 2018, 194 Xpert<sup>®</sup> HCV VL tests were performed in 88 patients. Patient and sample characteristics are described in table 1. About 70% of the patients were male, 80% had ever used intravenous drugs, about half were on opioid agonist therapy, all but three were HCV antibody positive and 39% HIV/HCV co-infected. The median age was 48 years. More than half of the patients had more than one test (up to six tests per patient). HCV genotype 1 was the most frequent, followed by genotypes 3 and 4. On average, the same-day haematocrit was 3.3% higher in men than in women.

Qualitative performance and diagnostic accuracy
With the Cobas® assay in venous plasma (reference test), 51.0% (95% confidence interval [CI] 44.1−58.0%) samples were HCV RNA positive. Compared with this reference test, sensitivity of the Xpert<sup>®</sup> HCV VL assay using capillary blood was 97.0% (95% CI 91.5−99.0%) and specificity 94.7% (95% CI 88.3−97.7%) (positive predictive value 95.0%, 95% CI 88.9−97.9%; negative predictive value 96.8%, 95% CI 90.9−98.9%). The proportion with
concordant qualitative results was 95.9% (95% CI 92.1–97.9%) (tables 2 and 3).

Among the eight discordant results (three false negative, five false positive), seven were 1 to 4 weeks after initiation of DAA treatment and one at EOT (table 4). The highest HCV RNA value missed by the capillary test was 68 IU/ml. For the other two false negative results, the Cobas®-result was <15 IU/ml, detectable below the LOQ. The three positive Cobas® results were confirmed by additional Xpert® HCV VL testing of 1 ml venous plasma. For all five false positive results, the capillary Xpert® HCV VL result was “HCV detected <10 IU/ml”. Additional Xpert® HCV VL testing of 1 ml venous plasma confirmed the capillary measurements and not the negative Cobas® results.

Quantitative performance

After two pairs of tests were excluded because of problems with capillary blood draw and the reference test, respectively (table 4), 68 samples – 48.5% (33) genotype 1; 25% (17) genotype 3; 25% (17) genotype 4; 1.5% (1) genotype 6 – with quantifiable results in both tests remained for the correlation analysis (HCV RNA range with Cobas® 52–21,038,740 IU/ml = 1.72–7.32 log IU/ml; HCV range with the Xpert® HCV VL test: 19 – 652,000 IU/ml = 1.28–5.81 log IU/ml).

Cobas® and Xpert® HCV VL test values were highly correlated (R² = 0.9165) irrespective of HCV genotype, HIV

Table 1: Patient/sample characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of patients</td>
<td>HCV genotype 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>88</td>
<td>42.4 (42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>40 (6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HCV = hepatitis C virus; VL = viral load; FS = finger-stick; IQR = interquartile range; HIV = human immunodeficiency virus; SD = standard deviation; CI = confidence interval * HIV-infected people were almost exclusively under antiretroviral treatment and virologically suppressed

Table 2: Qualitative performance / diagnostic accuracy of the Xpert® HCV Viral Load (VL) and the Xpert® HCV Viral Load Finger-Stick (VL FS) tests.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Xpert® HCV VL test (100 µl capillary whole blood)</th>
<th>Detected</th>
<th>Not detected</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100 µl venous plasma</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 µl whole blood</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Xpert® HCV VL FS test (100 µl capillary whole-blood)</th>
<th>Detected</th>
<th>Not detected</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>650 µl venous plasma</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>650 µl whole blood</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Xpert® HCV Viral Load test: limit of detection for 1 ml plasma 4 IU/ml Xpert® HCV Viral Load Finger-Stick test: limit of detection for 100 µl whole blood 40 IU/ml Cobas®; limit of detection for 650 µl plasma 15 IU/ml * See table 4 for detailed description of discordant results † Patient 1 (HCV genotype 1b, DAA treatment week 4): Xpert® HCV VL FS (100 µl capillary whole blood): detected <100 IU/ml, Cobas® (650 µl venous plasma): not detected; Xpert® HCV VL FS (100 µl whole blood plasma): not detected; Xpert® HCV VL (1 ml venous plasma): detected <100 IU/ml
status and sex (~5% higher haematocrit in men results in ~5µl less plasma in 100µl whole blood.) (fig. 1). The equation for the fitted regression line was:

\[
\text{Cobas}^\text{®} (\log \text{IU/ml}) = 1.0646 \times \text{Xpert}^\text{®} (\log \text{IU/ml}) + 1.0428
\]

