Leptospirosis is everywhere, just have to know what to look for. But how?

Joseph M. Vinetz

Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, University of California San Diego School of Medicine, La Jolla, California, USA

Over the past decade, leptospirosis has become increasingly recognized as a globally important infectious disease [1], perhaps the most common zoonosis worldwide. Leptospirosis is a serious disease, with case fatality rates ranging to 20% or higher [2]. Leptospira are one of four groups of spirochetes causing (human) human disease, the others being Treponema pallidum, the agent of syphilis, relapsing fever Borrelia spp., and Lyme Disease Borrelia spp. In contrast to the other spirochetes pathogenic for humans, pathogenic Leptospira live both in mammalian hosts and free in the environment. Humans contract leptospirosis through mucosal or percutaneous exposure to leptospires in environments contaminated by the urine of chronically infected animal sources. The most common animals implicated as sources of leptospiral transmission are peridomestic or agricultural rodents, dogs, pigs, and cattle. Environmental conditions are an important influence on the incidence of leptospirosis: the disease is rare in deserts [3], common in warm, humid tropical areas, and seasonal rains and severe weather are associated with increased frequency of disease [4, 5]. Leptospirosis is found in a wide variety of environmental contexts, in industrialized and developing countries, and in urban and rural contexts [1, 6].

Despite being common, the diagnosis of leptospirosis is often not made unless a patient presents with textbook manifestations, such as fever plus jaundice and renal failure. Leptospiral infection most often has minimal or no clinical manifestations [7]. Of cases in which fever develops, as many as 90% are undifferentiated febrile illnesses. Clinicians in developed countries may fail to recognize that leptospirosis transmission occurs in the urban setting because it is incorrectly perceived to be a rural disease [8]. In developing countries, laboratory facilities may be inadequate for diagnosis despite a high prevalence of disease. Nonetheless, where the disease is looked for, it is commonly found. Of substantial clinical importance, the syndrome of leptospiral pulmonary hemorrhage has emerged in recent years, in diverse places around the world [5, 9–11].

With this background, the readers of the *Swiss Medical Weekly* should find interest in the report of Esen et al. in this issue. These investigators report their retrospective experience with 72 hospitalized patients diagnosed with leptospirosis in a referral hospital in the agriculture region of Samsun, Turkey. Previously, the authors showed that peridomestic rats, *Rattus* spp., common in urban and agricultural areas within the Samsun region, often were infected with pathogenic *Leptospira* [12], demonstrating the potential for rat-related leptospirosis transmission here.

It is worth briefly describing Samsun to understand the epidemiological context in which the investigators carried out their study. Samsun is an ancient and storied locale, found at the nexus of two river deltas abutting the Black Sea in north Turkey. According to myth, the river delta east of Samsun was the location of the tribe of Amazon women, a group of female warriors. (Nowadays we know the Amazon region of South America as another leptospirosis-endemic region.) In modern times, Ataturk began modern Turkey's war for independence at Samsun. These days, Samsun is a port town and quiet agriculture region with some tourism.

The major take-home message from this study is that wherever leptospirosis is sought, it is found. While Esen et al. analyze the relationship of clinical and laboratory findings to prognosis, the most interesting aspect of study is the surprisingly high frequency of pulmonary involvement in their patients. It would seem that this syndrome was not well recognized in this region; this lack of recognition of pulmonary involvement in leptospirosis is common worldwide. In contrast to reports such as that from Salvador, Brazil, where Weil's disease was found to be the major manifestation of severe leptospirosis in the absence of pulmonary involvement [6], more than 70% of the patients in the present study had respiratory symptoms; more than 30% of patients reported by Esen et al. had pulmonary infiltrates on chest x-ray. The syndrome of leptospiral pulmonary haemorrhage has to be considered when patients present with grave febrile illness and haemoptysis in an appropriate epidemiological context.

