Splenic rupture or infarction associated with Epstein-Barr virus infectious mononucleosis: a systematic literature review


SUMMARY

BACKGROUND: Epstein-Barr virus (EBV), also known as human herpesvirus 4, is one of the most common pathogenic viruses in humans. EBV mononucleosis always involves the spleen and as such it predisposes to splenic rupture, often without a trauma, and splenic infarction. Nowadays the goal of management is to preserve the spleen, thereby eliminating the risk of post-splenectomy infections.

METHODS: To characterise these complications and their management, we performed a systematic review (PROSPERO CRD42023720268) following PRISMA guidelines in three databases: Excerpta Medica, the United States National Library of Medicine, and Web of Science. Articles listed in Google Scholar were also considered. Eligible articles were those describing splenic rupture or infarction in subjects with Epstein-Barr virus mononucleosis.

RESULTS: In the literature, we found 171 articles published since 1970, documenting 186 cases with splenic rupture and 29 with infarction. Both conditions predominantly occurred in males, 60% and 70% respectively. Splenic rupture was preceded by a trauma in 17 (9.1%) cases. Approximately 80% (n = 139) of cases occurred within three weeks of the onset of mononucleosis symptoms. A correlation was found between the World Society of Emergency Surgery splenic rupture score, which was retrospectively calculated, and surgical management: splenectomy in 84% (n = 44) of cases with a severe score and in 58% (n = 70) of cases with a moderate or minor score (p = 0.001). The mortality rate of splenic rupture was 4.8% (n = 9). In splenic infarction, an underlying haematological condition was observed in 21% (n = 6) of cases.

The treatment of splenic infarction was always conservative without any fatal outcomes.

CONCLUSIONS: Similarly to traumatic splenic rupture, splenic preservation is increasingly common in the management of mononucleosis-associated cases as well. This complication is still occasionally fatal. Splenic infarction often occurs in subjects with a pre-existing haematological condition.

Introduction

Epstein-Barr virus, also known as herpesvirus 4, is one of the most common human viruses [1, 2]. Primary infectious mononucleosis, the best-known presentation of this virus, typically affects adolescents and young adults; presents with fatigue, malaise, sore throat and enlarged cervical lymph nodes, liver or spleen; and generally spontaneously resolves over a few weeks [1, 2].

The spleen is always involved in mononucleosis. Although not always palpable, splenomegaly is detected by ultrasound in all affected individuals [1–3]. The splenic architecture is distorted because the parenchyma is infiltrated by atypical lymphocytes that compromise the fibrous support system and thin the capsule. Splenomegaly and the distorted architecture predispose to rupture, which is often not associated with a notable trauma but perhaps exclusively heralded by triggers such as coughing, sneezing, straining during defecation or muscular exertion [1, 2, 4]. It is also traditionally assumed that rupture may result from vigorous palpation [4]. There might be an increased tendency to splenic infarction as well [5].

Surgical spleen removal has been the traditional management of both traumatic and atraumatic splenic injury [6–8].
However, since spleen removal may result in immune deficiency and invasive infections due to encapsulated bacteria, which occur especially in children and teenagers [6–9], strategies to conserve splenic function by avoiding total splenectomy have been increasingly proposed [6–9].

The features of mononucleosis-associated splenic rupture and infarction have not been comprehensively investigated in the recent past. Furthermore, it is currently unclear whether spleen-preserving management is considered a viable alternative to splenectomy.

Since mononucleosis-associated splenic rupture and infarction are rare, available knowledge on these complications is mainly based on case reports. To address these issues, we carried out a systematic review of the literature.

Methods

Data sources and search strategy

This review was pre-registered in the Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO; CRD42022370268) and carried out in agreement with the second edition of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) recommendations [10]. Data sources included Excerpta Medica, the United States National Library of Medicine, and Web of Science from 1 January 1970, without any further limitation. The search strategy incorporated the following terms entered in separate pairs: (Epstein-Barr virus OR glandular fever OR infectious mononucleosis OR herpesvirus 4) AND (hematopterionetum OR splenic hematoma OR splenic infarction OR splenic rupture). Articles listed within reference lists of the retrieved records, reports published in Google Scholar and articles already known to the authors were also considered. Searches were conducted in April 2022 and repeated before article submission.

Inclusion criteria

Patients had to meet three criteria to be included: (i) an individually documented case who presented with a positive Paul-Bunnell-Davidsohn test, a specific acute Epstein-Barr virus serology response or both [1, 2]; (ii) having clinical features of primary mononucleosis; and (iii) either a splenic rupture or a splenic infarction. Cases with splenic rupture or infarction not supported by serological evidence of an existing Epstein-Barr virus infection were excluded.