On average, capillary Xpert\textsuperscript{®} HCV VL results were 1.32 (±0.34) log IU/ml lower (range 0.44-1.96) (Bland-Altman plot, supplementary fig. S1 in appendix 1). This corresponds to the ~18-fold smaller plasma volume used when using 100 µl whole blood with a haematocrit of 45% (35µl plasma) instead of 1 ml plasma (55/1000 = 0.055 = 1/18; \(\log_{10}(18) = 1.26\)).

For 57 (83.8%) of the 68 samples with paired quantifiable results, same-day haematocrit was available (range 31.5–50%; mean 41.8 ± 4.1%; mean in 23 women 39.1 ± 4.0%; mean in 34 men 43.6 ± 3.1%). Correction for the individual haematocrit (+log_{10}(1000/(100−haematocrit(%))); range +log_{10}(14.6) = 1.16 to +log_{10}(20) = 1.30), reduced the mean difference between Cobas\textsuperscript{®} and capillary Xpert\textsuperscript{®} HCV VL results from 1.34 ± 0.33 (range +0.44 to +1.96) to 0.11 ± 0.33 (range –0.83 to +0.77) (Bland-Altman plot, fig. S2), but did not improve correlation (\(R^2 = 0.8906\) vs \(R^2 = 0.8895\)).

**Detectable below the LOQ**

Eight Cobas\textsuperscript{®}-results were “detectable below the LOQ” (15 IU/ml, 1.2 log IU/ml). Two of them were undetectable with the capillary Xpert\textsuperscript{®} HCV VL test (table 4) and six “detectable below the LOQ” (10 IU/ml, 1.0 log IU/ml). In contrast, 31 capillary Xpert\textsuperscript{®} HCV VL tests were “detectable below the LOQ” (fig. 2). The median correspond-

### Table 3: Performance according to indication – Xpert\textsuperscript{®} HCV Viral Load (VL) and Xpert\textsuperscript{®} HCV Viral Load Finger-Stick (VL FS) tests.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>% of tests (n)</th>
<th>Prevalence (%)</th>
<th>Concordance (%)</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
<th>PPV (%)</th>
<th>NPV (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Xpert\textsuperscript{®} HCV VL (100 µl capillary whole blood; n = 194)</td>
<td>Pretreatment baseline</td>
<td>31.4 (61)</td>
<td>100 (61/61)</td>
<td>100 (61/61)</td>
<td>100 (61/61)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>100 (61/61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On treatment follow-up (6–8 weeks)</td>
<td>22.2 (43)</td>
<td>67.4 (29/43)</td>
<td>83.7 (36/43)</td>
<td>89.7 (26/29)</td>
<td>71.4 (10/14)</td>
<td>86.7 (26/30)</td>
<td>76.9 (10/13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EOT</td>
<td>13.4 (26)</td>
<td>3.8 (1/26)</td>
<td>96.2 (25/26)</td>
<td>100 (1/1)</td>
<td>96.0 (24/25)</td>
<td>50 (1/2)</td>
<td>100 (24/24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVR</td>
<td>19.6 (38)</td>
<td>0 (0/38)</td>
<td>100 (38/38)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>100 (38/38)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>100 (38/38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinfection?</td>
<td>5.7 (11)</td>
<td>27.3 (3/11)</td>
<td>100 (11/11)</td>
<td>100 (3/3)</td>
<td>100 (6/6)</td>
<td>100 (3/3)</td>
<td>100 (8/8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC or chronic hepatitis C?</td>
<td>6.2 (12)</td>
<td>41.7 (5/12)</td>
<td>100 (12/12)</td>
<td>100 (5/5)</td>
<td>100 (7/7)</td>
<td>100 (5/5)</td>
<td>100 (7/7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute infection?</td>
<td>1.5 (3)</td>
<td>0 (0/3)</td>
<td>100 (3/3)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>100 (3/3)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>100 (3/3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>100 (194)</td>
<td>51.0 (99/194)</td>
<td>95.9 (186/194)</td>
<td>97.0 (96/99)</td>
<td>94.7 (90/95)</td>
<td>95.0 (96/101)</td>
<td>96.8 (90/93)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4: Xpert\textsuperscript{®} HCV Viral Load (VL) test: characteristics of the eight discordant and the two discrepant quantifiable results.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>HIV</th>
<th>HCV Genotype</th>
<th>Weeks after DAA start</th>
<th>Hct (%) (same day)</th>
<th>Cobas\textsuperscript{®} (650 µl venous plasma)</th>
<th>Xpert\textsuperscript{®} HCV VL (100 µl capillary whole blood; ~55 µl plasma)</th>
<th>Xpert\textsuperscript{®} HCV VL (1 ml venous plasma; ~55 µl plasma)</th>
<th>(log IU/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Pos.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>Neg.</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Pos.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>Pos. &lt;15</td>
<td>Neg.</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Pos. &lt;1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Pos.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>Pos. &lt;15</td>
<td>Neg.</td>
<td>Pos. &lt;10</td>
<td>Pos. &lt;1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Neg.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>Neg.</td>
<td>Pos. &lt;10</td>
<td>Pos. &lt;10</td>
<td>Pos. &lt;1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Pos.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>Neg.</td>
<td>Pos. &lt;10</td>
<td>Pos. &lt;10</td>
<td>Pos. &lt;1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Neg.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>Neg.</td>
<td>Pos. &lt;10</td>
<td>Pos. &lt;10</td>
<td>Pos. &lt;1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Pos.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>Neg.</td>
<td>Pos. &lt;10</td>
<td>Pos. &lt;10</td>
<td>Pos. &lt;1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Neg.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12 (EOT)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Neg.</td>
<td>Pos. &lt;10</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Neg.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discrepant quantifiable results**

