

Age, comorbidity, frailty status: effects on disposition and resource allocation during the COVID-19 pandemic

Nickel Christian H.^a, Ruegg Marco^a, Pargger Hans^b, Bingisser Roland^a^a Emergency Department, University Hospital Basel, University of Basel, Switzerland^b Intensive Care Unit, University Hospital Basel, University of Basel, Switzerland

Age, comorbidity and frailty

As of now, studies on COVID-19 have consistently shown that older age and comorbidity are major risk factors for adverse outcomes and mortality [1–3]. However, it is unknown which of these components has the strongest prognostic power for prediction of adverse health outcomes in COVID-19, because there is a substantial overlap between them [4]. Atypical disease presentation in older adults may contribute to an unfavourable course of disease [5, 6]. Nursing home residents appear to be particularly at risk for adverse outcomes from COVID-19 [7, 8], especially if requiring mechanical ventilation [9]. It can be speculated that this increased vulnerability is due to a high frailty prevalence in nursing home facilities [10].

Not all older adults appear to be equally vulnerable to COVID-19. A recent case series presented five patients aged 98 years and older who recovered from COVID-19 and were discharged from hospital, being China's oldest COVID-19 survivors [11].

On the other hand, frail older adults have an increased vulnerability to such a stressor event – they tend to be more seriously affected by acute disease in general and they often do not regain their baseline level of health and independence, as compared with non-frail older adults of the same age group [12]. To date, there is no consensus on a frailty definition and the two most relevant concepts are the phenotype model and the cumulative deficit model [12, 13].

There is evidence that frailty status by itself is as predictive of adverse outcomes as older age in several different conditions including pneumonia (see [table 1](#) for summary, for systematic review see [20]).

Frailty assessment

To determine frailty status, several tools have been developed. The Clinical Frailty Scale (CFS) [21] is an easy-to-use screening tool for frailty. A pictograph and a short clinical description help to assign scores from 1 (very fit) to 9

(terminally ill). The CFS score should be based on the person's baseline status, for example, 2 weeks ago. The CFS is a predictor for in-hospital mortality independent of age and gender [15, 22, 23] and was recently validated in a consecutive sample of patients aged 65 years and older in an emergency department (ED) setting [24]. Early determination of frailty status, preferably during ED triage, could therefore be useful to identify older adults who may benefit from a comprehensive geriatric assessment [25]. In addition, it could assist disposition decisions [26] and possibly resource allocation, particularly at times of high patient influx, such as during the current COVID-19 pandemic. Disposition in this context means the decision for either discharge, admission or transfer after ED triage and work-up.

Disposition decisions during the COVID-19 pandemic

Admission to acute medicine or transfer to geriatric medicine should not be based simply on age. On the contrary, the balance between frailty status and disease acuity/severity should be gauged individually, with consideration of the patients' preferences and goals of care. The combination of the CFS with an aggregated vital sign score as a marker of acute illness severity appears to improve outcome prediction [27]. Disposition decisions must take outcome prediction into account [28] as, for example, unexpected death after ED discharge should not occur, and in a situation of very high acuity/severity transfer to the intensive care unit has to be considered.

Resource allocation during the COVID-19 pandemic

Resource allocation should be based on concepts similar to those of disposition decisions, because short-term prognosis and the patients' preferences and goals of care are the cornerstones of vitally important choices. "Left digit bias" (e.g., patients admitted 2 weeks after their 80th birthday were less likely to undergo bypass surgery than patients admitted 2 weeks before [29]) must affect neither disposition nor resource allocation. Whereas disposition decisions should ideally be independent of available resources, they

Correspondence:

Christian Nickel, MD,
Emergency Department,
University Hospital Basel,
University of Basel, Peters-
graben 2, CH-4031 Basel,
[christian.nickel\[at\]usb.ch](mailto:christian.nickel[at]usb.ch)

Table 1: Summary of selected studies examining the effect of age and frailty.

