

Helicobacter pylori infection and complicated peptic ulcer

B. Papaziogas, T. Pavlidis, T. Papaziogas

2nd Surgical Clinic of the Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece

We have read the article of Metzger et al. [1], "Prevalence of *Helicobacter pylori* infection in peptic ulcer perforations", with great interest and would like to congratulate the authors on their detailed and accurate work. They report a relatively high incidence of *H. pylori* infection in patients operated on for perforated peptic ulcer (73%). All of their patients received postoperative eradication therapy which showed excellent results on long-term follow-up [1].

Some years ago we studied the prevalence of *H. pylori* infection in perforated peptic ulcers [2]. Our study prospectively included 35 patients with perforated peptic ulcers who underwent surgery in our department in the period 1997–1998. They comprised 30 men and 5 women with a mean age of 55.6 years. We found that the overall incidence of *H. pylori* infection was 54% (19/35 patients), as confirmed by mucosal biopsy and

serological testing, a figure lower than that reported by Metzger et al. [1].

24/35 patients had a known history of chronic peptic ulcer; none of these patients with a known ulcer had received eradication therapy in the past, and 62.5% (15/24) were positive at the time of perforation. On the other hand, only a small proportion of the patients with perforation of an acute ulcer were found to be positive for *H. pylori* infection (3/11, 27%). The incidence of *H. pylori* infection among patients receiving NSAID was 33%, significantly lower than that reported by Metzger et al (8/11, 72%) [1]. This could be explained by the fact that in our study none of the patients receiving NSAIDs had a history of chronic ulcer.

In 27/35 patients simple closure of the ulcer was performed. The remaining 8 patients required, apart from closure of the ulcer, gastroenterostomy due to pyloric stenosis. All of these patients (8/8) were positive for *H. pylori*. All patients received eradication therapy postoperatively. On follow-up 12–38 (mean 25) months after surgery the incidence of ulcer relapse was extremely low (1/35, 2.8%).

We would be reluctant to state that "there is an evident association between *H. pylori* infection and acute perforated ulcers" [1]. The infection rate ranges from 0 to 90% and depends heavily on the characteristics of the population studied. Moreover, there are studies which show no significant difference

between perforated and non-surgical ulcers [3], thus suggesting that the pathogenesis of perforation may be independent of *H. pylori* infection, particularly in the absence of chronic ulceration.

At all events, we agree that eradication therapy is justified in every *H. pylori*-positive patient with a perforated peptic ulcer, since most studies show that after such therapy the incidence of ulcer relapse is very low. This is confirmed by the authors' excellent results.

Correspondence:

Dr. B. Papaziogas
Bl. Gabriilidis str. 29
546 55 Thessaloniki/ Greece
e-mail: papaziog@med.auth.gr

References

- 1 Metzger J, Styger S, Sieber C, von Flüe M, Vogelbach P, Harder F. Prevalence of *Helicobacter pylori* infection in peptic ulcer perforations. *Swiss Med Wkly* 2001;131:99–103.
- 2 Papaziogas T, Patsas A, Papaziogas B, Galatianos J, Sakellaridis D. Perforated peptic ulcer and infection with *Helicobacter pylori*. *Kongressband of the XXI Panhellenic Congress of Surgery (Progress in Surgery 1998)*, pp 54–6.
- 3 Matsukura N, Onda M, Tokunaga A, Kato S, Yoshiyuki T, Hasegawa H, et al. Role of *Helicobacter-pylori* infection in perforation of peptic ulcer: an age and gender matched case control study. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 1997; 25(Suppl 1): 235–9.

The many reasons why you should choose SMW to publish your research

What Swiss Medical Weekly has to offer:

- SMW's impact factor has been steadily rising, to the current 1.537
- Open access to the publication via the Internet, therefore wide audience and impact
- Rapid listing in Medline
- LinkOut-button from PubMed with link to the full text website <http://www.smw.ch> (direct link from each SMW record in PubMed)
- No-nonsense submission – you submit a single copy of your manuscript by e-mail attachment
- Peer review based on a broad spectrum of international academic referees
- Assistance of our professional statistician for every article with statistical analyses
- Fast peer review, by e-mail exchange with the referees
- Prompt decisions based on weekly conferences of the Editorial Board
- Prompt notification on the status of your manuscript by e-mail
- Professional English copy editing
- No page charges and attractive colour offprints at no extra cost

Editorial Board

Prof. Jean-Michel Dayer, Geneva
 Prof. Peter Gehr, Berne
 Prof. André P. Perruchoud, Basel
 Prof. Andreas Schaffner, Zurich
 (Editor in chief)
 Prof. Werner Straub, Berne
 Prof. Ludwig von Segesser, Lausanne

International Advisory Committee

Prof. K. E. Juhani Airaksinen, Turku, Finland
 Prof. Anthony Bayes de Luna, Barcelona, Spain
 Prof. Hubert E. Blum, Freiburg, Germany
 Prof. Walter E. Haefeli, Heidelberg, Germany
 Prof. Nino Kuenzli, Los Angeles, USA
 Prof. René Lutter, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
 Prof. Claude Martin, Marseille, France
 Prof. Josef Patsch, Innsbruck, Austria
 Prof. Luigi Tavazzi, Pavia, Italy

We evaluate manuscripts of broad clinical interest from all specialities, including experimental medicine and clinical investigation.

We look forward to receiving your paper!

Guidelines for authors:

http://www.smw.ch/set_authors.html

Impact factor Swiss Medical Weekly



All manuscripts should be sent in electronic form, to:

EMH Swiss Medical Publishers Ltd.
 SMW Editorial Secretariat
 Farnsburgerstrasse 8
 CH-4132 Muttenz

Manuscripts: submission@smw.ch
 Letters to the editor: letters@smw.ch
 Editorial Board: red@smw.ch
 Internet: <http://www.smw.ch>