Established in 1871

Swiss Medical Weekly

Formerly: Schweizerische Medizinische Wochenschrift An open access, online journal • www.smw.ch

Original article | Published 11 May 2017 | doi:10.4414/smw.2017.14439 **Cite this as:** Swiss Med Wkly. 2017;147:w14439

Predictors of re-exacerbation after an index exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in the REDUCE randomised clinical trial

Brigitte Engel^a, Christian Schindler^b, Jörg D. Leuppi^c, Jonas Rutishauser^d

^a Department of Medicine, Fricktal Health Centre, Laufenburg, Switzerland

^b Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute, University of Basel, Switzerland

^c Kantonsspital Baselland, Department of Medicine, University Clinic of Medicine, Liestal, Switzerland

^d Kantonsspital Baselland, Department of Medicine, University Clinic of Medicine, Bruderholz, Switzerland

Summary

BACKGROUND: Acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (AECOPD) compromise physical activity and quality of life and contribute significantly to health care costs. Systemic glucocorticoids benefit clinical outcome in AECOPD, and the REDUCE trial demonstrated noninferiority of a 5-day treatment course with prednisone compared with 14 days therapy regarding clinical outcome over 6 months of follow-up. Unexpectedly, we found an inverse correlation between circulating cortisol levels and exacerbation risk during a 6month follow-up period.

OBJECTIVE: To evaluate whether additional predictors of COPD re-exacerbation can be identified after the index exacerbation in the REDUCE cohort.

METHODS: Of 314 patients with AECOPD randomised to 5 or 14 days of prednisone treatment, 311 were included in the analysis. Parameters tested as predictors of re-exacerbation were sex, age, smoking status, forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁), dyspnoea as assessed with the Medical Research Council (MRC) dyspnoea scale, home oxygen therapy, pretreatment with systemic glucocorticoids, pretreatment with antibiotics, duration of hospitalisation, blood pressure, oxygen saturation, admission to the Intensive Care Unit (ICU) and relevant infections in follow-up. The risks for re-exacerbation were estimated by means of logistic regression and Cox proportional hazard models and expressed as odds ratios and hazard ratios, respectively.

RESULTS: After multivariate adjustment, significant predictors at hospital discharge for COPD re-exacerbation during follow-up were: duration of hospital stay >8 days (hazard ratio [HR] 1.54, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.03-2.28); FEV₁ <30% predicted (HR 1.76, 95% CI 1.06– 2.91); hypertension (HR 2.39, 95% CI 1.04–5.48) and MRC dyspnoea scale (HR 1.61, 95% CI 1.30–2.01, per unit increment). Present cigarette smoking (HR 0.60, 95% CI 0.38–0.92) was negatively associated with re-exacerbation.

CONCLUSION: In addition to biochemical suppression of the adrenal glands, other standard clinical parameters predict re-exacerbation in patients admitted to the emergency department with AECOPD. (REDUCE trial registration: ISRCTN29646069)

Key words: COPD; re-exacerbation; predictors

Introduction

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is one of the leading causes of death worldwide [1]. Disease exacerbations have major implications for

Swiss Medical Weekly · PDF of the online version · <u>www.smw.ch</u>

COPD patients. They are usually associated with increased airway inflammation and characterised by a change in the patient's baseline dyspnoea, cough, and/or sputum purulence and volume [2-4] The main risk factor for the development of COPD is inhaled smoke. Additional risk factors include infections, continued oxidative damage and air pollution [5]. Patients' age, dyspnoea and airway obstruction are positive predictors for 2-year mortality [6]. Risk factors for exacerbations include older age, higher partial pressure of carbon dioxide (PaCO₂) and long-term use of systemic glucocorticoids [7], worse baseline breathlessness as measured with the Medical Research Council (MRC) dyspnoea scale [8–10], or poor nutritional status [11, 12]; in some patients no specific cause for an exacerbation can be found [5]. According to data from a Swiss cohort, approximately 20% of COPD patients experience at least one exacerbation per year [13, 14]. Affected patients require intensive monitoring and treatment, which contributes to high healthcare costs.

Exacerbations expedite the process of gradual decline in forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁) [15–17] and the progression of emphysema assessed with computed tomography (CT) [18]. Patients with frequent COPD exacerbations thus have worse health status, faster disease progression and a higher risk of hospital admission than patients with less frequent exacerbations [19].

Exacerbations become more frequent and severe with increasing COPD grade [4], but frequency of exacerbations varies widely among individuals [20], possibly because of varying susceptibility to viral infections [21]. To reduce exacerbation frequency is a main goal in COPD treatment.

One of the functional consequences of exacerbations is weakening of peripheral muscles, leading to decreased physical activity and quality of life [22]. Patients with an acute exacerbation in the previous year have a lower activity level than others without recent exacerbation [23]. Time spent outdoors declines during exacerbations, which indicates the importance of pulmonary rehabilitation after an exacerbation [24, 25]. Other factors such as depression may contribute to physical inactivity [26].

Baker and colleagues [27] reported that higher blood glucose concentrations in patients with acute exacerbations of COPD are associated with adverse clinical outcomes, including re-exacerbation. This finding may reflect the severity of the illness or the large dose of glucocorticoids used for exacerbation treatment. The REDUCE randomised clinical trial [28] showed that a 5-day treatment with systemic glucocorticoids is noninferior to a 14-day treatment in patients admitted to the emergency department for acute exacerbations of COPD. In a prespecified prospective analysis of adrenal function, tested with the low-dose (1µg) corticotropin stimulation test, we found that basal and stimulated cortisol levels were inversely associated with the re-exacerbation risk. and a pathological result was associated with higher odds for re-exacerbation [29]. This novel finding was unexpected, indicating that existing knowledge of predictors may be limited. We therefore undertook the present study to identify possible additional predictors of re-exacerbation, using data from the **REDUCE** trial.

Patients and methods

Study design and patients

We used data from the REDUCE multicentre placebo-controlled trial [28, 30] (ISRCTN29646069) for the current analysis. The original trial was designed to test the hypothesis that in acute exacerbations of COPD, a 5-day treatment with systemic glucocorticoids would not be inferior to therapy for 14 days with regard to clinical outcome. Ethical approval to conduct the trial was obtained from the competent ethics committees (Ethikkommission beider Basel, currently named Ethikkommission Nordwest- und Zentralschweiz) and KEK Bern.

Briefly, patients admitted to the emergency department with exacerbated COPD were randomly assigned to 5 or 14 days of treatment with oral prednisone 40 mg daily. The primary outcome was the time to COPD re-exacerbation during the follow-up period of 6 months. Secondary endpoints included all-cause mortality, change in FEV₁, cumulative glucocorticoid dose and clinical performance (assessed with the MRC dyspnoea scale [9], a bronchitis-associated quality-of-life score [31], and patientreported overall performance quantified on a visual analogue scale).

All data relevant to the primary and secondary endpoints were assessed daily during the hospitalisation period, on day 6, the day of discharge, and on days 15, 30, 90 and 180 of follow-up. Of the 314 randomised patients, 311 were considered in the statistical analyses; the remaining three were excluded because of an incorrect initial diagnosis [28].