Clinical situation	Age (years)	Sample size	Outcomes	Frailty assessment tool	Effect of frailty independent of age?
CPR of in-patients [14]	Median: 74	Included: 179 Frail: 31.3% Non-frail: 68.7%	Survival to discharge: Frail: 1.8% Non-frail: 31.7%	Clinical Frailty Scale. Frailty defined as CFS levels 6 to 9.	Yes (logistic regression analysis)
Emergency surgical patients [15]	Median: 54	Included: 2279 Frail: 12.7% Non-frail: 87.3%	Mortality at day 30 (adjusted OR): CFS 1: reference CFS 5: 2.24 CFS 6: 3.78 CFS 7: 22.33	7-Point Clinical Frailty Scale. Frailty defined as CFS levels 5 to 7.	Yes (logistic regression analysis)
Geriatric trauma [16]	Mean: 78	Included: 250 Frail: 44% Non-frail: 56%	In-hospital mortality, primary outcome (unadjusted): Frail: 4.5% Non-frail: 0% Discharge to skilled nursing facility or in-hospital mortality (adjusted OR): Frail: 1.6 Non-frail: reference	Frailty defined as Frailty Index ≥ 0.25 .	Yes (logistic regression analysis)
Pneumonia [17]	Mean: 79	Included: 270,308 High HFRS: 11.5% Intermediate HFRS: 55.2% Low HFRS: 33.4%	Mortality at day 30 (adjusted OR): HFRS low risk: reference HFRS intermediate risk: 2.08 HFRS high risk: 2.45	Hospital Frailty Risk Score (HFRS). Low risk HFRS <5, Intermediate risk HFRS 5–15 High risk HFRS >15	Yes (logistic regression analysis)
Sepsis [18]	Mean: 65 (estimated)	Included: 30,239 Frail: 20.6% Non-frail: 79.4% Sepsis cases: 1479 Frail: 34.4% Non-frail: 65.6%	30-day case fatality (adjusted OR): Non-frail: reference Frail: 1.62 1 indicator: 1.05 2 indicators: 1.53 3 indicators: 2.03	Frailty defined by the presence of at least 2 frailty indicators (weakness, exhaustion, low physical activity)	Yes (logistic regression analysis)
Critically ill patients [19]	Mean: 67	Included: 421 Frail: 32.8% Non-frail: 67.2%	In-hospital mortality: Frail: 1.81 Non-frail: reference	Clinical Frailty Scale. Frailty defined as CFS levels 5 to 8.	Yes (logistic regression analysis)
COVID-19 in Italy [3]	NA	Included: 22,512	Case fatality rate: Age 0–29: 0% Age 30–39: 0.3% Age 40–49: 0.4% Age 50–59: 1.0% Age 60–69: 3.5% Age 70–79: 12.8% Age ≥ 80 : 20.2%	Not assessed	Not tested
COVID-19 in China [2]	Median: 47	Included: 1099 Age ≥ 65 : 15.1% Age <65: 84.9%	Composite outcome (ICU admission, mechanical ventilation, death): Age ≥ 65 : 49.2% Age <65: 25.4%	Not assessed	Not tested

CFS = Clinical Frailty Scale; CPR = cardiopulmonary resuscitation; HFRS = Hospital Frailty Risk Score; ICU = intensive care unit; NA = not available; OR = odds ratio For readability, confidence intervals and standard deviations are not shown, please refer to referenced articles.

pose an even greater challenge in times of resource scarcity due to COVID-19. Although age and comorbidity are considered to be important outcome predictors in Swiss [30] and Italian [31] guidelines on resource allocation, frailty intensive care assessment (with the Clinical Frailty Scale) has so far only been endorsed by guidelines of the UK National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) [32], as well as the guidelines of the German Society of Intensive Care [33].

Due to the lack of data in the present COVID-19 pandemic, we can only speculate that frailty status, rather than chronological age, largely determines outcome in patients with COVID-19. However, in many other conditions, this has already been demonstrated (table 1).

As suggested by Canadian experts [34, 35], three aspects are of utmost importance: first, determination of *frailty status* (and not just the patient's age), second, *balancing of benefits and harms* while considering the most likely outcome taking comorbidity into account, and third, *shared decision-making* focusing on the individual's goals of care.

Acknowledgments

Thanks to all ED and ICU staff of the Basel University Hospital, particularly Thomas Dreher, as well as Florian Grossmann, and Anja Ulrich from the Department of Internal Medicine, for support with implementation of the Clinical Frailty Scale and helping to make our ED more senior-friendly. Acknowledgements and thanks go to Manuel Battegay for continuous input and helpful discussions.

Disclosure statement

No financial support and no other potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

References

- Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, Fan G, Liu Y, Liu Z, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10229):1054–62. doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30566-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30566-3). PubMed.
- Guan WJ, Ni ZY, Hu Y, Liang WH, Ou CQ, He JX, et al.; China Medical Treatment Expert Group for Covid-19. Clinical Characteristics of Coronavirus Disease 2019 in China. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2002032>. PubMed.
- Onder G, Rezza G, Brusaferro S. Case-Fatality Rate and Characteristics of Patients Dying in Relation to COVID-19 in Italy. *JAMA*. Published online March 23 2020. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.4683>. PubMed.

