A retrospective analysis of blood culture-negative endocarditis at a tertiary care centre in Switzerland
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AIMS OF THE STUDY: Numerous studies from different countries have contributed to an improved understanding of blood culture-negative infective endocarditis. However, little is known about its epidemiology and microbiology in Switzerland. We aimed to assess the epidemiology and microbiology of blood culture-negative endocarditis at the University Hospital Zurich, Switzerland.

METHODS: We screened all patients hospitalised between 1997 and 2020 with possible or definite endocarditis at our institution. Thereof, we identified all cases with blood culture-negative endocarditis and retrospectively retrieved patient characteristics, microbiological, histopathological, radiographic and surgical data from medical records.

RESULTS: Among 861 patients screened, 66 (7.7%) cases of blood culture-negative endocarditis were identified. Thereof, 31 cases could be microbiologically documented or not documented (n = 30), and in five cases a non-infectious aetiology was confirmed. Endocarditis predominantly affected men (77%) and the left heart (79%); predisposing factors were prosthetic valves (42%), congenital heart disease (35%) and prior endocarditis (14%). The most common reasons for negative blood cultures were antibiotic treatment prior to blood culture sampling (35%), fastidious and slow growing microorganisms (30%) and definite non-infective endocarditis (8%). Coxiella burnetii and Bartonella spp. were the most common fastidious bacteria identified. In addition to serology, identification of causative microorganisms was possible by microbiological and/or histopathological analysis of tissue samples, of which polymerase chain reaction testing (PCR) of the 16S ribosomal RNA proved to be most successful.

CONCLUSIONS: The present study provides a detailed analysis of blood culture-negative endocarditis over a time span of more than 20 years in Zurich, Switzerland. Antibiotic treatment prior to blood collection, and fastidious and slow growing organisms were identified as main reasons for sterile blood cultures. Typical culture-negative bacteria were mainly found by PCR and/or culture of tissue samples.

Introduction

Infective endocarditis is a rare but life-threatening infection of the endocardial surface of the heart which is usually caused by bacteria and rarely by fungi. The global incidence has increased in the last 20 years and ranges nowadays between 1.5 and 11.6 cases per 100,000 person-years [1]. Despite optimal treatment, mortality rates are approximately 25%. Risk factors include previous infective endocarditis, prosthetic valves or an indwelling cardiac device, or a congenital heart disease [2, 3]. Fast detection and identification of the causative pathogen is crucial in order to ensure timely and appropriate therapy. Diagnosis is usually established by combining clinical, imaging, microbiological and histopathological criteria [4]. However, in some cases no causative microorganism grows when applying standard blood culture methods. These cases are called blood culture-negative endocarditis [4]. Compared with infective endocarditis with positive cultures, identification of the aetiological agent is often delayed, which may result in an increased risk of complications such as heart valve destruction, systemic or pulmonary embolisation and even death [6].

The prevalence of blood culture-negative infective endocarditis varies greatly between countries and among different centres within the same country [7]. In Europe, blood cultures in patients investigated for infective endocarditis remain negative in 9% to 25% of cases [8, 9], whereas in developing countries blood culture-negative infective endocarditis prevalence ranges from 31% up to 69% [10, 11]. In a recent Danish nationwide study, blood cultures remained negative in 18.9% of infective endocarditis cases, with a declining temporal trend from 2000–2017 [12]. The difference in frequency might be due to the higher incidence of fastidious zoonotic agents causing human infections, or the application of different analytical techniques influencing the local epidemiology of infective endocarditis [7, 13]. Epidemiological and microbiological data as
well as patient characteristics of blood culture-negative infective endocarditis among Swiss patients are scarce. We therefore aimed to characterise patients presenting with culture-negative endocarditis at the University Hospital Zurich, Switzerland.

Materials and methods

Study population and data collection

The University Hospital of Zurich is a tertiary care hospital in the eastern part of Switzerland with approximately 900 beds, 43 clinics and institutes and 43,000 hospitalised patients per year.