Statistical analyses

For the current *post-hoc* analysis, data from the two treatment arms were pooled. We tested the following parameters as potential predictors for re-exacerbation: sex, age, smoking status, FEV₁, dyspnoea as assessed with the MRC dyspnoea scale, home oxygen therapy, pretreatment with systemic glucocorticoids, pretreatment with antibiotics, duration of hospitalisation, blood pressure, oxygen saturation, admission to the intensive care unit (ICU) and relevant infections in follow-up. Categorical variables are summarised as absolute and relative frequencies, and quantitative variables as mean and standard deviation or median and interquartile range, depending on their distributional properties. For group comparisons, the t-test or Wilcoxon rank-sum-test were used for quantitative variables and the chi2-test or Fisher's exact test for qualitative variables. Correlations between two quantitative variables were quantified as Spearman's rank correlation coefficient. We used logistic regression models to investigate the associations of baseline factors with the risk for COPD re exacerbation, and re exacerbation and/or death, during follow-up. Models were adjusted for the following confounding variables: pretreatment with glucocorticoids, age, Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) COPD grade, present smoking and sex. Survival methods were used to analyse time to re-exacerbation and time to re-exacerbation and/or death. In patients without an event during follow-up, the time to their last follow-up contact was considered as censored outcome. Kaplan-Meier plots and the log rank test were used to compare event times between groups. Associations of event times with predictor variables were assessed with Cox proportional hazard models, which were built in the same way as the logistic regression models. The proportional hazard assumption was tested on the basis of the Schoenfeld residuals [32]. To study the influence of timevarying predictor variables on the risk of an event, observation time was split into different periods defined by the date of discharge and the follow-up contacts, and predictor variables were updated at the start of each interval if they had been re-measured

at this time point. For each of the variables measured repeatedly during follow-up, we assessed the relative importance of the most recent value and the value at discharge for predicting the risk of an event in the next time interval. For our statistical analyses we focused on the values of predictor variables at study inclusion (D0), day 6 (D6) and hospital discharge, since re-exacerbation events would occur after these time-points. The follow-up period was defined as the time between hospital discharge and study close-out after 6 months. A two-sided p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. All calculations were done with Stata version 13.1 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA).

Results

Baseline characteristics of the study population are shown in table 1. An overview of quantitative variables at various study time-points is shown in supplementary table S1 (appendix).

A total of 113 out of the 311 analysed patients experienced one or more exacerbations during the follow-up of 180 days [28]. The proportion of patients experiencing a re-exacerbation was 40% with a COPD GOLD grade 4, 35% for grade 3, and 29% for grades 1 and 2.

Bivariate and multivariate analyses

For these analyses, we used FEV_1 in % predicted rather than the GOLD grade. Table 2 shows bivariate associations between possible predictor variables and the outcome variables "re-exacerbation" or "reexacerbation and/or death". Previous smoking, a lower FEV₁, pretreatment with systemic glucocorticoids, use of home oxygen therapy, longer duration of hospitalisation and a higher score in the MRC dyspnoea scale were all associated with a higher hazard ratio for re-exacerbation or re-exacerbation and/or death at one or more time-points. Need for mechanical ventilation (defined as intubation or noninvasive ventilation) was not associated with the outcome variables at any time-point (not shown).

Table 1: Baseline characteristics of study participants. (Reproduced from ref. [29], with permission of the publisher.)						
Characteristics	Overall cohort (n = 311)					
Age (years), mean (SD)	69.8 (10.9)					
Women, n (%)*	123 (39.5)					
Smoking history						
Active smokers, n (%)	139 (45.1)					
Pack years, median (quartiles)	50 (30, 60)					
FEV ₁ (% predicted), mean (SD)	31.5 (14.3)					
GOLD COPD grade ⁺ , n (%)						
1	1 (0.3)					
2	40 (13.3)					
3	98 (32.6)					
4	162 (53.8)					
Medical Research Council dyspnoea scale [‡] , n (%)						
1	8 (2.7)					
2	27 (9.3)					
3	38 (13.0)					
4	88 (30.5)					
5	130 (44.5)					
Home oxygen therapy, n (%)	40 (13.0)					
Pretreatment with systemic glucocorticoids, n (%)§	63 (20.1)					
Pretreatment daily prednisone dose (mg), median (quartiles)	20 (5, 50)					
Pretreatment with antibiotics, n (%) [¶]	53 (17)					
Clinical variables)						
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg), median (quartiles)	138 (124, 158)					
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg), median (quartiles)	80 (70, 90)					
Heart rate (bpm), median (quartiles)	91 (80, 105)					
Oxygen saturation with nasal oxygen (%), median (quartiles)	95 (92, 97)					
Oxygen saturation without nasal oxygen (%), median (quartiles)	90 (86, 94)					
Temperature (°C), median (quartiles)	37.3 (36.8, 38.1)					
1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						

 $COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; FEV_1 = forced expiratory volume in the first second; GOLD = Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease; SD = standard deviation.$

* p = 0.02

⁺ Airflow limitation according to GOLD COPD grading: 1, mild; 2, moderate; 3, severe; 4, very severe

[‡] Grading for severity of breathlessness according to the Medical Research Council questionnaire: 1, breathless only with strenuous exercise; 2, short of breath when hurrying on the level or up a slight hill; 3, walking slower than people of the same age on the level because of breathlessness, or stop for breath when walking at own pace on the level; 4, stop for breath after walking 100 yards or after a few minutes on the level; 5, too breathless to leave the house

§ Data refer to treatment prior to index acute COPD exacerbation, defined as daily therapy over 2 days or more directly before the day of inclusion.

¶ Data refer to treatment for the index acute COPD exacerbation.

Table 2: Bivariate analyses of potential predictor variables of time to re-exacerbation or re-exacerbation and/or death.							
Predictor variable	Re-exacerbation Hazard ratio (95% Cl)	p-value	Re-exacerbation and/or death Hazard ratio (95% CI)	p-value			
Male	1		1	0.34			
Female	0.91 (0.62–1.33)	0.63	0.84 (0.58–1.21)				
Age, years							
<65	1		1				
65–75	1.06 (0.66–1.72)	0.81	1.09 (0.68–1.75)	0.72			
>75	1.30 (0.83–2.03)	0.25	1.42 (0.92–2.19)	0.11			
Pack-years							
<40	1		1				
40-80	0.57 (0.38–0.86)	0.01	0.63 (0.42–0.94)	0.02			
>80	0.93 (0.48–1.77)	0.81	0.90 (0.47–1.72)	0.76			
FEV ₁ (% predicted) D0							
≥50	1		1				
30–49	1.33 (0.71–2.48)	0.37	1.31 (0.72–2.40)	0.38			
<30	1.54 (0.87–2.75)	0.14	1.57 (0.90–2.74)	0.11			
FEV ₁ (% predicted) D6							
≥50	1		1				
30–49	1.83 (1.14–2.94)	0.01	1.60 (1.01–2.52)	0.04			
<30	2.25 (1.39–3.64)	0.00	2.21 (1.40–3.46)	0.00			
FEV ₁ (% predicted) discharge							
≥50	1		1				
30–49	1.58 (1.02–2.45)	0.04	1.45 (0.96–2.21)	0.08			
<30	2.00 (1.26–3.17)	0.00	1.80 (1.16–2.81)	0.01			
Pretreatment with systemic glucocorticoids							
Yes	1.61 (1.05–2.45)	0.03	1.65 (1.10–2.47)	0.02			
No	1		1				
Active smokers							
Yes	0.56 (0.38–0.82)	0.00	0.56 (0.38–0.81)	0.00			
No	1		1				
Home oxygen therapy							
Yes	2.27 (1.43–3.59)	0.00	2.51 (1.64–3.86)	0.00			
No	1		1				
MRC dyspnoea scale D0							
1	1		1				
2	0.49 (0.12–2.07)	0.33	0.59 (0.15–2.37)	0.46			
3	0.63 (0.17–2.32)	0.49	0.70 (0.19–2.54)	0.59			
4	1.10 (0.34–3.59)	0.87	1.14 (0.35–3.69)	0.83			
5	1.36 (0.42-4.34)	0.61	1.48 (0.46-4.71)	0.51			

Table 2 (continued)				
MRC dyspnoea scale D6				
1	1		1	
2	1.28 (0.59–2.77)	0.53	1.45 (0.68–3.09)	0.34
3	1.97 (0.94–4.12)	0.07	2.09 (1.00–4.35)	0.05
4	1.66 (0.78–3.54)	0.19	1.79 (0.84–3.79)	0.13
5	1.89 (0.84–4.24)	0.12	2.00 (0.90–4.45)	0.09
MRC dyspnoea scale discharge				
1	1		1	
2	1.18 (0.54–2.56)	0.68	1.34 (0.63–2.87)	0.45
3	2.28 (1.08–4.83)	0.03	2.36 (1.12–4.99)	0.02
4	2.35 (1.08–5.12)	0.03	2.47 (1.14–5.33)	0.02
5	2.38 (0.88–6.38)	0.09	2.38 (0.89–6.39)	0.09
Length of hospitalisation				
>8 days	1.71 (1.16–2.51)	0.01	1.85 (1.27–2.69)	0.00
≤8 days	1		1	
CI = confidence interval; COPD = chronic obstructiv inclusion: D6 = day 6: MRC = Medical Research Cou	e pulmonary disease; FEV ₁ = fo	orced expiratory	volume in the first second; D	0 = day of

Table 3 and table 4 show the results of the multivariate analyses for the outcomes re-exacerbation and re-exacerbation and/or death, respectively, with predictor variables assessed at inclusion, day 6 and discharge and adjusted for several potential confounder variables. The risk of re-exacerbation during follow-up was positively associated with a duration of hospital stay >8 days, low FEV₁, pretreatment with systemic glucocorticoids and use of home oxygen therapy. However, only the association with longer hospitalisation was statistically significant across all three time-points. Of the 139 active smokers, 39 (28.1%) had a re-exacerbation and 42 (30.2%) reached the endpoint re-exacerbation and/or death. Current smoking at the time of admission showed a significant negative association with the two outcomes. There was no evidence of an effect of sex, age or the need for mechanical ventilation on the two outcomes.