| M   | Neg. | 3 | - | 45.0 | 643,810 | 33\* | 947,000 | 5.81 | 1.52\* | 5.98 |
| M   | Pos. | 4 | - | 41.5 | 47,349 | 37,600 | 860,000 | 4.68 | 4.58 | 5.93 |

M = male, F = female, Pos. = positive, Neg. = negative, DAA = direct-acting antiviral, EOT = end-of-treatment, Hct = haematocrit. * Since a ~18-fold smaller plasma volume is used in the capillary test (~55 µl instead of 1000 µl plasma), Xpert\textsuperscript{®} results with capillary whole blood are expected to be ~1.3 log IU/ml lower than Cobas\textsuperscript{®} results with venous plasma. Differences >2.3 log IU/ml or <0.3 log IU/ml were considered as discrepant quantifiable results. 1 Difficulties with capillary blood draw (potentially, the blood volume used was smaller than the intended 100 µl. The patient was retested 1 month later: Xpert\textsuperscript{®} HCV VL (capillary whole blood) 71,000 IU/ml (4.85 log IU/ml); Cobas\textsuperscript{®} (venous plasma) 1,088,010 IU/ml (6.04 log IU/ml); difference 1.19 log IU/ml, which is due to the ~18-fold smaller plasma volume used ~55 versus 1000 µl.)
Figure 1: Quantitative performance of the Xpert<sup>®</sup> HCV Viral Load (VL) test: correlation of quantifiable HCV RNA results (log IU/ml) with the reference test Cobas<sup>®</sup>: overall, according to genotype, sex and HIV status.

Figure 2: Xpert<sup>®</sup> HCV Viral Load (VL) test: Cobas<sup>®</sup> results (venous plasma) for the 31 capillary HCV RNA measurements below the limit of quantification of 10 IU/ml (1.0 log IU/ml). For unquantifiable positive Cobas<sup>®</sup> results ("detectable below the limit of quantification of 15 IU/ml [1.2 log IU/ml]"), the mid-point between zero and the lower limit of quantification (15 IU/ml, 1.2 log IU/ml) was used (7.5 IU/ml, 0.88 log IU/ml).

Figure 3: HCV RNA course under DAA treatment for eight patients (four genotype 1, two genotype 3, two genotype 4) with at least three paired HCV RNA measurements (prior to, during [weeks 1–6 or EOT] and after treatment [SVR]). Irrespective of genotype and DAA regimen used, there was a sharp HCV RNA decline during the first 2 weeks of treatment.