We retrospectively screened patients who were admitted to our hospital between January 1997 and December 2020 and had an echocardiography for suspected infective endocarditis. Patients were included if they were hospitalised for blood culture-negative endocarditis (KEK-2014-0461). A majority of patients had been previously enrolled in the “Endovascular and Cardiac Valve Infection cohort study database” (ENVALVE, BASEC 2017-01140) or the “Vascular Graft cohort study database” (VASGRA, KEK-2012-0583; PB 2016-01320 [14]). The VASGRA database served as a source of patients with composite graft endocarditis.

The study protocol was approved by the local ethics committee of Zurich. The participants were included in the study if they provided written informed consent for ENVALVE or VASGRA or if they provided a general consent between the years 2016 and 2020. For subjects with endocarditis from 1997–2016, written informed consent was waived by the ethics committee Zurich since it was decided that it would be disproportionately difficult to obtain informed consent (some patients were already dead at the time of the study).

Clinical data and definitions

We performed a chart review and assessed demographics, echocardiographic parameters, blood culture results, type of antimicrobial treatment, surgery, outcomes and clinical complications. Data was retrospectively extracted from the Clinical Information System (KISIM) in an Excel file according to a structured questionnaire (table S1). Each patient file was again checked for completeness. In the case of missing microbiological and essential data, the patient was not included in the analysis. The two researchers extracting the data were not blinded to the aims of the study. Selected patients were stratified as blood culture-negative infective endocarditis (BCN-IE) if a causative pathogen could be found, as blood culture-negative endocarditis (BCN-E) if no aetiology could be found or as blood culture-negative non-infective endocarditis (BCN-NIE) if a non-infectious underlying systemic disease could be identified [15].

The following disease entities were subsumed in the term “congenital heart disease”: tetralogy of Fallot, transposition of great arteries/vessels, tricuspid atresia, hypoplastic left heart syndrome, Ebstein anomaly, persistent foramen ovale, ventricular septum defect, atrial septum defect, persistent ductus arteriosus, aortic isthmic stenosis, coronary artery anomalies, pulmonic/aortic valve stenosis. Acquired heart disease encompassed all heart diseases not listed as congenital heart disease.

Complications were defined as follows: central nervous system (CNS) embolism: emboli to brain or eye; non-CNS emboli: emboli to kidney, spleen, lung, abdominal/mesenteric and extremity; cardiac complications: valve dehiscence, heart failure, increasing vegetation, heart rhythm and conduction disturbances, myocarditis and myocardial infarction; infectious complication: wound infection or necrosis of operation site, deep wound infection at operation site, sepsis, catheter associated infection, line infection (central venous catheter, peripheral venous catheter, arterial catheter), pneumonia and urinary catheter-associated infection; renal complication: glomerulonephritis and renal failure.

Microbiology and histopathology

The current gold standard for diagnosis of infective endocarditis are the modified Duke criteria [4, 5]. These are based mainly on the evidence of the infective agent (blood cultures) and typical morphological alterations of heart valves and/or intravascular or intracardiac prosthetic material. In most patients with suspected blood culture-negative endocarditis one major criterion for diagnosis of infective endocarditis – positive blood cultures – is lacking [4, 5]. Thus, other diagnostic approaches for identification of an infective agent were applied. This included molecular analyses of resected heart valves by broad range or species-specific polymerase chain reaction (PCR), histopathology, Legionella pneumophila urinary antigen assay and serology (for Coxiella burnetii, Bartonella spp., Brucella spp., Chlamydia spp., Legionella spp, and Mycoplasma pneumoniae). Chronic Q fever was diagnosed in the case of an IgG titre of >1:800 to phase I C. burnetii and infective endocarditis due to Bartonella spp. was diagnosed in the case of IgG titre of >1:800 to B. quintana and/or B. henselae. Since 2014 heparin or sodium citrate blood for mycobacterial cultures and cultures for acid-fast bacilli from extrapulmonary sites were assessed in patients with prosthetic valves [16]. In addition, we assessed results of tests for antinuclear antibodies and rheumatoid factors.