Table 3: Multivariate analyses of predictor variables of time to re-exacerbation.									
Time of measurement									
	D0		D6		Discharge				
	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	p-value	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	p-value	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	p-value			
Male	1		1		1				
Female	0.94 (0.63–1.40)	0.75	0.94 (0.63–1.41)	0.78	0.97 (0.65– 1.46)	0.90			
Age, years									
<65	1		1		1				
65–75	0.87 (0.53–1.43)	0.58	0.85 (0.51–1.40)	0.51	0.80 (0.49– 1.33)	0.40			
>75	1.02 (0.63–1.66)	0.94	1.00 (0.61–1.62)	0.99	0.99 (0.61– 1.60)	0.97			
Group*									
Conventional treatment	1		1		1				
Short-term treat- ment	0.94 (0.64–1.38)	0.75	0.97 (0.66–1.42)	0.88	0.92 (0.63– 1.35)	0.67			

Swiss Medical Weekly · PDF of the online version · <u>www.smw.ch</u>

Table 3 (continued)						
Home oxygen therapy						
Yes	1.46 (0.86–2.47)	0.16	1.27 (0.74–2.18)	0.38	1.29 (0.75– 2.22)	0.35
No	1		1		1	
Active smoker						
Yes	0.62 (0.40–0.96)	0.03	0.58 (0.37–0.90)	0.02	0.60 (0.38– 0.92)	0.02
No	1		1		1	
Pretreatment with systemic glucocorti- coids						
Yes	1.44 (0.90–2.30)	0.13	1.52 (0.95–2.43)	0.08	1.40 (0.87– 2.24)	0.16
No	1		1		1	
Length of hospitalisa- tion						
>8 days	1.54 (1.03–2.28)	0.03	1.44 (0.97–2.15)	0.07	1.54 (1.03– 2.28)	0.03
≤8 days	1		1		1	
FEV ₁ (% predicted)						
≥50	1		1		1	
30–49	1.33 (0.71–2.50)	0.37	1.89 (1.16–3.08)	0.01	1.46 (0.94– 2.27)	0.10
<30	1.44 (0.79–2.62)	0.24	1.86 (1.12–3.08)	0.02	1.76 (1.06– 2.91)	0.03

CI = confidence interval; COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in the first second; D0 = day of inclusion; D6 = day 6

All variables listed in the table were simultaneously included in the model.

* Reproduced from ref. [29], with permission of the publisher.

Table 4: Multivariate analyses of predictor variables of time to re-exacerbation and/or death.

	Time of measurement							
	D0		D6		Discharge			
	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	p-value	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	p-value	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	p-value		
Male	1		1		1			
Female	0.84 (0.57–1.24)	0.38	0.84 (0.57–1.25)	0.39	0.86 (0.58–1.28)	0.46		
Age, years								
<65	1		1		1			
65–75	0.90 (0.55–1.47)	0.68	0.89 (0.54–1.45)	0.63	0.85 (0.52–1.38)	0.51		
>75	1.12 (0.70–1.79)	0.64	1.10 (0.69–1.76)	0.68	1.08 (0.68–1.72)	0.75		
Group*								
Conventional treat- ment	1		1		1			
Short-term treat- ment	0.87 (0.60–1.26)	0.47	0.90 (0.62–1.30)	0.56	0.86 (0.60–1.25)	0.43		

Swiss Medical Weekly \cdot PDF of the online version \cdot <u>www.smw.ch</u>

Table 4 (continued)	-					-
Home oxygen therapy						
Yes	1.53 (0.97–2.61)	0.07	1.41 (0.85–2.35)	0.18	1.49 (0.89–2.48)	0.13
No	1		1		1	
Active smoker						
Yes	0.67 (0.44–1.02)	0.06	0.64 (0.42–0.98)	0.04	0.65 (0.43–0.99)	0.046
No	1		1		1	
Pretreatment with sys- temic glucocorticoids						
Yes	1.48 (0.95–2.32)	0.09	1.54 (1.06–2.30)	0.03	1.46 (0.93–0.28)	0.10
No	1		1		1	
Length of hospitalisa- tion						
>8 days	1.65 (1.12–2.42)	0.01	1.56 (1.08–2.30)	0.03	1.65 (1.12–2.43)	1.01
≤8 days	1		1		1	
FEV ₁ (% predicted)						
≥50	1		1		1	
30–49	1.30 (0.70–2.39)	0.40	1.59 (1.00–2.55)	0.05	1.29 (0.84–1.97)	0.24
<30	1.43 (0.80–2.56)	0.22	1.73 (1.07–2.80)	0.03	1.49 (0.91–2.42)	0.11
CI = confidence interval; COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in the first second; D0 = day of inclusion; D6 = day 6						

All variables listed in the table were simultaneously included in the model.

* Reproduced from ref. [29], with permission of the publisher.

Stratified analyses

Analyses were stratified by age (<65 years, 65–75 years, >75 years), sex and FEV1% predicted at discharge (\geq 50%, 30–49%, <30%). However, there was no evidence that these factors modified the effects of other predictor variables (see appendix, tables S2A to S4B).

Survival analyses

We calculated the hazard ratios of re-exacerbation or re-exacerbation and/or death associated with various predictor variables at baseline. As depicted in figure 1, panels A–D, smoking status, MRC dyspnoea scale score and duration of hospital stay were all statistically significant predictors of re-exacerbation. This was also the case for re-exacerbation and/or death (data not shown). Associations of the two risks with the predictor variable hypertension were also positive but not statistically significant. The association of re-exacerbation with FEV₁ was analysed at baseline, day 6 and hospital discharge (fig. 2). It was negative across all three time-points and reached statistical significance at D6 (panel B) and discharge (panel C). Again, results were similar for the outcome re-exacerbation and/or death.



Figure 1: Survival curves according to various predictor variables at discharge.

The curves show the proportions of patients without re-exacerbation during follow-up over time: (A) active smoking (yes vs no, p(log rank test) = 0.003), (B) Medical Research Council dyspnoea scale (levels 1 to 5, p = 0.02), (C) hypertension (yes vs no, p = 0.30) and (D) duration of hospital stay (>8 vs \leq 8 days, p = 0.006).



Figure 2: Survival curves according to forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁) at baseline, day 6 and discharge.

Proportions of patients without re-exacerbation during follow-up for different categories of FEV₁ in % predicted (<30%, 30–50%, >50%): (A) at inclusion (p(log rank test) = 0.31), (B) at day 6 (p = 0.003) and (C) at discharge (p = 0.008).

Time-varying predictor variables

Results of the analyses for the outcome re-exacerbation are shown in table 5. Using Cox-proportional hazards models with different consecutive time periods to take into account changes in predictor variables during follow-up, we found that smoking during follow up showed a significant negative association with the risk of re-exacerbation in the next time period (HR 0.47, p = 0.04), whereas smoking at discharge showed a positive but not statistically significant association. The measurements of lung function, hypertension and the MRC dyspnoea score during follow-up also showed stronger associations with the subsequent risk of re-exacerbation than the corresponding values measured at discharge. A high MRC dyspnoea score at follow-up was strongly predictive of a re-exacerbation in the next time period.