One week after DAA start, all (12/12) HCV RNA measurements were still positive using Cobas<sup>®</sup>, all but two with an HCV RNA <100 IU/ml (2.0 log IU/ml). With the capillary Xpert<sup>®</sup> HCV VL test, 75.0% (9/12) were still positive, with three quantifiable results (19, 27 and 43 IU/ml) and six "detectable <10 IU/ml". After 2 weeks, 73.3% (11/15) were positive with Cobas<sup>®</sup> (all but one HCV RNA <100 IU/ml) and 80% (12/15) with the capillary Xpert<sup>®</sup> HCV VL test (no quantifiable results). After 4 weeks, the proportions were 38.5% (5/13) (all HCV RNA <30 IU/ml) and 61.5% (8/13), respectively. After 6 and 8 weeks (one patient each), no HCV RNA was detectable. At EOT (week 8), one of 11 patients remained HCV RNA positive in both tests (both below the LOQ; SVR confirmed by both tests).

At EOT (week 12), all 15 patients were HCV RNA negative with Cobas<sup>®</sup>, whereas one patient (6.7%) remained positive with the capillary Xpert<sup>®</sup> HCV VL test (SVR confirmed by both tests).

Xpert<sup>®</sup> HCV VL FS test

Patient/sample characteristics
Between November 2018 and May 2019, 33 Xpert<sup>®</sup> HCV VL FS tests were performed in 23 patients. Patient and sample characteristics are described in table 1. The proportion with HIV/HCV co-infection was lower (13 vs 39%), and 70% of the patients had only one test (up to three tests per patient). Otherwise, patients were very similar to those tested with the Xpert<sup>®</sup> HCV VL assay. Again, about half of the samples were HCV RNA positive with Cobas<sup>®</sup> and 40% each were HCV genotype 1 and 3.

Qualitative performance and diagnostic accuracy
With the Cobas<sup>®</sup> assay in venous plasma (reference test), 45.5% (95% CI 29.8–62.0%) samples were HCV RNA positive. Compared with this reference test, sensitivity of the Xpert<sup>®</sup> HCV VL FS assay using capillary blood was 100% (95% CI 79.6–100%) and specificity 88.9% (95% CI 67.2–96.9%) (positive predictive value 88.2%, 95% CI 65.7–96.7; negative predictive value 100%, 95% CI (pretreatment baseline, SVR, screening for acute infection / re-infection, differentiation between spontaneous clearance (SC) and chronic hepatitis C). Off treatment, no discrepancies were observed between Cobas<sup>®</sup> (650 µl venous plasma) and Xpert<sup>®</sup> HCV VL test (100 µl capillary blood). Sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV were 100%.

About one third of all tests were on treatment (on treatment follow-up [weeks 1–8] or EOT). Owing to three false negative Xpert<sup>®</sup> HCV VL tests (week 1), sensitivity and NPV were reduced to 90.0% (27/30) and 91.9% (34/37), respectively. Owing to five false positive Xpert<sup>®</sup> HCV VL tests (weeks 2, 4 and 12 [EOT]), specificity and PPV declined to 87.2% (34/39) and 84.4% (27/32), respectively.

HCV RNA monitoring under DAA treatment

Table 3 shows test performance according to HCV RNA indication. About two thirds of all tests were off treatment (pretreatment baseline, SVR, screening for acute infection / re-infection, differentiation between spontaneous clearance (SC) and chronic hepatitis C). Off treatment, no discrepancies were observed between Cobas<sup>®</sup> (650 µl venous plasma) and Xpert<sup>®</sup> HCV VL test (100 µl capillary blood). Sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV were 100%.

About one third of all tests were on treatment (on treatment follow-up [weeks 1–8] or EOT). Owing to three false negative Xpert<sup>®</sup> HCV VL tests (week 1), sensitivity and NPV were reduced to 90.0% (27/30) and 91.9% (34/37), respectively. Owing to five false positive Xpert<sup>®</sup> HCV VL tests (weeks 2, 4 and 12 [EOT]), specificity and PPV declined to 87.2% (34/39) and 84.4% (27/32), respectively.