Tissue samples were retrieved at time of cardiac surgery and subjected to histopathological analysis (including staining for microorganisms), culture and PCR if negative. For histopathological analyses, tissue was fixed in 4% formaldehyde for at least 12 hours. Fixed samples were processed automatically, which comprised dehydration, clearing, wax infiltration, and paraffin embedding. Histological sections of 2 µm thickness were obtained by microtomy. Subsequently they were deparaffinised and stained according to standard protocols with minor modifications.

Statistical analysis

Qualitative data are presented as absolute numbers and percentages. Continuous variables were analysed as median with interquartile ranges (IQRs) from the 25th to the 75th percentile. We assessed p-values from Fisher’s exact test (categorical variables) and Wilcoxon rank-sum test (age). Data were analysed in Stata/SE 17.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA).
Results

Patient selection and characterisation

We identified 861 patients with possible or definitive infective endocarditis between 1997 and 2020 (for an overview of year of diagnosis see supplemental figure S1 in the appendix). Of these, a total of 65 patients with 66 episodes of blood culture-negative endocarditis were identified, which accounted for 8% of all admissions (fig. 1).

According to the modified Duke criteria, 46 were definite infective endocarditis, 15 were possible infective endocarditis and 5 were BCN-NIE. The latter included one case of Libman-Sacks endocarditis and four cases of marantic endocarditis associated with neoplastic diseases (one cervical cancer, one pancreatic cancer, and two lung cancers).

Demographic and clinical characteristic of patients with blood culture-negative endocarditis are presented in table 1.

Median age was 55 years (IQR 43–67) and most participants were male (77%). Predisposing factors were prosthetic heart valves (42%), acquired heart diseases (38%), congenital heart disease (35%), and prior endocarditis (14%). Most cases involved the left heart (79%) with the aortic valve most commonly affected (55%). Antibiotic treatment prior to blood collection was the most common presumed reason for negative blood cultures (35%), followed by later identification of fastidious and slow-growing organisms (30%), and non-infective endocarditis (8%). In 29%, no aetiology for sterile blood cultures could be identified. During hospital admission, 39 cases (59%) underwent cardiac surgery due to heart failure/haemodynamic compromise and/or uncontrolled infection/continuous embolisation. The most frequent complications were episodes with septic embolisation (50%) most commonly to the central nervous system (n = 60%), followed by cardiac complications (42%), and other infectious complications (29%). In-hospital mortality was 15%.

Microbiology

Of 61 cases with definite or possible blood culture-negative infective endocarditis, 31 cases were classified as BCN-IE and 30 cases as BCN-E, with a higher percentage of definite infective endocarditis cases in the BCN-IE group (90%) compared with the BCN-E group (60%) (table 1).

Overall, 17 (55%) BCN-IE cases were caused by fastidious and slow growing bacteria (table 2).

Systematic serological testing yielded six cases of infective endocarditis due to C. burnetii and four cases due to Bartonella spp. (three cases of B. quintana and one case of B. henselae), with PCRs from valve tissue contributing to pathogen identification in 10 of 17 (59%) cases. All of the six patients with infective endocarditis due to C. burnetii had either a congenital or an acquired heart disease and in five patients, infective endocarditis affected an artificial valve (four biological valves and one mechanical valve). The four patients with Bartonella spp. infective endocarditis all suffered from a congenital heart disease, endocarditis affected exclusively the left heart and native valves, and all underwent surgery. Recent travel histories, regular contact with domestic and/or wild animals or asylum status contributed to the final diagnosis of infective endocarditis in five patients with C. burnetii and two patients with B. quintana. Isolation of Mycobacterium chimaera was possible by cultures of bone (spondylodiscitis, osteomyelitis of the distal radius) or Mycobacterium genus-specific PCR from valve tissue. Besides the aforementioned fastidious and slow growing bacteria, 14 (45%) BCN-IE cases were due to the typical IE microorganisms Streptococcus spp., Staphylococcus spp., Entero...
coccus spp. and HACEK group, as well as *Cutibacterium acnes* (table 2).