Table 6 shows the results of the corresponding analyses for the outcome re-exacerbation and/or death. Hazard ratios were comparable to the ones for reexacerbation in table 5.

Table 5: Hazard ratios of re-exacerbation associated with the p-values of predictor variables at discharge and during follow-up.

	1	1		
	Measurement at discharge Hazard ratio (95% CI)	p-value	Measurement during follow- up* Hazard ratio	p-value
Predictor variable			(95% CI)	
FEV ₁ , % predicted	1.00 (0.97–1.02)	0.86	0.98 (0.95–1.00)	0.06
FVC, % predicted	1.00 (0.99–1.02)	0.83	0.99 (0.98–1.00)	0.20
Weight, kg	1.04 (0.92–1.17)	0.54	0.96 (0.86–1.08)	0.55
Hyperglycaemia	1.13 (0.71–1.79)	0.61	1.36 (0.76–2.42)	0.30
Hypertension	1.10 (0.56–2.19)	0.78	2.39 (1.04–5.48)	0.04
ICU	1.38 (0.61–3.11)	0.44	1.36 (0.75–2.48)	0.32
Pulse	1.07 (0.90–1.28)	0.43	1.03 (0.87–1.22)	0.73
Systolic blood pressure	1.06 (0.94–1.20)	0.32	0.98 (0.87–1.09)	0.68
Diastolic blood pressure	0.85 (0.68–1.07)	0.17	1.08 (0.89–1.31)	0.46
MRC dyspnoea scale	1.01 (0.82–1.26)	0.90	1.61 (1.30–2.01)	0.00
Health score – doctor	0.94 (0.81–1.08)	0.38	0.92 (0.83–1.02)	0.11
Health score – patient	0.90 (0.80–1.03)	0.13	0.95 (0.84–1.08)	0.42
Infection	0.95 (0.30–3.02)	0.93	0.93 (0.56–1.56)	0.80
Oxygen saturation without adminis- tered O ₂	1.08 (0.42–2.76)	0.88	0.68 (0.31–1.50)	0.34

CI = confidence interval; COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; FEV₁ = forced expiratory volume in the first second; FVC = forced vital capacity; ICU = intensive care unit admission; MRC = Medical Research Council

Observation time was split into periods defined by the date of discharge and the follow-up contacts, and predictor variables were updated at the start of each interval if they had been re-measured at this time point. For each of the variables, the most recent value and the value at discharge were simultaneously included as predictors of re-exacerbation in the next time period.

* Including the time-points day 6, discharge, and days 15, 30, 90 and 180

	Measurement at discharge Hazard ratio (95% CI)	p-value	Measurement during follow- up* Hazard ratio	p-value
Predictor variable			(95% CI)	
FEV ₁ , % predicted	1.00 (0.98–1.03)	0.80	0.98 (0.95–1.00)	0.03
FVC, % predicted	1.00 (0.99–1.02)	0.73	0.99 (0.98–1.00)	0.18
Weight	1.04 (0.93–1.16)	0.52	0.97 (0.86–1.08)	0.55
Hyperglycaemia	1.06 (0.67–1.67)	0.80	1.46 (0.84–2.55)	0.18
Hypertension	1.13 (0.58–2.19)	0.73	2.57 (1.15–5.73)	0.02
ICU	1.41 (0.63–3.14)	0.41	1.32 (0.72–2.40)	0.37
Pulse	1.06 (0.89–1.26)	0.51	1.02 (0.87–1.21)	0.77
Systolic blood pressure	1.07 (0.95–1.20)	0.28	0.96 (0.86–1.08)	0.50
Diastolic blood pressure	0.85 (0.68–1.06)	0.14	1.07 (0.88–1.29)	0.51
MRC dyspnoea scale	1.00 (0.81–1.23)	0.98	1.62 (1.31–2.00)	0.00
Health score – doctor	0.89 (0.78–1.02)	0.10	0.96 (0.86–1.06)	0.38
Health score – patient	0.91 (0.80–1.03)	0.13	0.97 (0.86–1.09)	0.60
Infection	0.99 (0.31–3.16)	0.99	0.91 (0.55–1.50)	0.71
Oxygen saturation without adminis- tered O ₂	1.07 (0.43–2.64)	0.89	0.69 (0.32–1.48)	0.34

Table 6: Hazard ratios of re-exacerbation and/or death associated with the p-values of predictor variables at discharge and during follow-up.

CI = confidence interval; COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; FEV_1 = forced expiratory volume in the first second; FVC = forced vital capacity; ICU = intensive care unit admission; MRC = Medical Research Council

Observation time was split into periods defined by the date of discharge and the follow-up contacts, and predictor variables were updated at the start of each interval if they had been re-measured at this time point. For each of the variables, the most recent value and the value at discharge were simultaneously included as predictors of re-exacerbation in the next time period.

* Including the time-points day 6, discharge, and days 15, 30, 90 and 180

Discussion

Prompted by our observation that low serum cortisol levels were inversely associated with COPD reexacerbation risk [29], we used prospectively gathered data from the "REDUCE" trial [28] to identify additional predictors of re-exacerbation. Our data show that lower FEV₁, a history of past (but not active) smoking, hospitalisation >8 days, hypertension and a higher score on the MRC dyspnoea scale all significantly predict COPD re-exacerbation. In contrast, a recent Norwegian study about predictors of exacerbations showed that female sex, higher age, a history of exacerbations the year before inclusion, higher GOLD grade, chronic cough and use of inhaled corticosteroids were significant predictors of an increased risk of exacerbations [33].

We consider discharge from the hospital as the most reliable time-point for our analyses since patients should have regained their former steady-state level of lung function and general health at this time. Our observation that low FEV_1 predicts an increased re-exacerbation risk is consistent with previous studies showing an association between exacerbation frequency and decreasing lung function in COPD [15–17, 19], and between low FEV_1 and readmission risk [34, 35]. FEV_1 impairment is acknowledged as one of the major prognostic factors in the COPD GOLD report [2].

Surprisingly, current smoking at hospital admission, on day 6 at discharge and at later time-points was associated with a significantly lower risk of re-exacerbation than past smoking status. Current smoking has also been found to be negatively associated with hospitalisation for COPD exacerbation in a previous case-control study [36]. Our finding may be explained by a selection bias referred to as the "healthy smoker effect" [37], observed before in patients with asthma. Asthma patients with pronounced respiratory symptoms (e.g., coughing) seem to be more likely to quit smoking earlier than those who are relatively resistant to its unpleasant effects [38]. There is also evidence that sympto-

matic COPD patients are more likely to stop smoking [39]. This selective process is likely to bias the relationship between smoking and COPD re-exacerbation, disguising the causal effect of smoking [38, 40].

Interestingly, we identified new or worsening hypertension during follow-up as a significant predictor of COPD re-exacerbation. The Swiss COPD cohort similarly found that, cardiovascular diseases were associated with future exacerbation (in addition to previous rehabilitation, hospitalisation and a history of exacerbation) [41]. Arterial hypertension and COPD are often present in the same individual [42–44], and it has been speculated that low-grade systemic inflammation may be a common pathogenic factor in both medical conditions [45].

In the survival analyses, hospital stay >8 days and a higher grade of breathlessness as assessed by the MRC dyspnoea scale were significantly associated with the re-exacerbation risk. These observations are consistent with previous studies of the MRC dyspnoea scale, COPD exacerbations and length of hospital stay [10, 46, 47].

Our study has several limitations. The REDUCE trial was not designed specifically to identify risk factors of COPD re-exacerbation. Therefore, the causal relation between the predictors and outcome remains unproven. Additional limiting factors include the relatively small sample size, and the follow-up period of merely 6 months, during which time only approximately 36% of the study participants experienced a re-exacerbation [28]. Also, the trial was performed in only one country, precluding generalisation of our findings to populations outside Switzerland.

In conclusion, our study identified very severe COPD stage, hypertension, dyspnoea severity, and COPD-associated hospitalisation >8 days to be associated with an increased risk of COPD re-exacerbation. The identification of affected patients might facilitate preventive steps, such as extended planning of patient care after hospital discharge [48]. Our findings hold implications for future studies on tools to evaluate the risk of COPD re-exacerbation.