- 4 Arendts G, Burkett E, Hullick C, Carpenter CR, Nagaraj G, Visvanathan R. Frailty, thy name is.... *Emerg Med Australas*. 2017;29(6):712–6. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1742-6723.12869>. PubMed.
- 5 Nickel CH, Bingisser R. Mimics and chameleons of COVID-19. *Swiss Med Wkly*. 2020;150:. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4414/smww.2020.20231>. PubMed.
- 6 Hofman MR, van den Hanenberg F, Sierevelt IN, Tulner CR. Elderly patients with an atypical presentation of illness in the emergency department. *Neth J Med*. 2017;75(6):241–6. PubMed.
- 7 McMichael TM, Currie DW, Clark S, Pogojans S, Kay M, Schwartz NG, et al. Epidemiology of Covid-19 in a Long-Term Care Facility in King County, Washington. *N Engl J Med*. 2020:. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2005412>. PubMed.
- 8 Arons MM, Hatfield KM, Reddy SC, Kimball A, James A, Jacobs JR, et al. Presymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 Infections and Transmission in a Skilled Nursing Facility. *N Engl J Med*. 2020:. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2008457>. PubMed.
- 9 Arentz M, Yim E, Klaff L, Lokhandwala S, Riedo FX, Chong M, et al. Characteristics and Outcomes of 21 Critically Ill Patients With COVID-19 in Washington State. *JAMA*. 2020;323(16):1612. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.4326>. PubMed.
- 10 Kojima G. Prevalence of Frailty in Nursing Homes: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Am Med Dir Assoc*. 2015;16(11):940–5. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2015.06.025>. PubMed.
- 11 Huang YM, Hong XZ, Shen J, Huang Y, Zhao HL. CHINA'S OLDEST CORONAVIRUS SURVIVORS. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2020. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jgs.16462>. PubMed.
- 12 Clegg A, Young J, Iliffe S, Rikkert MO, Rockwood K. Frailty in elderly people. *Lancet*. 2013;381(9868):752–62. doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(12\)62167-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(12)62167-9). PubMed.
- 13 Rodríguez-Mañas L, Féart C, Mann G, Viña J, Chatterji S, Chodzko-Zajko W, et al.; The Frailty Operative Definition-Consensus Conference Project. Searching for an operational definition of frailty: a Delphi method based consensus statement. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2013;68(1):62–7. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/gerona/gls119>. PubMed.
- 14 Wharton C, King E, MacDuff A. Frailty is associated with adverse outcome from in-hospital cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Resuscitation*. 2019;143:208–11. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2019.07.021>. PubMed.
- 15 Hewitt J, Carter B, McCarthy K, Pearce L, Law J, Wilson FV, et al. Frailty predicts mortality in all emergency surgical admissions regardless of age. An observational study. *Age Ageing*. 2019;48(3):388–94. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afy217>. PubMed.
- 16 Joseph B, Pandit V, Zangbar B, Kulvatnyou N, Hashmi A, Green DJ, et al. Superiority of frailty over age in predicting outcomes among geriatric trauma patients: a prospective analysis. *JAMA Surg*. 2014;149(8):766–72. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jamasurg.2014.296>. PubMed.
- 17 Kundi H, Wadhwa RK, Strom JB, Valsdotir LR, Shen C, Kazi DS, et al. Association of frailty with 30-day outcomes for acute myocardial infarction, heart failure, and pneumonia among elderly adults. *JAMA Cardiol*. 2019;4(11):1084–91. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jamacardio.2019.3511>. PubMed.
- 18 Mahalingam M, Moore JX, Donnelly JP, Safford MM, Wang HE. Frailty Syndrome and Risk of Sepsis in the REasons for Geographic And Racial Differences in Stroke (REGARDS) Cohort. *J Intensive Care Med*. 2019;34(4):292–300. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0885066617715251>. PubMed.
- 19 Bagshaw SM, Stelfox HT, McDermid RC, Rolfson DB, Tsuyuki RT, Baig N, et al. Association between frailty and short- and long-term outcomes among critically ill patients: a multicentre prospective cohort study. *CMAJ*. 2014;186(2):E95–102. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.130639>. PubMed.