Data extraction

For each case, the following information was extracted in a pilot-tested spreadsheet: (a) relevant past and recent medical history with emphasis on any pre-existing haematological diseases, recent abdominal trauma or vigorous abdominal palpation; (b) the clinical features of mononucleosis [1, 2], i.e. fever (38.0 °C or more), fatigue, malaise, sore throat, yellowish scleral discolouration (referred to as “jaundice” in the remainder of this article), cervical adenopathy (lymph nodes felt to be 1 cm or larger in diameter), hepatomegaly or splenomegaly (a palpable liver edge or spleen); and (c) clinical and laboratory features of splenic rupture or infarction at presentation, including blood pressure, heart rate and signs of haemodynamic instability (shortness of breath, prolonged capillary refill time, mottling of cool and moist extremities, peripheral cyanosis, altered mentation), pain (classified as diffuse abdominal pain, left upper abdominal pain or left shoulder pain), a full blood count (with leucocyte differential) [11], enzyme values (aminotransferases and lactate dehydrogenase) and imaging studies.

The diagnosis of splenic rupture or splenic infarction was suspected clinically and always confirmed by means of appropriate imaging studies or, in haemodynamically unstable cases, a diagnostic laparotomy. The time elapsed from onset of symptoms of mononucleosis to the diagnosis of splenic rupture or infarction was recorded. The diagnosis of mild mononucleosis was made in cases with two or fewer of the following five typical features: cervical adenopathy, fever, malaise, sore throat, splenomegaly. The diagnosis of mononucleosis-associated transaminitis was made in cases with a more than 2-fold elevation in aminotransferase ratio compared to the laboratory’s reference value. It has been speculated that in mononucleosis a more than 2-fold elevation in lactate dehydrogenase ratio indicates splenic infarction [5]. Consequently, the value of the latter test was verified. Cases with a platelet count of 20–70 × 10⁹/l or <20 × 10⁹/l were recorded.

The clinical and imaging data of each case of mononucleosis-associated splenic rupture was used to score the rupture as minor, moderate or severe according to the spleen trauma classification recommended in 2017 by the World Society of Emergency Surgery (WSES) [12]. This classification takes into account both the anatomy of splenic lesions and the patient’s haemodynamic condition and has proved to be a reliable tool in the decision-making process in splenic trauma treatment [12]. The management was stratified using the following well-established terminology [6–8]: “operative management” was used for cases of splenic rupture who underwent an immediate surgical splenectomy; “failure of non-operative management” for cases who underwent a surgical splenectomy after an initial unsuccessful conservative approach; “non-operative management” for the remaining patients — this term was used both for cases with conservative care alone and for cases with an adjunctive treatment such as transcatheter arterial embolisation.

Comprehensiveness of reporting

The comprehensiveness of included cases was evaluated using the following seven components: 1. Characterisation of the patient; 2. Clinical presentation; 3. Disease duration; 4. Vital parameters; 5. Full blood count; 6. Haemodynamic instability; 7. Management and outcome. Each component was rated as 0, 1 or 2 and the reporting quality was graded according to the sum of each item as satisfactory, good or excellent [13].

Two authors (JMAT/BG) separately and in duplicate performed the literature search, selected studies for inclusion, extracted data and evaluated the comprehensiveness of each case. Any disagreements were discussed, and a senior author (MGB) was consulted to resolve any outstanding issues. One author (JMAT) entered the data into a pre-defined worksheet and another (BG) verified the accuracy of data entry.
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significantly more frequent (p = 0.001) in splenic infarction. The prevalence of cases with lactatedehydrogenase level ≥600 U/l was similar in patients with splenic rupture and in those with splenic infarction. The tendency towards a platelet count 20–70 × 10⁹/l or <20 × 10⁹/l was not statistically different in the two study groups. Information on the elapsed time between onset of mononucleosis symptoms and signs of splenic disease was available for 145 cases with rupture (54 females and 91 males; 20 [17–25] years) and 26 cases with infarction (8 females and 18 males; 19 [17–20] years) (figure 2). At least 80% of all cases occurred within three weeks after the onset of mononucleosis symptoms. The mentioned time interval was on average slightly but significantly longer for rupture than for infarction (8 [6–14] vs 7 [4–10] days; p = 0.034). In approximately 10% of cases, the splenic disease occurred four weeks or more after the clinical presentation of mononucleosis.

