Additional File 1: CHERRIES-Checklist for reporting results of Internet-E-Surveys.

Reference: Eysenbach G. (2004) Improving the quality of Web surveys: the Checklist for Reporting Results of Internet E-Surveys (CHERRIES) *J Med Internet Res* **6**(3):e34

doi:10.2196/jmir.6.3.e34

| Item Category | Checklist Item | Explanation |
|--|----------------------------------|--|
| Design | Describe survey design | All members of the Swiss Society of General Internal Medicine (SGAIM) were approached by newsletter email combined with postal mailing. |
| IRB | IRB approval | Yes |
| | Informed consent | On the front page of the online survey, participants were informed about the presumed duration for filling in. All their answers were stored on the SurveyMonkey website and are available there up to now. All authors of study were investigators. The aim of our study (to develop RIAs for ambulatory general medicine with substantial involvement of Swiss GPs from the beginning) was clearly stated in the invitation and in the introductionary text on the website. By starting the survey procedure, participants declared to consent to participate. |
| | Data protection | Guaranteed by the responsible researchers' personal login to the SurveyMonkey account with user name and password |
| Development and pretesting | Development and testing | The survey items were constructed by the research team and pasted into the SurveyMonkey area by the responsible researcher. Procedure and items of the survey were pre-tested, adapted and approved by the research team. |
| Recruitment process and description of the sample having access to the questionnaire | Open survey versus closed survey | Open survey |
| | Contact mode | Newsletter e-mailing and postal mailing |
| | Advertising the survey | announcement of the survey in the official SGAIM journal "Primary and Hospital Care". |
| Survey administration | Web/E-mail | SurveyMonkey Tool |
| | Context | SurveyMonkey is a website for constructing, storing and analysing online surveys. The administrator can design the length, the kind of information provided and the type of questions & answers. The maximal number of participants was restricted by the number of SGAIM members approached. The website appearance is neutral and is not suggesting any opinion, which was also true for the mailing design. |
| | Mandatory/ Voluntary | Voluntary |

| | Incentives | In the round 1, partipipants got an incentive of CHF 25 |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|--|
| | Incentives | per e-banking. In the second round, we provided 10 |
| | | iPads (or 10 x CHF 500, alternatively) given by chance. |
| | Time/Date | Round 1: 27.11.2018 – 15.12.2018 |
| | Time, bate | Round 2: 25.06.2019 – 15.07.2019 |
| | Randomization of | The 16 items for round 2 were presented in a |
| | items or | randomized order (not ranked by frequency as |
| | questionnaires | resulted from round 1) |
| | Number of items | Round 1: two fill-in boxes and an additional (voluntary) |
| | Number of items | third box for remarks |
| | | |
| | N b a u af a aug a u a | Round 2: 16 items to rate |
| | Number of screens (pages) | 4 (in each oft he two rounds) |
| | Completeness check | In case of incomplete answers, there was an alert like |
| | | «an answer is required» before the participation could |
| | | be continued. We did not provide alternative answers |
| | | like «cannot answer to this» or «don't know» |
| | Review step | Participants hat the option to switch between pages by |
| | | using «go back to» and «proceed» buttons, and to |
| | | change answers. |
| Response rates | Unique site visitor | Only participants or visitors completing at least the |
| ' | | first page and proceeding to the next page were |
| | | counted. Thus, calculation of views or participation |
| | | rates is not possible. |
| | Completion rate | Round 1: 0.4 (German speaking participants) to |
| | | 0.65 (French speaking participants) |
| | | Round 2: 0.97 to 0.98, respectively |
| Preventing multiple | Cookies used | Only one participation per device was possible, using |
| entries from the same | | cookies for this purpose. |
| individual | | |
| | IP check | IP identification of participants were collected and |
| | | compared, which guaranteed to avoid multiple |
| | | participation. |
| | Log file analysis | See above. Participants had the option of multiple |
| | | participation only if the deleted cookies from their |
| | | device or if the accessed the survey website from |
| | | another device. |
| | Registration | No registration required (open survey) |
| Analysis | Handling of | Participants' data were included only if they completed |
| | incomplete | at least the mandatory questions. Participants who |
| | questionnaires | dropped out before completing the mandatory part |
| | 4.656.5111.611.65 | were not included in the analysis. Participants with |
| | | obviously false answers were excluded. |
| | Questionnaires | Average time of access was recorded, but there was no |
| | submitted with an | cut-off for a minimal time required. |
| | atypical timestamp | cat on for a minimal time required. |
| | Statistical correction | Was not used |
| | Statistical Collection | vvas not useu |