Performance according to indication

Table 3 shows test performance according to HCV RNA indication. About two thirds of all tests were off treatment (pretreatment baseline, SVR, screening for acute infection / re-infection, differentiation between spontaneous clearance (SC) and chronic hepatitis C). Off treatment, no discrepancies were observed between Cobas<sup>®</sup> (650 µl venous plasma) and Xpert<sup>®</sup> HCV VL test (100 µl capillary blood). Sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV were 100%.

About one third of all tests were on treatment (on treatment follow-up [weeks 1–8] or EOT). Owing to three false negative Xpert<sup>®</sup> HCV VL tests (week 1), sensitivity and NPV were reduced to 90.0% (27/30) and 91.9% (34/37), respectively. Owing to five false positive Xpert<sup>®</sup> HCV VL tests (weeks 2, 4 and 12 [EOT]), specificity and PPV declined to 87.2% (34/39) and 84.4% (27/32), respectively.

HCV RNA monitoring under DAA treatment

Figure 3 shows the HCV RNA course under DAA treatment for eight patients (four genotype 1, two genotype 3, two genotype 4) with at least three paired HCV RNA measurements (prior to, during [weeks 1–6 or EOT] and after treatment [SVR]). Irrespective of genotype and DAA regimen used, there was a sharp HCV RNA decline during the first 2 weeks of treatment.

One week after DAA start, all (12/12) HCV RNA measurements were still positive using Cobas<sup>®</sup>, all but two with an HCV RNA <100 IU/ml (2.0 log IU/ml). With the capillary Xpert<sup>®</sup> HCV VL test, 75.0% (9/12) were still positive, with three quantifiable results (19, 27 and 43 IU/ml) and six "detectable <10 IU/ml". After 2 weeks, 73.3% (11/15) were positive with Cobas<sup>®</sup> (all but one HCV RNA <100 IU/ml) and 80% (12/15) with the capillary Xpert<sup>®</sup> HCV VL test (no quantifiable results). After 4 weeks, the proportions were 38.5% (5/13) (all HCV RNA <30 IU/ml) and 61.5% (8/13), respectively. After 6 and 8 weeks (one patient each), no HCV RNA was detectable. At EOT (week 8), one of 11 patients remained HCV RNA positive in both tests (both below the LOQ; SVR confirmed by both tests).

At EOT (week 12), all 15 patients were HCV RNA negative with Cobas<sup>®</sup>, whereas one patient (6.7%) remained positive with the capillary Xpert<sup>®</sup> HCV VL test (SVR confirmed by both tests).

Xpert<sup>®</sup> HCV VL FS test

Patient/sample characteristics
Between November 2018 and May 2019, 33 Xpert<sup>®</sup> HCV VL FS tests were performed in 23 patients. Patient and sample characteristics are described in table 1. The proportion with HIV/HCV co-infection was lower (13 vs 39%), and 70% of the patients had only one test (up to three tests per patient). Otherwise, patients were very similar to those tested with the Xpert<sup>®</sup> HCV VL assay. Again, about half of the samples were HCV RNA positive with Cobas<sup>®</sup> and 40% each were HCV genotype 1 and 3.

Qualitative performance and diagnostic accuracy
With the Cobas<sup>®</sup> assay in venous plasma (reference test), 45.5% (95% CI 29.8–62.0%) samples were HCV RNA positive. Compared with this reference test, sensitivity of the Xpert<sup>®</sup> HCV VL FS assay using capillary blood was 100% (95% CI 79.6–100%) and specificity 88.9% (95% CI 67.2–96.9%) (positive predictive value 88.2%, 95% CI 65.7–96.7; negative predictive value 100%, 95% CI
The proportion with concordant qualitative results was 93.9% (95% CI 80.4–98.3) (tables 2 and 3).