### Tissue analysis

We analysed tissue samples from 45 cases, which were derived from cardiac valves (38 cases), peripheral emboli (4 cases), joint punctures (2 cases) and bone biopsy (1 case) (fig. 2).

Tissue samples from five (11%) out of 45 cases were analysed by Brown-Brenn staining which revealed Gram-positive cocci in chains in one patient. Tissue cultures and/or PCR without any histopathological analysis were performed in 15 cases (33%) and the remaining 25 cases (56%) were subjected to both histopathology and microbiological analyses. We found congruent results in 60% of cases.

Among the 30 histologically analysed tissue samples, Brown-Brenn staining detected bacteria in 14 cases (47%) and acute or chronic inflammation was visible in 27 cases (90%) (fig. 3). In the remaining three cases without signs of inflammation, PCR and/or culture were positive.

### Discussion

We characterised in this single-centre study patients with blood culture-negative endocarditis over a time span of 23 years. These included 66 cases of blood culture-negative endocarditis, which accounted for 8% of all 861 admitted patients for possible or definite infective endocarditis during the study period. An underlying pathogen was identified in 47% (BCN-IE), and the cause of negative blood cultures was identified (BCN-E) in 46%. A non-infective cause of endocarditis was identified (BCN-NIE) in 8% of cases. Similar analyses were reported in a French study with 819 cases of blood culture-negative endocarditis. Compared with our cohort, the number of BCN-IE cases was high-
er in the French study by Fournier, with 62.7% of cases, with correspondingly lower rates of BCN-E (36.5%) and BCN-NIE (2.5%) [17]. However, the yield of our diagnostic approach led to a diagnosis in 831/861 infective endocarditis cases (96.5%). Thus, the overall percentage of blood culture-negative endocarditis in our study was lower than previously published (14–31%) [4, 18]. Improvements in diagnostic techniques for detection of mi-

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacterium isolated</th>
<th>Detection methods and sample types</th>
<th>Reasons for negative blood cultures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fastidious bacterium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Coxiella burnetii</em> (n = 6)</td>
<td>Serology (n = 6)</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartonella quintana (n = 3)</td>
<td>Serology (n = 2)</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartonella henselae (n = 1)</td>
<td>Serology, culture, and PCR aortic valve (n = 1)</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tropheryma whippelii (n = 4)</td>
<td>PCR aortic valve (n = 3)</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Mycobacterium chimaera</em> (n = 3)</td>
<td>Culture of bone puncture (n = 2)</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HACEK (n = 3)</td>
<td>PCR mitral valve (n = 1)</td>
<td>(x)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptococcus (n = 5)</td>
<td>PCR thrombus (n = 1)</td>
<td>(x)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Streptococcus agalactiae</em></td>
<td>PCR aortic wall (n = 1)</td>
<td>(x)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Streptococcus pneumoniae</em></td>
<td>PCR mitral valve (n = 1)</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Streptococcus mitis</em></td>
<td>Culture and PCR mitral valve (n = 1)</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Viridans Streptococci</em></td>
<td>PCR of knee puncture (n = 1)</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Streptococcus sp.</em></td>
<td>Culture and PCR aortic valve (n = 1)</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus aureus</em></td>
<td>Culture and PCR aortic valve (n = 1)</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus lugdunensis</em></td>
<td>Culture of hip puncture (n = 1)</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoNS (not further specified)</td>
<td>Culture mitral valve (n = 1)</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Clostridium perfringens</em> (n = 2)</td>
<td>Culture and PCR mitral valve (n = 1)</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Enterococcus cecorum</em> (n = 1)</td>
<td>PCR thrombus (n = 1)</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CoNS: coagulase-negative Staphylococci; PCR: polymerase chain reaction.