Two important issues continue to confront clinicians regarding leptospirosis. The first is how to reliably establish the diagnosis. The most common way to diagnose leptospirosis is through serological tests, either the microscopic agglutination test (MAT) which detects serovar-specific antibodies, or a solid phase assay using the non-pathogenic L. biflexa serovar Patoc I as antigen, which detects genus-specific antigens. MAT optimally requires paired acute and convalescent serum samples; Patoc antigen-based assays may be more sensitive for the detection of IgM antibodies in some regions than others [13], and IgM antibodies can persist for many months, raising questions about whether a positive IgM result accurately identifies a current infection. In regions where leptospirosis is common, there may be a substantial proportion of people with relatively high MAT titers (1/400 or even greater), or high titers may remain stable without a diagnostic 4-fold rise. MAT results usually come too late to the clinician to be of much utility. Therefore, serological tests remain suboptimal for clinical use in diagnosing leptospirosis. The most promising diagnostic methods are those that demonstrate the presence of the organisms. Culture is difficult for a variety of reasons [1]. Molecular techniques to detect the presence of leptospiral DNA in blood, urine or spinal fluid are clearly the most sensitive and specific [1, 14]; the use of these modalities is precluded by cost and technical factors in non-reference laboratories. The recent development of leptospiral antigen detection methods is promising, but such approaches need to be fully evaluated in settings where the predominant leptospiral strains may not be detected [15].

The second issue of importance to clinicians is how to prevent leptospirosis in patient populations at risk. A classic study of U.S. soldiers in Panama showed that weekly doxycycline can prevent infection. However, such an approach is not tenable in highly endemic regions [16]. Veterinary vaccines of variable utility are available for dogs, cattle and pigs, comprised of whole killed cells. Such vaccines have not been approved in Western industrialized countries, although they are apparently used in China, Korea and Cuba [17, 18]. Substantial work is ongoing to develop recombinant protein-based anti-leptospiral vaccines [1, 19].

Leptospirosis is a common infectious disease that requires common clinical sense to identify classic presentations, but a high degree of suspicion is needed to consider the diagnosis in patients with appropriate exposure histories, and in cases of fever accompanied by pulmonary haemorrhage.

Correspondence: Joseph M. Vinetz, M.D. Division of Infectious Diseases Department of Medicine University of California San Diego School of Medicine 9500 Gilman Drive, 0640 La Jolla, CA 92093-0640 USA E-Mail: jvinetz@ucsd.edu

References

- 1 Bharti AR, Nally JE, Ricaldi JN, Matthias MA, Diaz MM, Lovett MA, et al. Leptospirosis: a zoonotic disease of global importance. Lancet Infect Dis 2003;3:757–71.
- 2 World Health Organization. Leptospirosis worldwide, 1999. Wkly Epidemiol Rec 1999;74:237–42.
- 3 Johnson MAS, Smith M, Joseph P, Gilman RH, Bautista CT, Campos KJ, et al. Environmental Exposure and Leptospirosis, Peru. Emerg Infect Dis 2004;10:In Press.
- 4 Kupek E, de Sousa Santos Faversani MC, de Souza Philippi JM. The relationship between rainfall and human leptospirosis in Florianopolis, Brazil, 1991–1996. Brazilian Journal of Infectious Diseases 2000;4:131–134.
- 5 Trevejo RT, Rigau-Perez JG, Ashford DA, McClure EM, Jarquin-Gonzalez C, Amador JJ, et al. Epidemic leptospirosis associated with pulmonary hemorrhage-Nicaragua, 1995. J Infect Dis 1998;178:1457–1463.
- 6 Ko AI, Galvao Reis M, Dourado CMR, Johnson WD, Riley LW. Urban epidemic of severe leptospirosis in Brazil. Lancet 1999;354:820–825.
- 7 Ashford DA, Kaiser RM, Spiegel RA, Perkins BA, Weyant RS, Bragg SL, et al. Asymptomatic infection and risk factors for leptospirosis in Nicaragua. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2000;63:249–54.
- 8 Vinetz JM, Glass GE, Flexner CE, Mueller P, Kaslow DC. Sporadic urban leptospirosis. Ann Intern Med 1996;125:794–798.
- 9 Sehgal SC, Murhekar MV, Sugunan AP. Outbreak of leptospirosis with pulmonary involvement in north Andaman. Indian J Med Res 1995;102:9–12.
- 10 Panaphut T, Domrongkitchaiporn S, Thinkamrop B. Prognostic factors of death in leptospirosis: a prospective cohort study in Khon Kaen, Thailand. Int J Infect Dis 2002;6:52–9.
- 11 Seijo A, Coto H, San Juan J, Videla J, Deodato B, Cernigoi B, et al. Lethal leptospiral pulmonary hemorrhage: an emerging disease in Buenos Aires, Argentina. Emerg Infect Dis 2002;8: 1004–5.