The two discordant results (both false positive) were under DAA treatment (week 3, genotype 4 and week 4, genotype

**Figure 3**: Xpert® HCV Viral Load (VL) test: HCV RNA course for 10 patients with parallel values prior to, during and after HCV-treatment. Cobas = Cobas® assay with 650 µl venous plasma (limit of quantification 15 IU/ml = 1.2 log IU/ml); Xpert = Xpert® HCV Viral Load test with 100 µl finger-stick capillary whole blood (~55 µl plasma); prior = prior to HCV treatment; week 1, week 2, week 4, week 6 = respective weeks under HCV treatment; EOT (8) = end of treatment (week 8); EOT (12) = end of treatment (week 12); SVR = sustained virological response; 0 = HCV RNA not detectable. For unquantifiable positive Cobas® results (“detectable below the limit of quantification of 15 IU/ml [1.2 log IU/ml]”), the mid-point between zero and the lower limit of quantification was used (7.5 IU/ml, 0.88 log IU/ml). For unquantifiable positive Xpert® HCV Viral Load test results (“detectable below the limit of quantification of 10 IU/ml [1.0 log IU/ml]”), the mid-point between zero and the lower limit of quantification was used (5 IU/ml, 0.70 log IU/ml).
when HCV RNA was near the LOQ. In both cases, the capillary Xpert® HCV VL FS result was “HCV detected <100 IU/ml”. Additional Xpert® HCV VL testing of 1 ml venous plasma confirmed the capillary measurement in the first patient (week 3, genotype 4; test result “HCV detected <10 IU/ml”), but not in the second patient.

**Quantitative performance**

Fourteen samples (six genotype 1; one genotype 2; six genotype 3; one genotype 4) with quantifiable results in both tests were available for the correlation analysis (HCV RNA range with Cobas® 678–3,558,156 IU/ml = 2.83–6.55 log IU/ml; HCV range with the Xpert® HCV VL FS test 247–3,100,000 IU/ml = 2.39–6.49 log IU/ml). Cobas® and Xpert® HCV VL FS test values were highly correlated (R² = 0.9899) (fig. 4). The equation for the fitted regression line was:

\[
\text{Cobas®} (\text{log IU/ml}) = 0.896 \times \text{Xpert®} (\text{log IU/ml}) + 0.6649
\]

On average, Xpert® HCV VL FS results were 0.10 (±0.17) log IU/ml lower range −0.29 to +0.44 (Bland-Altman plot, supplementary fig. S3 in appendix 1).

**Detectable below the LOQ**

There were no Cobas® results “detectable below the LOQ” (15 IU/ml, 1.2 log IU/ml), but three capillary Xpert® HCV VL FS tests were “detectable below the LOQ” (100 IU/ml, 2.0 log IU/ml). Two of them were undetectable with the Cobas® assay, and for one patient (DAA treatment week 2, genotype 2), the Cobas® result was 61 U/ml (1.79 log U/ml).

**Performance according to indication**

Table 3 shows test performance according to HCV RNA indication. About 60% of all tests were off treatment (pretreatment baseline, SVR, screening for acute infection/re-infection, differentiation between SC and chronic hepatitis C). Off treatment, no discrepancies were observed between the Cobas® (650 µl venous plasma) and Xpert® HCV VL FS test (100 µl capillary blood). Sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV were 100%.

About 40% of all tests were on treatment (on treatment follow-up [weeks 2–7] or EOT). Owing to two false positive Xpert® HCV VL FS tests (week 3 and 4), specificity and PPV were reduced to 83.3% (10/12) and 33.3% (1/3), respectively. With 11.1% versus 67.4%, HCV RNA-prevalence was markedly lower for the on treatment follow-up measurements in the Xpert® HCV VL FS test evaluation period. This is because HCV RNA was measured at later time-points (≤week 2 in 11.1% [1/9] vs 62.8% [27/43]).