**Figure 2:** Overview and comparison of the histological and microbiological analysis of tissue samples. Tissue was available from 45 patients. Histological or microbiological analysis was performed in 5 and 15 patients, respectively. Twenty-five cases were analysed by both microbiology and histology. 1 A positive histology result is defined as a positive signal of the microbiological staining of tissue samples, 2 a positive microbiology result is defined as a positive culture and/or PCR result of tissue samples, 3 one sample was discarded, 4 the nine cases are subdivided as follows: PCR only (n = 5), culture only (n = 1), PCR + culture (n = 3), 5 all congruent histological and microbiological results (PCR only (n = 7), culture only (n = 1), PCR + culture (n = 1)), 6 the six cases are subdivided as follows: PCR only (n = 4), culture only (n = 2).
crobiological blood stream infections and a decrease of non-targeted antimicrobial therapy might account for this phenomenon.

Administration of empiric antibiotic treatment prior to blood culture sampling was still the most important reason why blood cultures remained without growth (35%), albeit the rate was lower than in previously reported studies (48–80%) [18, 19]. By means of advanced diagnostics, we were able to identify a causative pathogen in approximately one fourth of cases despite antimicrobial therapy prior to sampling for blood cultures. Isolated pathogens were mainly Streptococcus spp., Staphylococcus spp., Enterococcus spp., and C. acnes highlighting the fact that classical infective endocarditis bacteria are also responsible pathogens for BCN-IE.

The majority of cases of Gram-negative infective endocarditis originated from the HACEK group. Although HACEK organisms should grow after a median of 3.4 days when using the automated blood culturing system [20], blood cultures were negative in all three patients of our series. Whereas one patient received amoxicillin / clavulanic acid for two days prior to blood sampling, the reason for negative blood cultures remained unknown in the other two cases.

Numerous studies have shown that fastidious and slow-growing organisms account for a large part of BCN-IE cases with C. burnetii, and Bartonella spp. as leading pathogens [17, 21]. This observation is in alignment with the current study, where the proportion of fastidious organisms was 55% in BCN-IE. Of 10 patients with infective endocarditis due to C. burnetii or Bartonella spp., serological analysis reliably identified nine cases, highlighting the importance of serology in the diagnosis of infective endocarditis due to fastidious pathogens. The number of reported endocarditis cases due to T. whipplei has risen in the last two decades, with an increased incidence in Switzerland, Germany and eastern-central France. Typically, patients with T. whipplei endocarditis complain of arthralgia for several years and may therefore receive immunosuppressive drugs for a potential rheumatic disease [22]. Indeed, three of the four patients with T. whipplei endocarditis in this series reported arthralgia and two patients were on therapy with steriods and methotrexate, respectively.

Among patients with a history of cardiac surgery under cardiopulmonary bypass with fever of unknown origin M. chimaera is a new important differential diagnosis of blood culture-negative endocarditis. Since 2013, over a hundred cases of M. chimaera invasive infections in Switzerland, Germany, the Netherlands, UK, USA and Australia have been reported, which could all be linked to intraoperative transmission of M. chimaera from contaminated heater-cooler units during cardiothoracic surgery [23, 24]. At the University Hospital in Zurich, Switzerland, a total of six patients were diagnosed with prosthetic valve endocarditis or vascular graft infection due to M. chimaera [23, 25]. Three out of these six patients are included in the current study, where the replaced or reconstructed valves affected by BCN-IE were accompanied by spondylodiscitis, osteomyelitis of the distal radius, chorioretinitis, and hepatitis, respectively [26].

Previous studies have identified fungi as important causes of blood culture-negative endocarditis and have suggested systematically searching for these microorganisms [17]. These observations were not in accordance with the present findings as all 11 cases of fungal endocarditis identified in the initial screening had positive blood cultures. Five cases of non-infective endocarditis were found in the present study. Echocardiography revealed typical rather small and broad-based vegetations on three native mitral valves and one native aortic valve. Thromboembolic complications are a well-known serious complication of marantic endocarditis [27, 28]. Shortly after diagnosis of marantic endocarditis, all of our patients died. If causes of death were multiple embolic events, the advanced malign-