Splenic rupture

The relationship between the World Society of Emergency Surgery splenic rupture score and management in patients with splenic rupture is shown in table 2. While almost 85% of cases with a severe rupture score were splenectomised, this figure was about 50% for cases with a minor or moderate rupture score (p = 0.001).

The severity of splenic rupture and its management were analysed over five periods: 1970–1979, 1980–1989, 1990–1999, 1990–2009, and 2010–2022 (figure 3). The analysis included 171 articles published between 1970 and 2022 [14–184] (figure 1). The languages of these 171 reports were English (130), Spanish (19), German (12), French (6) and Italian (4). The reports originated from the following continents (countries): 86 from Europe (United Kingdom: 23, Spain: 15, Germany: 13, Italy: 7, Switzerland: 7, France: 6, Belgium: 5, Greece: 3, Iceland: 2, Netherlands: 2, Portugal: 2, Czechia: 1), 62 from the Americas (United States of America: 55, Canada: 3, Chile: 2, Mexico: 1, Peru: 1), 17 from Asia (Japan: 5, Israel: 3, South Korea: 3, India: 2, China: 2, Pakistan: 1, Saudi Arabia: 1) and 6 from Oceania (Australia: 5, New Zealand: 1).

The 171 reports addressed cases of mononucleosis complicated by splenic rupture (144 reports), cases complicated either by rupture or infarction (1) or cases complicated by infarction (26). The reports documented 215 patients (one patient in 148 reports, two patients in 11 reports, three patients in 7 reports, four patients in 4 reports and eight patients in 1 report): 186 with splenic rupture [14–158] and 29 with infarction [158–184]. Reporting comprehensiveness was satisfactory in 1 case, good in 125 and excellent in 89.

General findings

The characteristics of the 215 patients are presented in table 1. A positive Paul-Bunnell-Davidsohn test (n = 90), a specific acute Epstein-Barr virus serology response (n = 87) or both a Paul-Bunnell-Davidsohn test and a specific Epstein-Barr virus serology response (n = 30) supported the diagnosis of mononucleosis in 207 cases. The diagnosis of mononucleosis was made uniquely on a histological basis (spleen showing largenumbers of atypical lymphocytes) in the remaining 8 cases, who died within hours after admission. A pre-existing haematological disease was significantly more frequent (p = 0.001) in splenic infarction as compared to splenic rupture. The prevalence of recent history of abdominal trauma was not statistically different between rupture and infarction patients. In no cases was rupture or infarction preceded by overeager palpation.

As compared to cases affected by splenic infarction, cases with splenic rupture presented less frequently with fever or fatigue (table 1). Furthermore, left shoulder pain and haemodynamic instability were more frequent in the splenic rupture group (p = 0.001). A transaminitis was significantly more common in the splenic infarction group (p = 0.001). The prevalence of cases with lactatedehydrogenase level ≥600 U/l was similar in patients with splenic rupture and in those with splenic infarction. The tendency towards a platelet count 20–70 × 10⁹/l or <20 × 10⁹/l was not statistically different in the two study groups.

The severity of splenic rupture and its management were analysed over five periods: 1970–1979, 1980–1989, 1990–1999, 1990–2009, and 2010–2022 (figure 3). The analysis included 171 articles published between 1970 and 2022 [14–184] (figure 1). The languages of these 171 reports were English (130), Spanish (19), German (12), French (6) and Italian (4). The reports originated from the following continents (countries): 86 from Europe (United Kingdom: 23, Spain: 15, Germany: 13, Italy: 7, Switzerland: 7, France: 6, Belgium: 5, Greece: 3, Iceland: 2, Netherlands: 2, Portugal: 2, Czechia: 1), 62 from the Americas (United States of America: 55, Canada: 3, Chile: 2, Mexico: 1, Peru: 1), 17 from Asia (Japan: 5, Israel: 3, South Korea: 3, India: 2, China: 2, Pakistan: 1, Saudi Arabia: 1) and 6 from Oceania (Australia: 5, New Zealand: 1).

The 171 reports addressed cases of mononucleosis complicated by splenic rupture (144 reports), cases complicated either by rupture or infarction (1) or cases complicated by infarction (26). The reports documented 215 patients (one patient in 148 reports, two patients in 11 reports, three patients in 7 reports, four patients in 4 reports and eight patients in 1 report): 186 with splenic rupture [14–158] and 29 with infarction [158–184]. Reporting comprehensiveness was satisfactory in 1 case, good in 125 and excellent in 89.