- 12 Sunbul M, Esen S, Leblebicioglu H, Hokelek M, Pekbay A, Eroglu C. Rattus norvegicus acting as reservoir of leptospira interrogans in the Middle Black Sea region of Turkey, as evidenced by PCR and presence of serum antibodies to Leptospira strain. Scand J Infect Dis 2001;33:896–8.
- 13 Russell KL, Montiel Gonzalez MA, Watts DM, Lagos-Figueroa RC, Chauca G, Ore M, et al. An outbreak of leptospirosis among Peruvian military recruits. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2003; 69:53–7.
- 14 Levett PN. Leptospirosis. Clin Microbiol Rev 2001;14:296– 326.
- 15 Saengjaruk P, Chaicumpa W, Watt G, Bunyaraksyotin G, Wuthiekanun V, Tapchaisri P, et al. Diagnosis of human leptospirosis by monoclonal antibody-based antigen detection in urine. J Clin Microbiol 2002;40:480–9.
- 16 Sehgal SC, Sugunan AP, Murhekar MV, Sharma S, Vijayachari P. Randomized controlled trial of doxycycline prophylaxis against leptospirosis in an endemic area. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2000;13:249–55.
- 17 Martinez Sanchez R, Obregon Fuentes AM, Perez Sierra A, Baly Gil A, Diaz Gonzalez M, et al. [The reactogenicity and immunogenicity of the first Cuban vaccine against human leptospirosis]. Rev Cubana Med Trop 1998;50:159–66.
- 18 Martinez Sanchez R, Perez Sierra A, Baro Suarez M, Alvarez AM, Menendez Hernandez J, Diaz Gonzalez M, et al. [Evaluation of the effectiveness of a new vaccine against human leptospirosis in groups at risk]. Rev Panam Salud Publica 2000;8: 385–92.
- 19 Koizumi N, Watanabe H. Leptospiral immunoglobulin-like proteins elicit protective immunity. Vaccine 2004;22:1545–52.

Swiss Medical Weekly

Official journal of the Swiss Society of Infectious disease the Swiss Society of Internal Medicine the Swiss Respiratory Society

The many reasons why you should choose SMW to publish your research

What Swiss Medical Weekly has to offer:

- SMW's impact factor has been steadily rising, to the current 1.537
- Open access to the publication via the Internet, therefore wide audience and impact
- Rapid listing in Medline
- LinkOut-button from PubMed with link to the full text website http://www.smw.ch (direct link from each SMW record in PubMed)
- No-nonsense submission you submit a single copy of your manuscript by e-mail attachment
- Peer review based on a broad spectrum of international academic referees
- Assistance of our professional statistician for every article with statistical analyses
- Fast peer review, by e-mail exchange with the referees
- Prompt decisions based on weekly conferences of the Editorial Board
- Prompt notification on the status of your manuscript by e-mail
- Professional English copy editing
- No page charges and attractive colour offprints at no extra cost

Impact factor Swiss Medical Weekly



Editorial Board Prof. Jean-Michel Dayer, Geneva Prof. Peter Gehr, Berne Prof. André P. Perruchoud, Basel Prof. Andreas Schaffner, Zurich (Editor in chief) Prof. Werner Straub, Berne Prof. Ludwig von Segesser, Lausanne

International Advisory Committee Prof. K. E. Juhani Airaksinen, Turku, Finland Prof. Anthony Bayes de Luna, Barcelona, Spain Prof. Hubert E. Blum, Freiburg, Germany Prof. Walter E. Haefeli, Heidelberg, Germany Prof. Nino Kuenzli, Los Angeles, USA Prof. René Lutter, Amsterdam, The Netherlands Prof. Claude Martin, Marseille, France Prof. Josef Patsch, Innsbruck, Austria Prof. Luigi Tavazzi, Pavia, Italy

We evaluate manuscripts of broad clinical interest from all specialities, including experimental medicine and clinical investigation.

We look forward to receiving your paper!

Guidelines for authors: http://www.smw.ch/set_authors.html



All manuscripts should be sent in electronic form, to:

EMH Swiss Medical Publishers Ltd. SMW Editorial Secretariat Farnsburgerstrasse 8 CH-4132 Muttenz

Manuscripts:	submission@smw.ch
Letters to the editor:	letters@smw.ch
Editorial Board:	red@smw.ch
Internet:	http://www.smw.ch
Internet:	http://www.smw.ch