Disclosure statement

No financial support and no other potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

Correspondence: Jonas Rutishauser MD Kantonsspital Baselland Department of Medicine University Clinic of Medicine Location Bruderholz, CH-4101 Bruderholz j.rutishauser[at]unibas.ch

References

- World Health Report [Internet]. Geneva: World Health Organization; [updated 2014 May; cited 2016 June 19]. Available from: http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs310/en/
- 2 Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) 2017 [Internet]. Global strategy for the diagnosis, management and prevention of COPD; [updated 2017; cited 2017 February 5]. Available from: http://goldcopd.org/
- 3 Rabe KF, Hurd S, Anzueto A, Barnes PJ, Buist SA, Calverley P, et al.; Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease. Global strategy for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: GOLD executive summary. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2007;176(6):532–55. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1164/rccm.200703-456SO
- 4 Wedzicha JA, Seemungal TA. COPD exacerbations: defining their cause and prevention. Lancet. 2007;370(9589):786–96. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61382-8
- 5 Sykes A, Mallia P, Johnston SL. Diagnosis of pathogens in exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Proc Am Thorac Soc. 2007;4(8):642–6. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1513/pats.200707-101TH
- 6 Abu Hussein N, Ter Riet G, Schoenenberger L, Bridevaux PO, Chhajed PN, Fitting JW, et al. The ADO index as a predictor of two-year mortality in general practice-based chronic obstructive pulmonary disease cohorts. Respiration. 2014;88(3):208–14. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000363770
- 7 Groenewegen KH, Schols AMWJ, Wouters EFM. Mortality and mortality-related factors after hospitalization for acute exacerbation of COPD. Chest. 2003;124(2):459–67. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1378/chest.124.2.459
- 8 Fletcher CM, Elmes PC, Fairbairn AS, Wood CH. The significance of respiratory symptoms and the diagnosis of chronic bronchitis in a working population. BMJ. 1959;2(5147):257–66. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.2.5147.257
- 9 Bestall JC, Paul EA, Garrod R, Garnham R, Jones PW, Wedzicha JA. Usefulness of the Medical Research Council (MRC) dyspnoea scale as a measure of disability in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Thorax. 1999;54(7):581–6. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/thx.54.7.581
- 10 Jenkins CR, Celli B, Anderson JA, Ferguson GT, Jones PW, Vestbo J, et al. Seasonality and determinants of moderate and severe COPD exacerbations in the TORCH study. Eur Respir J. 2012;39(1):38–45. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1183/09031936.00194610
- 11 Yoshikawa M, Fujita Y, Yamamoto Y, Yamauchi M, Tomoda K, Koyama N, et al. Mini Nutritional Assessment Short-Form predicts exacerbation frequency in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Respirology. 2014;19(8):1198–203. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/resp.12380
- 12 Hallin R, Koivisto-Hursti UK, Lindberg E, Janson C. Nutritional status, dietary energy intake and the risk of exacerbations in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). Respir Med. 2007;101(9):1954–60. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rmed.2007.04.009
- 13 Jochmann A, Neubauer F, Miedinger D, Schafroth S, Tamm M, Leuppi JD. General practitioner's adherence to the COPD GOLD guidelines: baseline data of the Swiss

Swiss Medical Weekly · PDF of the online version · <u>www.smw.ch</u>

COPD Cohort Study. Swiss Med Wkly. 2010;140:w13053. PubMed

14 Jochmann A, Scherr A, Jochmann DC, Miedinger D, Török SS, Chhajed PN, et al. Impact of adherence to the GOLD guidelines on symptom prevalence, lung function decline and exacerbation rate in the Swiss COPD cohort. Swiss Med Wkly. 2012;142:w13567. PubMed

15 Fletcher C, Peto R. The natural history of chronic airflow obstruction. BMJ. 1977;1(6077):1645–8. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.1.6077.1645

16 Hansel TT, Barnes PJ. New drugs for exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Lancet. 2009;374(9691):744–55. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(09)61342-8

17 Donaldson GC, Seemungal TA, Bhowmik A, Wedzicha JA. Relationship between exacerbation frequency and lung function decline in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Thorax. 2002;57(10):847–52. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/thorax.57.10.847

18 Tanabe N, Muro S, Hirai T, Oguma T, Terada K, Marumo S, et al. Impact of exacerbations on emphysema progression in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2011;183(12):1653–9. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201009-1535OC

- 19 Hurst JR, Vestbo J, Anzueto A, Locantore N, Müllerova H, Tal-Singer R, et al.; Evaluation of COPD Longitudinally to Identify Predictive Surrogate Endpoints (ECLIPSE) Investigators. Susceptibility to exacerbation in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. N Engl J Med. 2010;363(12):1128–38. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa0909883
- 20 Silverman EK. Exacerbations in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: do they contribute to disease progression? Proc Am Thorac Soc. 2007;4(8):586–90. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1513/pats.200706-068TH
- 21 Hurst JR, Donaldson GC, Wilkinson TM, Perera WR, Wedzicha JA. Epidemiological relationships between the common cold and exacerbation frequency in COPD. Eur Respir J. 2005;26(5):846–52. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1183/09031936.05.00043405
- 22 Spruit MA, Gosselink R, Troosters T, Kasran A, Gayan-Ramirez G, Bogaerts P, et al. Muscle force during an acute exacerbation in hospitalised patients with COPD and its relationship with CXCL8 and IGF-I. Thorax. 2003;58(9):752–6. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/thorax.58.9.752
- 23 Donaldson GC, Wilkinson TM, Hurst JR, Perera WR, Wedzicha JA. Exacerbations and time spent outdoors in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2005;171(5):446–52. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1164/rccm.200408-1054OC
- 24 Pitta F, Troosters T, Probst VS, Spruit MA, Decramer M, Gosselink R. Physical activity and hospitalization for exacerbation of COPD. Chest. 2006;129(3):536–44. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1378/chest.129.3.536

25 Seymour JM, Moore L, Jolley CJ, Ward K, Creasey J, Steier JS, et al. Outpatient pulmonary rehabilitation following acute exacerbations of COPD. Thorax. 2010;65(5):423–8. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/thx.2009.124164

- 26 Yohannes AM, Baldwin RC, Connolly MJ. Depression and anxiety in elderly patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Age Ageing. 2006;35(5):457–9. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afl011
- 27 Baker EH, Janaway CH, Philips BJ, Brennan AL, Baines DL, Wood DM, et al. Hyperglycaemia is associated with

poor outcomes in patients admitted to hospital with acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Thorax. 2006;61(4):284–9. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/thx.2005.051029