General findings

The characteristics of the 215 patients are presented in table 1. A positive Paul-Bunnell-Davidsohn test (n = 90), a specific acute Epstein-Barr virus serology response (n = 87) or both a Paul-Bunnell-Davidsohn test and a specific Epstein-Barr virus serology response (n = 30) supported the diagnosis of mononucleosis in 207 cases. The diagnosis of mononucleosis was made uniquely on a histological basis (spleen showing largenumbers of atypical lymphocytes) in the remaining 8 cases, who died within hours after admission. A pre-existing haematological disease was significantly more frequent (p = 0.001) in splenic infarction as compared to splenic rupture. The prevalence of recent history of abdominal trauma was not statistically different between rupture and infarction patients. In no cases was rupture or infarction preceded by overeager palpation. As compared to cases affected by splenic infarction,
splenic rupture was classified as minor in 52 (30%), moderate in 66 (38%) and severe in 58 (33%) of the 176 cases with this information. The splenic rupture classification score was not statistically different in the five periods (p = 0.415).

Splenectomy, either immediate (operative management; n = 108) or after failure of non-operative (failure of operative management; n = 15) management was the most common treatment strategy. In the remaining 63 patients, the management was non-operative: expectant strategy alone (n = 52), transcatheter embolisation (n = 5), partial splenectomy (n = 2), splenic repair (n = 2) and ultrasound-guided haematoma aspiration (n = 2). The prevalence of cases managed non-operatively significantly (p = 0.0134) increased over the five periods: while no case was managed non-operatively in 1970–1979, this strategy was successfully applied in slightly less than 50% of cases in 2010–2022 (figure 3).

Nine patients with a severe splenic rupture score (6 female and 3 male subjects aged 15 to 29 years, median 20 years)

Table 1:
Characteristics of 215 patients (82 females and 133 males) with Epstein-Barr virus mononucleosis complicated by splenic rupture or infarction. Results are presented as frequency (and percentage) or as median (with interquartile range). Significant p values are given in bold.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Splenic rupture</th>
<th>Splenic infarction</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females:Males, n (%)</td>
<td>73 (40): 113 (60)</td>
<td>9 (30): 20 (70)</td>
<td>0.538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;16 years, n (%)</td>
<td>17 (9.1)</td>
<td>5 (17)</td>
<td>0.189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-existing haematological disease, n (%)</td>
<td>1 (0.5)</td>
<td>6 (21)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fever, n (%)</td>
<td>95 (51)</td>
<td>26 (90)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaise, n (%)</td>
<td>107 (58)</td>
<td>16 (55)</td>
<td>0.842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue, n (%)</td>
<td>40 (22)</td>
<td>13 (45)</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sore throat, n (%)</td>
<td>99 (53)</td>
<td>21 (72)</td>
<td>0.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea, vomiting, diarroha n (%)</td>
<td>51 (27)</td>
<td>11 (38)</td>
<td>0.273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous abdominal trauma*, n (%)</td>
<td>17 (9.1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vigorous abdominal palpation, n (%)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal pain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No abdominal pain, n (%)</td>
<td>14 (7.5)</td>
<td>2 (6.9)</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse abdominal pain, n (%)</td>
<td>172 (93)</td>
<td>27 (93)</td>
<td>0.904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left upper quadrant pain, n (%)</td>
<td>112 (60)</td>
<td>19 (66)</td>
<td>0.684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left shoulder pain, n (%)</td>
<td>68 (37)</td>
<td>2 (6.9)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enlarged cervical lymph nodes, n (%)</td>
<td>97 (52)</td>
<td>13 (45)</td>
<td>0.550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaundice, n (%)</td>
<td>8 (4.3)</td>
<td>8 (28)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatomegaly, n (%)</td>
<td>25 (13)</td>
<td>8 (28)</td>
<td>0.081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splenomegaly, n (%)</td>
<td>152 (83)</td>
<td>25 (86)</td>
<td>0.794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild presentation, n (%)</td>
<td>38 (20)</td>
<td>1 (3.4)</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemodynamic parameters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate, bpm</td>
<td>105 [90–122]</td>
<td>105 [86–115]</td>
<td>0.887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg</td>
<td>102 [90–115]</td>
<td>126 [115–130]</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg</td>
<td>60 [53–70]</td>
<td>70 [64–81]</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemodynamic instability, n (%)</td>
<td>58 (31)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory findings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemoglobin (g/l)</td>
<td>105 [90–122]</td>
<td>123 [78–134]</td>
<td>0.425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelet count (10^9/l)</td>
<td>170 [101–230]</td>
<td>190 [135–306]</td>
<td>0.290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;20 × 10^9/l, n (%)</td>
<td>3 (1.6)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–70 × 10^9/l, n (%)</td>
<td>2 (1.1)</td>
<td>2 (6.9)</td>
<td>0.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% lymphocytes</td>
<td>50 [37–69]</td>
<td>59 [51–70]</td>
<td>0.238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% atypical lymphocytes</td>
<td>25 [10–47]</td>
<td>18 [5–93]</td>
<td>0.678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-reactive protein (mg/l)</td>
<td>49 [42–67]</td>
<td>18 [5–93]</td>
<td>0.254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transaminitis, n (%)</td>
<td>38 (20)</td>
<td>17 (59)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactate dehydrogenase ≥2 upper limit of normal, n (%)</td>
<td>7** (41)</td>
<td>12*** (67)</td>
<td>0.181</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* injury while playing contact sports (n = 9), minor fall on the left side (n = 4), major fall (n = 3), heavy lifting (n = 1)