---

**Figure 3**: Patient histology of two patients with blood culture-negative aortic valve infective endocarditis. A: Overview of haematoxylin and eosin-stained aortic valve section showing the vegetation adherent to the surface of the valve (*). Inset depicts typical foamy macrophages loaded with numerous periodic acid Schiff, diastase-resistant positive clumps of intracellular bacteria (Tropheryma whipplei). Scale bar 1 mm and 25 µm inset. B: Overview of H and E-stained aortic valve section showing thickening of the leaflet with granulation tissue (+) and fibrin layer (*). Inset depicts multiple long irregular rods (Cardiobacterium hominis) in Brown-Brenn staining. Scale bar: 250 µm and 5 µm inset.
nant disease, or a combination of both is difficult to deter-
mine.
As the diagnosis of infective endocarditis according to the
twenty Duke criteria importantly relies on identification of
an infectious agent, the importance of advanced microbi-
ological analysis of potentially infected tissue cannot be
overemphasised [29]. Valve histopathology is still consid-
ered the gold standard for the diagnosis of infective en-
docarditis and has an impact on decisions about the dura-
tion of antibiotic therapy [30]. In the current study, analysis of
tissue by histopathology and culture, which serve as
pathological criteria in the modified Duke criteria, changed
infective endocarditis diagnosis from probable to definite in
21/66 cases (32%), underlining the importance of histo-
pathology.
Surgical treatment for reasons such as haemodynamic compro-
mise or continuous embolisation is required in approxi-
ately half of the patients with infective endocardi-
itis [4], which corresponds with our findings (59%). In 45
cases, tissue samples were available, which predominantly
(84%) were derived from cardiac valves. Cultures from
valves had a low sensitivity (16%), which is in line with
the literature [31]. Since not all samples were concomitant-
ly analysed by histology, PCR and culture, a closer look
at the individual diagnostic technique is important. Among
the 30 histologically analysed cases, 90% showed acute or
chronic inflammation and in almost half of them micro-
biological staining detected the causative bacterium. Com-
parable numbers were found in two other studies where
microbiological staining of tissue samples revealed a mi-
croorganism in 30% [32] and 60% [33], respectively. Car-
diac valve tissue is the optimal sample type. By means of
molecular analysis and culture of tissue samples, a
causative organism could be successfully identified in 77%
of BCN-IE cases of the present series. Although several
studies have shown the importance of PCR in the actio-
logical analysis of blood culture-negative endocarditis [32,
34], international infective endocarditis guidelines have
yet not incorporated molecular testing of tissue samples in-
to the modified Duke criteria [35].
Lastly, one case of BCN-IE was identified by PCR of a
blood sample (Enterococcus cecorum) and one case by
urine culture (Staphylococcus aureus). Molecular analysis of
serum samples exhibits low sensitivity and is generally
not recommended [15]. S. aureus is an uncommon urine iso-
late and in up to 34% bacteriuria is associated with S.
aureus bacteriuria [36]. A recent French study investi-
gated 27 cases of community-acquired S. aureus bacteri-
uria. All had subsequent S. aureus bacteriemia and were
diagnosed with infective endocarditis [37]. In light of these
findings, it is very likely that S. aureus was the causative
pathogen, despite the fact that PCR and culture of the mi-
tral valve were negative.
To our knowledge, this study represents the first com-
prehensive report of a Swiss centre over a long observa-
tion period. The rate of blood culture-negative endocardi-
is low compared with the literature, which could indi-
cate a good diagnostic and clinical approach supported by
repeated case discussions in the weekly endocarditis board
meetings. Several limitations should also be noted. Since
the study was conducted at a tertiary care centre with a
large catchment area the current results may be generalised
to some extent to the Swiss population, but not necessari-
ly to the European population owing to different diagno-
sic techniques and prevalence of fastidious organisms, as
well as easier access to antibiotics without prescription in
some countries. Since data collection occurred at a single
tertiary care centre, the sample size was rather small. De-
spite using a structured questionnaire for chart review, the
retrospective design of the study may introduce bias linked
to heterogeneous or missing data. Since information tech-
ology (IT) and patient documentation has changed greatly
over time and only cases with complete data records were
selected, we assume that the number of blood culture-neg-
ative cases is higher. This finding is reflected in supple-
cmental figure S1 (appendix), where more cases of blood
culture-negative endocarditis were found in the second half
of the study period than in the first half. Assuming that the
rate of endocarditis cases with negative blood cultures re-
mained constant over time, more cases should have been
identified between the years 1997 and 2009. Moreover, mi-
icrobiological techniques may have changed and improved
over the years and thus the true prevalence of BCN-IE
might be higher than reported in our study. Also, M. chi-
aera is overrepresented since all cases were identified
during an outbreak at the study site.
In conclusion, blood culture-negative endocarditis is a rare
entity where a refined diagnostic approach is of utmost
importance. This includes prolonged incubation times of
blood cultures, serology, species specific PCR from blood
as well as analysis of cardiac valve and tissue sample by
means of culture, histology and broad-range PCR for bac-
teria and fungi. A proposed diagnostic algorithm for cas-
es of blood culture-negative endocarditis can be found in
supplemental figure S2. Empirical antibiotic treatment pri-
or to blood collection, fastidious and slow growing organ-
isms such as C. burnetti and Bartonella spp. and non-infec-
tive endocarditis were identified as main reasons for sterile
blood cultures.
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Appendix: Supplementary data