- 20 Vermeiren S, Vella-Azzopardi R, Beckwée D, Habbig AK, Scafoglieri A, Jansen B, et al.; Gerontopole Brussels Study group. Frailty and the Prediction of Negative Health Outcomes: A Meta-Analysis. *J Am Med Dir Assoc*. 2016;17(12):1163.e1–17. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2016.09.010>. PubMed.
- 21 Rockwood K, Song X, MacKnight C, Bergman H, Hogan DB, McDowell I, et al. A global clinical measure of fitness and frailty in elderly people. *CMAJ*. 2005;173(5):489–95. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.050051>. PubMed.
- 22 Basic D, Shanley C. Frailty in an older inpatient population: using the clinical frailty scale to predict patient outcomes. *J Aging Health*. 2015;27(4):670–85. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0898264314558202>. PubMed.
- 23 Wallis SJ, Wall J, Biram RW, Romero-Ortuno R. Association of the clinical frailty scale with hospital outcomes. *QJM*. 2015;108(12):943–9. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/qjmed/hcv066>. PubMed.
- 24 Kaeppli T, Rueegg M, Dreher-Hummel T, Brabrand M, Kabell-Nissen S, Carpenter CR, et al. Validation of the Clinical Frailty Scale for Prediction of Thirty-Day Mortality in the Emergency Department. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2020;. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.annemergmed.2020.03.028>. PubMed.
- 25 Ellis G, Gardner M, Tsiachristas A, Langhorne P, Burke O, Harwood RH, et al. Comprehensive geriatric assessment for older adults admitted to hospital. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2017;9(9): doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD006211.pub3>. PubMed.
- 26 Bingisser R, Nickel CH. The last decade of symptom-oriented research in emergency medicine: triage, work-up, and disposition. *Swiss Med Wkly*. 2019;149:. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4414/smww.2019.20141>. PubMed.
- 27 Romero-Ortuno R, Wallis S, Biram R, Keevil V. Clinical frailty adds to acute illness severity in predicting mortality in hospitalized older adults: An observational study. *Eur J Intern Med*. 2016;35:24–34. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejim.2016.08.033>. PubMed.
- 28 Kellett J, Nickel CH, Skyttberg N, Brabrand M. Is it possible to quickly identify acutely unwell patients who can be safely managed as outpatients? The need for a “Universal Safe to Discharge Score”. *Eur J Intern Med*. 2019;67:e13–5. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejim.2019.07.018>. PubMed.
- 29 Olenski AR, Zimerman A, Coussens S, Jena AB. Behavioral Heuristics in Coronary-Artery Bypass Graft Surgery. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;382(8):778–9. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc1911289>. PubMed.
- 30 Scheidegger D, Fumeaux T, Hurst S, Salathé M; Swiss Academy of Medical Sciences. Covid-19-Pandemic: Intensive care medicine: triage in case of bottlenecks. 2020. <https://www.samw.ch/en/Ethics/Topics-A-to-Z/Intensive-care-medicine.html>.
- 31 Vergano MBG, Giannini A, Mascarin S, Iacobone E, Giubbilo I, Bonfanti S, et al.; Italian Society of Anesthesia, Analgesia, Resuscitation, and Intensive Care (SIAARTI). Clinical Ethics Recommendations for the Allocation of Intensive Care Treatments, in Exceptional, Resource-Limited Circumstances. [cited 2020 April 16]. <http://www.siaarti.it/News/COVID19%20-%20documenti%20SIAARTI.aspx>.
- 32 National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. COVID-19 rapid guideline: critical care in adults. 2020. <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng159>.
- 33 Jochen Dutzmann CH, Janssens U, Jöbges S, Knochel K, Marckmann G, Michalsen A, et al. Entscheidungen über die Zuteilung von Ressourcen in der Notfall- und der Intensivmedizin im Kontext der COVID-19-Pandemie. 2020 [cited 2020 April 20]. <https://www.divi.de/empfehlungen/publikationen/covid-19/1540-covid-19-ethik-empfehlung-v2/file..>
- 34 Boreskie KF, Boreskie PE, Melady D. Age is just a number - and so is frailty: Strategies to inform resource allocation during the COVID-19 pandemic. *CJEM*. 2020;1–3. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/cem.2020.358>. PubMed.
- 35 Montero-Odasso M, Goens SD, Kamkar N, Lam R, Madden K, Molnar F, et al. Canadian Geriatrics Society COVID-19 Recommendations for Older Adults. What Do Older Adults Need To Know? *Can Geriatr J*. 2020;23(1):149–51. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5770/cgj.23.443>. PubMed.