** assessed in 17 cases

*** assessed in 18 cases

Table 2:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>World Society of Emergency Surgery splenic rupture score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operative, n (%)*</td>
<td>108 (58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failure of non-operative management, n (%)*</td>
<td>14 (7.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-operative, n (%)</td>
<td>64 (34)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* While 46 out of 55 cases with a severe rupture score were splenectomised, this figure (70 out of 121 cases) was lower (p = 0.001) for cases with a minor or moderate rupture score.
died [30, 43, 70, 83, 93, 101, 126, 129]: six had been admitted with signs of irreversible circulatory shock; the other three died after initial fluid resuscitation and urgent splenectomy. Fatal cases were temporally distributed as follows: 0 in 1970–1979, 2 in 1980–1989, 4 in 1990–1999, 1 in 2000–2009 and 2 in 2010–2022.

Figure 2: Time from onset of symptoms of Epstein-Barr virus infectious mononucleosis and onset of symptoms of splenic rupture or infarction.

### Splenic infarction

An underlying haematological disease was observed in 6 (21%) of the 29 patients with splenic infarction: hereditary spherocytosis in 4 and sickle cell trait in 2. One patient had coeliac disease. Furthermore, one patient concomitantly had Crohn’s disease, sacroiliitis and Hashimoto’s thyroiditis. Finally, one female subject was taking hormonal contraception. Interestingly, a transient elevation of the antiphospholipid level was noted in one patient.

The management was non-operative in all 29 patients. A splenectomy was performed one month or more after diagnosis in two patients with spherocytosis and in one patient with long-lasting abdominal pain and fatigue. None of the 29 patients died.

### Discussion

This systematic review of the literature focuses on two complications of mononucleosis [1–3]: splenic rupture and splenic infarction. The results may be summarised as follows. Both rupture and infarction predominantly occur in males, usually 15 to 30 years of age, and present one to three weeks after the onset of mononucleosis symptoms (which are mild in about 20% of cases) with acute abdominal pain, which is mostly diffuse (but often predominates in the left upper quadrant), and left shoulder pain. Haemodynamic instability secondary to a circulatory shock occurs in approximately one-third of cases of splenic rupture, which is still potentially fatal. Moreover, cases with infarction quite frequently have a pre-existing haematological condition such as spherocytosis or sickle cell trait. Finally, and especially clinically relevant, a spleen-preserving
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The main limitation of this systematic review relates to the rarity of these two complications. Hence, we collected information from cases published between 1970 and 2022, which were sometimes not thoroughly documented. Three well-accepted databases and Google Scholar were used for our literature search. It seems to us highly improbable that substantially different results would have been obtained if additional databases had been searched. Furthermore, the recommended treatment strategy does not arise from well-designed studies but is mainly extrapolated from current guidelines on the management of traumatic splenic rupture [6–8]. The main strength of the study relates to the relatively high number of included cases. Furthermore, this is the first report which investigates the literature on mononucleosis-associated splenic infarction.

In conclusion, this systematic review of the literature documents that, like with traumatic splenic rupture, splenic preservation is increasingly common in the management of mononucleosis-associated splenic rupture, with mainly good success. The treatment strategy is dictated by haemodynamic parameters. Sadly, even in the third millennium, this disease is still occasionally fatal.
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