- 28 Leuppi JD, Schuetz P, Bingisser R, Bodmer M, Briel M, Drescher T, et al. Short-term vs conventional glucocorticoid therapy in acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: the REDUCE randomized clinical trial. JAMA. 2013;309(21):2223–31. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.2013.5023
- 29 Schuetz P, Leuppi JD, Bingisser R, Bodmer M, Briel M, Drescher T, et al. Prospective analysis of adrenal function in patients with acute exacerbations of COPD: the Reduction in the Use of Corticosteroids in Exacerbated COPD (REDUCE) trial. Eur J Endocrinol. 2015;173(1):19–27. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1530/EJE-15-0182
- 30 Schuetz P, Leuppi JD, Tamm M, Briel M, Bingisser R, Dürring U, et al. Short versus conventional term glucocorticoid therapy in acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease - the "REDUCE" trial. Swiss Med Wkly. 2010;140:w13109. PubMed
- 31 Evans AT, Husain S, Durairaj L, Sadowski LS, Charles-Damte M, Wang Y. Azithromycin for acute bronchitis: a randomised, double-blind, controlled trial. Lancet. 2002;359(9318):1648–54. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(02)08597-5
- 32 Kleinbaum DG, Klein M. Survival Analysis. A Self-Learning Text, Third Edition. Springer Series on Statistics for Biology and Health, New York, Springer, 2011.
- 33 Husebø GR, Bakke PS, Aanerud M, Hardie JA, Ueland T, Grønseth R, et al. Predictors of exacerbations in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease--results from the Bergen COPD cohort study. PLoS One. 2014;9(10):e109721. Pub-Med http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0109721
- 34 Gudmundsson G, Gislason T, Janson C, Lindberg E, Hallin R, Ulrik CS, et al. Risk factors for rehospitalisation in COPD: role of health status, anxiety and depression. Eur Respir J. 2005;26(3):414–9. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1183/09031936.05.00078504
- 35 Müllerova H, Maselli DJ, Locantore N, Vestbo J, Hurst JR, Wedzicha JA, et al.; ECLIPSE Investigators. Hospitalized exacerbations of COPD: risk factors and outcomes in the ECLIPSE cohort. Chest. 2015;147(4):999–1007. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1378/chest.14-0655
- 36 Garcia-Aymerich J, Monsó E, Marrades RM, Escarrabill J, Félez MA, Sunyer J, et al.; EFRAM Investigators. Risk factors for hospitalization for a chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbation. EFRAM study. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2001;164(6):1002–7. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1164/ajrccm.164.6.2006012
- 37 Becklake MR, Lalloo U. The 'healthy smoker': a phenomenon of health selection? Respiration. 1990;57(3):137–44. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000195837
- 38 Eisner MD. Smoking and adult asthma: a healthy smoker effect? Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2002;165(11):1566–7, author reply 1566–7. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1164/ajrccm.165.11.165112
- 39 Melzer AC, Feemster LC, Crothers K, Carson SS, Gillespie SE, Henderson AG, et al.; COPD Outcomes-based Network for Clinical Effectiveness and Research Translation Consortium. Respiratory and Bronchitic Symptoms Predict Intention to Quit Smoking among Current Smokers with, and at Risk for, Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease.

Swiss Medical Weekly · PDF of the online version · <u>www.smw.ch</u>

Ann Am Thorac Soc. 2016;13(9):1490–6. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1513/AnnalsATS.201601-075OC

- 40 Troisi RJ, Speizer FE, Rosner B, Trichopoulos D, Willett WC. Cigarette smoking and incidence of chronic bronchitis and asthma in women. Chest. 1995;108(6):1557–61. Pub-Med http://dx.doi.org/10.1378/chest.108.6.1557
- 41 Abu Hussein N, Bridevaux PO, Chhajed PN, Fitting JW, Geiser T, Joos Zellweger L, et al. Factors associated with exacerbation in a general practitioners'-based Swiss chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) cohort. Eur Respir J. 2014;42(Suppl 57):5102.
- 42 Chandy D, Aronow WS, Banach M. Current perspectives on treatment of hypertensive patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Integr Blood Press Control. 2013;6:101–9. PubMed
- 43 Lindberg A, Larsson LG, Rönmark E, Lundbäck B. Comorbidity in mild-to-moderate COPD: comparison to normal and restrictive lung function. COPD. 2011;8(6):421–8. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/15412555.2011.629858
- 44 Mannino DM, Thorn D, Swensen A, Holguin F. Prevalence and outcomes of diabetes, hypertension and cardiovascular

disease in COPD. Eur Respir J. 2008;32(4):962–9. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1183/09031936.00012408

- 45 Barnes PJ, Celli BR. Systemic manifestations and comorbidities of COPD. Eur Respir J. 2009;33(5):1165–85. Pub-Med http://dx.doi.org/10.1183/09031936.00128008
- 46 Bahadori K, FitzGerald JM, Levy RD, Fera T, Swiston J. Risk factors and outcomes associated with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbations requiring hospitalization. Can Respir J. 2009;16(4):e43–9. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2009/179263
- 47 Tsimogianni AM, Papiris SA, Stathopoulos GT, Manali ED, Roussos C, Kotanidou A. Predictors of outcome after exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. J Gen Intern Med. 2009;24(9):1043–8. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11606-009-1061-2
- 48 Ospina MB, Mrklas K, Deuchar L, Rowe BH, Leigh R, Bhutani M, et al. A systematic review of the effectiveness of discharge care bundles for patients with COPD. Thorax. 2017;72(1):31–9. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/thoraxjnl-2016-208820

Appendix: Supplementary tables

Note:

Medical Research Council (MRC) dyspnoea scale (adapted from: Fletcher, C.M. The clinical diagnosis of pulmonary emphysema; an experimental study. Proceedings of the Royal Society of Medicine 1952;45:577–584):

1: no breathlessness except for strong exercises;

2: short of breath when walking up a hill or stairs;

3: unable to keep up with contemporaries because of breathlessness, able to walk a mile or more at his own speed;

4: stops for breath after walking about 100 yards;

5: too breathless on talking or breathless on dressing or undressing.

Abbreviations used in supplementary tables:

CI = confidence interval

- D0 = day of inclusion
- D6 = day 6
- D30 = day 30
- D90 = day 90
- D180 = day 180

 FEV_1 = forced expiratory volume in first second

- $FEV_1 \%$ pred. = $FEV_1 \%$ predicted
- FVC = forced vital capacity
- HR = hazard ratio
- OR = odds ratio
- SaO₂ = oxygen saturation

Table S1: Characteristics of quantitative variables.								
Variable	Time point	Mean	Std. Dev	Min	Max	P25	P50	P75
Age (years) n = 311		69.8	10.9	42.8	97.7	61.6	70.9	78.1
Pack-years smoked n = 293		50	23.7	10	150	30	50	60
Duration of hospitalisation (d) n = 311		10.2	6.7	1	38	6	9	13
Temperature (°C) n = 296		37.5	0.9	36	39.9	36.8	37.3	38.1
Time to exacerbation (d) n = 309		129.1	69.7	2	193	57	179	179
Time to death (d) n = 309		172.2	45.5	2	475	179	179	179
Cumulative dose steroids (mg) n = 309		594.2	516.3	200	3324	200	560	600
FEV ₁ (% predicted) n = 305	DO	31.5	14.3	7.1	81	21	28	41
FEV ₁ (L) n = 301	DO	0.8	0.4	0.25	2.18	0.5	0.68	0.97
Tiffeneau (FEV ₁ /FVC) n = 300	DO	0.46	0.12	0.15	0.82	0.36	0.45	0.55

Table S1 (continued)								
Heart rate (bpm) n = 304	DO	93.4	18.9	47	159	80	91	105
Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg) n = 307	DO	141.4	25.6	87	220	124	138	158
Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg) n = 307	DO	80.5	15.1	44	130	70	80	90
SaO ₂ with administered O ₂ (%) n = 247	DO	94.1	3.5	84	100	92	95	97
SaO ₂ without administered O ₂ (%) n = 210	DO	88.4	7.5	54	100	86	90	94
FEV ₁ (% predicted) n = 289	D6	42.1	18.4	12	102	28	39	55
FEV ₁ (L) n = 289	D6	1.03	0.5	0.32	2.83	0.67	0.93	1.3
Tiffeneau (FEV ₁ /FVC) n = 288	D6	0.48	0.14	0.16	0.94	0.38	0.46	0.58
Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg) n = 294	D6	133.5	20.5	80	205	120	131.5	149
Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg) n = 294	D6	75	11.4	40	108	70	75	80
SaO ₂ with administered O ₂ (%) n = 89	D6	92.1	10.2	0	99	91	93	95
SaO ₂ without administered O ₂ (%) n = 193	D6	92.1	4.8	60	99	90	93	95
Weight (kg) n = 293	D6	72.6	18.3	35	134	60	72	83
FEV ₁ (% predicted) n = 237	Discharge	43.5	17.6	12	101	29	43	55
FEV ₁ (L) n = 237	Discharge	1.05	0.5	0.32	2.83	0.71	1	1.3
Tiffeneau (FEV ₁ /FVC) n = 237	Discharge	0.49	0.14	0.16	0.95	0.39	0.47	0.58