**Discussion**

HCV RNA quantification in 100 µl capillary whole blood with a commercial point-of-care rapid test (Xpert® HCV VL; 105 min; developed for 1 ml plasma or serum) proved highly reliable compared with the laboratory-based reference test (Cobas®) with venous plasma. Capillary Xpert® HCV VL results were 1.32 log IU/ml lower, corresponding to the smaller plasma volume used. All discordant results (three false negative, five false positive) were under DAA treatment (weeks 1–4 or EOT) with HCV RNA near the LOQ. Quantifiable Cobas®- and capillary Xpert® HCV VL results were strongly correlated irrespective of HCV genotype, HIV status, sex and correction for haematocrit. Performance of the Xpert® HCV VL FS test (60 min; specifically developed for 100 µl capillary whole blood; approved in September 2018) was comparable, but convenience higher (no addition of buffer, more rapid results, no need for adjustment for the smaller plasma volume used). Grebely et al. [20], the only previous publication evaluating the performance of HCV RNA quantification from finger-stick capillary whole blood with the Xpert® HCV VL test, excluded patients on DAA. In 150 patients, Xpert® HCV VL tests using finger-stick capillary whole blood and venous plasma were compared with Abbott® RealTime HCV Viral Load assay with venous plasma (LOQ 12 IU/ml). Both sensitivity and specificity of the capillary Xpert® HCV VL assay were comparable to those in our study (95.5% [42/44] vs 97.0% [96/99] and 98.1% [104/106] vs 94.7% [90/95], respectively). Similar to our results, the two false negative results had low viral load with the Abbott® assay (<12 IU/ml and 38 IU/ml). Remarkably, the capillary Xpert® HCV VL test performed equally well in our setting despite one third of HCV RNA measurements being collected under DAA treatment with viral loads near the LOD, a higher HCV RNA prevalence in our analysis (51.0 vs 29.3%) and different reference tests for venous plasma (Cobas® vs Abbott).

With 38 IU/ml [20] and 68 IU/ml, the highest HCV RNA values not detected by the capillary Xpert® test were lower than the 150–250 IU/ml described as the detection threshold for the DBS method [16]. Given the at least tenfold smaller volume used compared with analyses in venous plasma or serum, decreased sensitivity of capillary methods is not surprising. However, considering that most untreated patients have HCV viral loads >1000 IU/ml [24], capillary blood analysis is an easily applicable and reliable screening method for active hepatitis C.

Cell-associated HCV RNA in B lymphocytes present in whole blood but not in plasma might explain detectable viraemia in the capillary Xpert® test or DBS analysis, but not in parallel plasma measurements [15, 25]. Consistent with this hypothesis, Stapleton et al. found that whole blood-based HCV RNA detection was more sensitive than plasma-based methods [15, 26]. In our study, false positive results only occurred 2–4 and 12 weeks after DAA initiation. Longer persistence of cell-associated HCV RNA long after spontaneous clearance or successful treatment has been re-
ported earlier [15, 27]. In any case, additional Xpert® HCV VL testing of 1 ml venous plasma (LOD 4 IU/ml) confirmed that our five false positive capillary Xpert® HCV VL tests were actually positive due to a higher sensitivity than the reference test. However, these discrepancies are probably not clinically relevant, because detectable virus under DAA treatment (including at EOT) does not predict subsequent treatment failure and/or relapse [12, 28].

Compared to the Cobas® assay with 650 µl venous plasma, the sensitivity of the Xpert® HCV VL FS test with 100 µl capillary whole blood was 100% (15/15), which is comparable to the 98.3% (59/60) observed in the study of Lamoury et al. with the Abbott® assay as reference test [21]. Specificity was somewhat lower in our setting (88.9% [16/18] vs 100% [107/107]). However, one of the two false positive results was confirmed to be positive by a third assay (Xpert® HCV VL test with 1 ml venous plasma). Different primers used in the Cobas® and Xpert® assays might be an additional possible explanation for the observed discordant results.

Among 565 participants in an Australian cohort study of people who inject drugs, 65% preferred finger-stick over venepuncture, with 61% of these preferring to receive results in 60 min. The most common reasons for preferring finger-stick testing were rapid result (62%) and venous access difficulties (21%) [29]. Feasibility of the Xpert® HCV VL FS assay was also demonstrated in 202 homeless people in Sydney, Australia [30].

Another recently published study with 170 participants showed that the Xpert® HCV VL test is able to accurately detect and quantify HCV RNA regardless of the HCV genotype in 50 µl venous whole blood collected in an EDTA tube and spotted onto a filter paper card (DBS technique) [31]. Sensitivity was 100% (119/119, 95% CI 96.9–100%) and specificity 90% (45/50, 95% CI 78.6–95.6%). On average, HCV RNA levels in whole blood were 1.93 ± 0.38 log IU/ml lower than in serum, which can be explained by the lower volume used (50 µl whole-blood eluted from DBS versus 500–1000 µl serum). There were no false negative, but five false positive results (all with HCV RNA below the LOQ of <10 IU/ml), supporting the hypothesis that whole-blood-based HCV RNA detection is more sensitive than plasma-serum-based methods.