Figure S1: Cases of endocarditis grouped by year of diagnosis. Depiction of number of cases of blood culture-negative infective endocarditis (BCN-IE), blood culture-negative endocarditis (BCN-E) and blood culture-negative non-infective endocarditis (BCN-NIE) over the study period from 1997–2020.

Figure S2: Proposed diagnostic algorithm in case of negative blood cultures. PCR: polymerase chain reaction; ANA: anti-nuclear antibody; RF: rheumatoid factor; ANCA: anti-neutrophil cytoplasmatic antibody

- Repetitive negative blood cultures after prolonged incubation
- When tissue samples are available
- Serology
- if negative
- Culture
- if negative
- PCR broad-range/species-specific
- Consider blood PCR and/or ANA, RF, ANCA, antiphospholipid antibodies
Table S1: Structured questionnaire.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient data</th>
<th>Age at baseline</th>
<th>Sex</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Year endocarditis diagnosed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factors</th>
<th>Congenital heart disease</th>
<th>Acquired heart disease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prior cardiac surgery</td>
<td>Prior endocarditis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intravenous drug use (IVDU) or opiate substitution programme</td>
<td>Patient history (travel, contact with animals, special food (consumption of raw dairy products, insufficiently cooked meat), place of origin, occupational and recreational activities (farms, lab, abattoir), living (rural, urban, homeless)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Valve/device involvement</th>
<th>Which valve</th>
<th>Native valve</th>
<th>Not native valve (type of material)</th>
<th>Device infection</th>
<th>Right or left side</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab</th>
<th>Anti-nuclear antibody</th>
<th>Anticardiolipin IgG, anti-b2-glycoprotein 1 IgG + IgM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rheumatoid factor</td>
<td>Anti ds DNA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modified Duke criteria</th>
<th>Coxiella burnetii phase-I IgG titre &gt;1:800</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive echo for vegetation, abscess, pseudoaneurysm, intracardial fistula, valve perforation, new partial dehiscence of a prosthetic valve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive FDG-PET/CT at new prosthetic valve &gt;3 months postoperative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paravalvular lesion in computed tomography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Predisposition heart condition or IVDU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fever &gt;38 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vascular phenomena</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Immunological phenomena</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Microbiological evidence not meeting major criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Microorganisms demonstrated by culture or on histological examination of a vegetation, a vegetation that has embolised or an intracardiac abscess specimen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pathological lesions; vegetation or intracardiac abscess confirmed by histological examination showing active endocarditis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reasons for negative blood cultures</th>
<th>Prior antibiotic treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fastidious slow-growing organisms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nonbacterial pathogens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-infective aetiologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No identified etiology</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>Embolisms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abscess formation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cardial complications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Infectious complications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Renal complications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other complications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Surgery needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Death</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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