Table S1 (continued)								
Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg) n = 251	Discharge	128.8	19.7	90	185	115	130	140
Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg) n = 251	Discharge	72.8	10	40	100	68	73	80
SaO ₂ with administered O ₂ (%) n = 42	Discharge	93.3	3.2	84	98	92	93.5	96
SaO ₂ without administered O ₂ (%) n = 161	Discharge	92.1	7.6	8	99	90	93	96
Weight (kg) n = 248	Discharge	72	19	36	132	58	72	84
FEV ₁ (% predicted) n = 245	D30	47.4	20	10	110	32	44	62
FEV ₁ (L) n = 245	D30	1.19	0.6	0.25	3.32	0.79	1.03	1.57
Tiffeneau (FEV ₁ /FVC) n = 245	D30	0.50	0.14	0.19	0.91	0.40	0.50	0.61
Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg) n = 246	D30	130.3	20.5	73	201	119	130	142
Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg) n = 245	D30	75.7	12.5	25	110	69	75	84
SaO ₂ with administered O ₂ (%) n = 25	D30	92	4	81	98	89	93	94
SaO ₂ without administered O ₂ (%) n = 161	D30	92.7	8.4	0	100	92	94	96
FEV ₁ (% predicted) n = 205	D180	46.8	19.5	8	105	31	42	60
FEV ₁ (L) n = 205	D180	1.18	0.6	0.31	3.26	0.79	1.02	1.48
Tiffeneau (FEV ₁ /FVC) n = 203	D180	0.49	0.14	0.16	0.94	0.39	0.49	0.59
Heart rate (bpm) n = 203	D180	78.6	13.8	51	122	69	77	86

Table S1 (continued)								
Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg) n = 210	D180	133.1	20.6	84	199	120	131	142
Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg) n = 210	D180	77	11.6	43	106	70	77.5	85
SaO ₂ with administered O ₂ (%) n = 14	D180	86.8	25.1	0	98	91	93.5	95
SaO ₂ without administered O ₂ (%) n = 132	D180	93.7	3.1	84	100	92	94	96
Weight (kg) n = 218	D180	73.2	18.9	35	134	60	72	85

Table S2A: Multivariate analysis of time to re-exacerbation or re-exacerbation and/or death stratified by age at discharge.					
	<65 years	65–75 years	>75 years	Heterogeneity	
	HR (95%CI)	HR (95%Cl)	HR (95%Cl)	p-value [*]	
Re-exacerbation					
Women	0.56 (0.24–1.31)	1.10 (0.50–2.38)	1.37 (0.74–2.53)	0.24	
p-value	<i>0.18</i>	<i>0.82</i>	<i>0.32</i>		
Short-term group	0.90 (0.42–1.93)	0.76 (0.37–1.58)	0.91 (0.51–1.63)	0.92	
<i>p-value</i>	<i>0.78</i>	<i>0.46</i>	<i>0.76</i>		
Home oxygen therapy	1.27 (0.34–4.77)	2.43 (0.83–7.14)	0.86 (0.39–1.88)	0.31	
<i>p-value</i>	0.72	<i>0.11</i>	0.70		
Active smokers	0.63 (0.29–1.38)	1.03 (0.49–2.15)	0.26 (0.10–0.64)	0.07	
<i>p-value</i>	<i>0.25</i>	<i>0.94</i>	<i>0.00</i>		
Pretreatment with sys- temic glucocorticoids <i>p-value</i>	2.15 (0.76–6.05) <i>0.15</i>	0.58 (0.21–1.61) 0.30	2.05 (1.01–4.13) 0.046	0.10	
Hospitalisation >8 days	1.18 (0.58–2.40)	1.36 (0.67–2.77)	2.06 (1.06–4.03)	0.50	
<i>p-value</i>	<i>0.65</i>	<i>0.39</i>	<i>0.03</i>		
FEV ₁ (% predicted) dis- charge <i>p-value</i>	1.19 (0.77–1.84) <i>0.42</i>	1.49 (0.90–2.47) <i>0.12</i>	1.43 (0.94–2.20) 0.10	0.76	

Table S2A (continued)				
Re-exacerbation and/or de	eath			
Women p-value	0.49 (0.21–1.16) 0.10	0.95 (0.44–2.04) <i>0.90</i>	1.16 (0.64–2.09) <i>0.63</i>	0.27
Short-term group <i>p-value</i>	0.77 (0.36) <i>0.49</i>	0.69 (0.34–1.41) <i>0.31</i>	0.92 (0.53–1.59) <i>0.77</i>	0.81
Home oxygen therapy <i>p-value</i>	1.64 (0.46–5.87) <i>0.45</i>	2.38 (0.82–6.94) <i>0.11</i>	1.12 (0.54–2.31) 0.77	0.51
Active smokers <i>p-value</i>	0.75 (0.34–1.64) <i>0.47</i>	0.98 (0.47–2.02) <i>0.95</i>	0.35 (0.16–0.78) 0.01	0.16
Pretreatment with sys- temic glucocorticoids <i>p-value</i>	2.31 (0.82–6.45) 0.11	0.58 (0.21–1.59) <i>0.29</i>	2.11 (1.10–4.06) 0.03	0.08
Hospitalisation >8 days <i>p-value</i>	1.07 (0.53–2.16) <i>0.85</i>	1.51 (0.75–3.04) <i>0.24</i>	2.35 (1.22–4.54) 0.01	0.27
FEV ₁ (% predicted) dis- charge <i>p-value</i>	1.11 (0.72–1.71) 0.64	1.42 (0.87–2.31) 0.16	1.24 (0.82–1.87) 0.30	0.76
All variables listed in the table were simultaneously included in the model. * Chi ² -Test				

	<65 years	65–75 years	>75 years	Heterogeneity
	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	p-value [*]
Re-exacerbation				
Women	0.55 (0.21–1.43)	1.30 (0.48–3.49)	1.65 (0.67–4.03)	0.23
p-value	<i>0.22</i>	<i>0.61</i>	<i>0.28</i>	
Short-term group	1.11 (0.44–2.83)	0.86 (0.34–2.15)	0.84 (0.37–1.95)	0.90
<i>p-value</i>	<i>0.82</i>	<i>0.74</i>	<i>0.69</i>	
Home oxygen therapy	1.01 (0.17–6.12)	3.24 (0.70–14.9)	0.92 (0.29–2.90)	0.41
<i>p-value</i>	1.00	<i>0.13</i>	<i>0.89</i>	
Active smokers	0.50 (0.18–1.37)	0.98 (0.38–2.53)	0.19 (0.06–0.61)	0.10
<i>p-value</i>	<i>0.18</i>	<i>0.96</i>	<i>0.01</i>	
Pretreatment with sys- temic glucocorticoids <i>p-value</i>	2.41 (0.68–8.54) <i>0.17</i>	0.45 (0.13–1.59) <i>0.21</i>	1.74 (0.58–5.17) <i>0.32</i>	0.14
Hospitalisation >8 days	1.27 (0.50–3.25)	1.40 (0.56–3.51)	2.85 (1.17–6.94)	0.40
<i>p-value</i>	0.61	0.47	0.02	
FEV1 (% predicted) dis- charge <i>p-value</i>	1.26 (0.70–2.24) 0.44	1.86 (1.00–3.47) 0.05	1.93 (1.07–3.48) 0.03	0.53

. ,					
Re-exacerbation and/or death					
Women p-value	0.46 (0.17–1.23) 0.12	1.04 (0.39–2.77) <i>0.94</i>	1.12 (0.46–2.72) 0.80	0.38	
Short-term group <i>p-value</i>	0.94 (0.37–2.39) <i>0.89</i>	0.72 (0.29–1.79) <i>0.48</i>	0.74 (0.32–1.73) 0.49	0.91	
Home oxygen therapy <i>p-value</i>	1.84 (0.29–11.6) <i>0.51</i>	2.94 (0.64–13.5) <i>0.17</i>	1.81 (0.54–6.03) <i>0.34</i>	0.88	
Active smokers <i>p-value</i>	0.62 (0.23–1.71) <i>0.36</i>	0.86 (0.33–2.23) <i>0.76</i>	0.28 (0.10–0.80) <i>0.02</i>	0.28	
Pretreatment with sys- temic glucocorticoids <i>p-value</i>	2.61 (0.74–9.18) <i>0.14</i>	0.44 (0.12–1.54) <i>0.20</i>	2.14 (0.69–6.67) <i>0.19</i>	0.09	
Hospitalisation >8 days <i>p-value</i>	1.09 (0.43–2.81) <i>0.85</i>	1.72 (0.69–4.29) <i>0.24</i>	3.90 (1.60–9.50) <i>0.00</i>	0.15	
FEV1 (% predicted) dis- charge <i>p-value</i>	1.15 (0.64–2.07) <i>0.65</i>	1.74 (0.94–3.22) 0.08	1.63 (0.90–2.96) 0.11	0.58	
All variables listed in the table were simultaneously included in the model. * Chi ² -Test					