Initially, we were concerned about an 8% error rate of the Xpert® HCV VL FS test in the study of Lamoury et al. [12, 21]. However, all errors we observed were “insufficient volume errors” occurring after 3.5 minutes. Thus, we asked the patients to wait until this critical time-point was passed and repeated the test if necessary, which was always successful. Since the Minivette POCT 100 µl EDTA tube must be completely filled, a lancet should be used that provides enough blood volume. In the case of slow blood flow, it is advisable to repeat the capillary blood draw. The time from finger-stick to test start should be minimised to further reduce the risk of clotting of the blood sample.

Further concerns regarding the use as POCT are the availability of the GeneXpert®-platform on site and its costs. Small GeneXpert® platforms with one, two or four modules can be transported in a passenger car. Thus, expensive but mobile equipment can be shared by several institutions.

Our study has several strengths. Rather than being excluded, patients under DAA treatment were deliberately monitored longitudinally throughout their HCV treatment up to the SVR check. With the Xpert® HCV VL test, a high proportion of patients (39%) was HIV co-infected. Correlation between quantifiable Xpert® HCV VL and Cobas® results was analysed stratified by HCV genotype, HIV status and sex, and took into account individual haematorcrit values defining the plasma volume. Finally, the practicability of the capillary blood test in clinical routine is underlined by the fact that all the nurses working in our Infectious Diseases Outpatient Clinic successfully ran the tests without any support by a trained laboratory technician.

In view of the high correlation between Cobas® in venous plasma and Xpert® in capillary whole-blood, we additionally performed a parallel Xpert® HCV VL test with venous plasma only for the eight plus two discordant and the two discrepant quantifiable results.

As for any single-centre study with a rather small sample size, further confirmation by an independent study is desirable, especially for the evaluation of the Xpert® HCV VL FS test.

Conclusion

Point-of-care quantitative HCV RNA measurement in finger-stick capillary whole blood is a convenient, rapid and reliable method to diagnose active HCV infection, monitor treatment response and detect reinfection. Discordant results were rare and probably not clinically relevant, as they only occurred when HCV RNA was near the LOQ. For patients with difficult venous access after long-term intravenous drug use, capillary HCV RNA measurement removes a crucial barrier to HCV treatment and reinfection monitoring. Same-day results might improve linkage to care and allow a test-and-treat approach. The GeneXpert® IV system connected to a laptop is easily transportable by car, which is why we use it in peripheral drug substitution centres and addiction clinics, diminishing another barrier to treatment.

Implications for future research

Whether the commercial availability of rapid point-of-care HCV RNA quantification in capillary whole blood translates into increased HCV treatment uptake remains to be determined. Reliable, rapid POCTs using capillary whole blood for anti-HAV [32, 33], anti-HBs, HBs-Ag and anti-HBc detection [34–37] remain an unmet need to identify patients requiring vaccination.
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Appendix 1

Supplementary figures

**Figure S1:** Bland-Altman plot of 68 paired quantifiable HCV RNA measurements: Cobas® in 650 µl venous plasma versus Xpert® HCV Viral Load (VL) test in 100 µl capillary whole blood.

(a) Uncorrected; (b) Xpert® HCV Viral Load (VL) test results corrected for same-day haematocrit \[+\log_{10}\left(\frac{1000}{100-\text{haematocrit}(%)}\right)\] sd = standard deviation, Hct = haematocrit

**Figure S2:** Bland-Altman plot of 57 paired quantifiable HCV RNA measurements for which same-day haematocrit was available: Cobas® in 650 µl venous plasma versus Xpert® HCV Viral Load (VL) test in 100 µl capillary whole blood. (a) Uncorrected; (b) Xpert® HCV Viral Load (VL) test results corrected for same-day haematocrit \[+\log_{10}\left(\frac{1000}{100-\text{haematocrit}(%)}\right)\] sd = standard deviation, Hct = haematocrit

**Figure S3:** Bland-Altman plot of 14 paired quantifiable HCV RNA measurements: Cobas® in 650 µl venous plasma versus Xpert® HCV Viral Load Finger-Stick (VL FS) test in 100 µl capillary whole blood. sd = standard deviation