Table S3A: Multivariate analysis of time to re-exacerbation or re-exacerbation and/or death stratified by FEV ₁ at discharge.					
	FEV1 (% pred.) ≥50% HR (95%CI)	FEV ₁ (% pred.) 30– 49% HR (95%CI)	FEV1 (% pred.) <30% HR (95%CI)	Heterogeneity p-value [*]	
Re-exacerbation					
Women p-value	1.39 (0.72–2.68) <i>0.33</i>	0.65 (0.30–1.39) <i>0.27</i>	1.10 (0.51–2.37) <i>0.80</i>	0.33	
Age <i>p-value</i>	0.96 (0.66–1.41) <i>0.85</i>	1.19 (0.70–2.03) <i>0.52</i>	0.94 (0.58–1.52) <i>0.79</i>	0.77	
Short-term group <i>p-value</i>	1.17 (0.60–2.27) <i>0.64</i>	0.92 (0.47–1.79) <i>0.80</i>	0.60 (0.27–1.33) <i>0.21</i>	0.45	
Home oxygen therapy <i>p-value</i>	2.50 (0.81–7.72) <i>0.11</i>	1.19 (0.48–2.95) <i>0.71</i>	0.97 (0.41–2.32) <i>0.95</i>	0.41	
Active smokers <i>p-value</i>	0.54 (0.26–1.12) 0.10	0.70 (0.29–1.68) <i>0.42</i>	0.61 (0.27–1.38) 0.24	0.91	
Pretreatment with sys- temic glucocorticoids <i>p-value</i>	1.00 (0.41–2.47) 1.00	1.42 (0.58–3.48) 0.45	2.03 (0.90–4.57) 0.09	0.52	

Table S3A (continued)							
Hospitalisation >8 days <i>p-value</i>	1.32 (0.67–2.59) 0.43	1.57 (0.76–3.27) <i>0.22</i>	1.56 (0.75–3.24) <i>0.23</i>	0.92			
Re-exacerbation and/or de	Re-exacerbation and/or death						
Women p-value	1.08 (0.58–2.02) <i>0.82</i>	0.62 (0.29–1.33) <i>0.22</i>	1.06 (0.50–2.27) <i>0.88</i>	0.50			
Age p-value	1.06 (0.74–1.51) <i>0.76</i>	1.18 (0.70–1.99) <i>0.55</i>	0.97 (0.60–1.57) <i>0.91</i>	0.87			
Short-term group <i>p-value</i>	1.06 (0.57–1.97) <i>0.86</i>	0.85 (0.44–1.65) <i>0.64</i>	0.56 (0.26–1.22) 0.14	0.46			
Home oxygen therapy <i>p-value</i>	2.84 (1.05–7.65) <i>0.04</i>	1.29 (0.54–3.08) <i>0.57</i>	1.08 (0.46–2.52) <i>0.86</i>	0.32			
Active smokers <i>p-value</i>	0.70 (0.36–1.37) 0.30	0.66 (0.28–1.57) <i>0.35</i>	0.61 (0.27–1.35) <i>0.22</i>	0.96			
Pretreatment with sys- temic glucocorticoids <i>p-value</i>	1.33 (0.61–2.91) <i>0.47</i>	1.28 (0.53–3.10) <i>0.59</i>	1.93 (0.87–4.29) 0.11	0.74			
Hospitalisation >8 days <i>p-value</i>	1.51 (0.79–2.88) 0.21	1.69 (0.82–3.47) 0.15	1.64 (0.79–3.37) <i>0.18</i>	0.97			
All variables listed in the table were simultaneously included in the model. * Chi ² -Test							

Table S3B: Multivariate analysis of re-exacerbation or re-exacerbation and/or death stratified by FEV1 at discharge.					
	FEV1 (% pred.)≥50% OR (95% CI)	FEV ₁ (% pred.) 30–49% OR (95% CI)	FEV ₁ (% pred.) <30% OR (95% CI)	Heterogeneity p-value [*]	
Re-exacerbation					
Women p-value	1.45 (0.67–3.15) <i>0.35</i>	0.52 (0.20–1.36) <i>0.19</i>	1.44 (0.44–4.67) <i>0.54</i>	0.23	
Age p-value	0.81 (0.51–1.29) <i>0.38</i>	1.15 (0.58–2.28) <i>0.68</i>	1.01 (0.51–2.02) <i>0.97</i>	0.68	
Short-term group <i>p-value</i>	1.11 (0.51–2.41) <i>0.80</i>	1.02 (0.41–2.56) <i>0.96</i>	0.74 (0.24–2.26) <i>0.60</i>	0.84	
Home oxygen therapy <i>p-value</i>	1.90 (0.44–8.24) <i>0.39</i>	2.04 (0.51–8.19) <i>0.32</i>	0.77 (0.19–3.15) <i>0.72</i>	0.57	
Active smokers <i>p-value</i>	0.43 (0.19–1.00) <i>0.05</i>	0.60 (0.19–1.87) <i>0.38</i>	0.53 (0.16–1.78) <i>0.30</i>	0.90	
Pretreatment with sys- temic glucocorticoids <i>p-value</i>	1.00 (0.35–2.89) 1.00	1.24 (0.33–4.59) <i>0.75</i>	2.44 (0.70–8.52) 0.16	0.55	

Table S3B (continued)	Table S3B (continued)					
Hospitalisation >8 days	1.52 (0.69–3.38)	1.77 (0.69–4.55)	2.06 (0.70–6.07)	0.91		
<i>p-value</i>	0.30	0.24	0.19			
Re-exacerbation and/or death						
Women	1.06 (0.50–2.27)	0.45 (0.17–1.19)	1.31 (0.40–4.29)	0.29		
p-value	<i>0.88</i>	0.11	<i>0.66</i>			
Age	0.91 (0.58–1.43)	1.15 (0.58–2.29)	1.12 (0.56–2.24)	0.81		
p-value	<i>0.68</i>	<i>0.69</i>	0.76			
Short-term group	0.97 (0.46–2.07)	0.88 (0.35–2.24)	0.63 (0.21–1.95)	0.82		
<i>p-value</i>	<i>0.94</i>	<i>0.79</i>	0.42			
Home oxygen therapy <i>p-value</i>	3.39 (0.74–15.4) <i>0.12</i>	3.05 (0.68–13.7) 0.15	1.03 (0.25–4.23) 0.97	0.45		
Active smokers <i>p-value</i>	0.56 (0.25–1.24) 0.15	0.53 (0.17–1.68) <i>0.28</i>	0.52 (0.15–1.76) <i>0.29</i>	0.99		
Pretreatment with sys- temic glucocorticoids <i>p-value</i>	1.48 (0.55–4.03) <i>0.44</i>	0.98 (0.26–3.78) <i>0.98</i>	2.04 (0.58–7.15) <i>0.27</i>	0.74		
Hospitalisation >8 days	1.88 (0.87–4.08)	2.18 (0.83–5.73)	2.24 (0.76–6.66)	0.96		
<i>p-value</i>	0.11	0.11	0.15			
All variables listed in the tak	All variables listed in the table were simultaneously included in the model.					
* Chi ² -Test	* Chi ² -Test					

Table S4A: Multivariate analysis of time to re-exacerbation or re-exacerbation and/or death stratified by gender.					
	Women	Men	Heterogeneity		
	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	p-value [*]		
Re-exacerbation					
Age	1.16 (0.78–1.72)	0.94 (0.68–1.30)	0.42		
p-value	<i>0.47</i>	0.71			
Short-term group	1.08 (0.56–2.09)	0.84 (0.52–1.36)	0.55		
<i>p-value</i>	<i>0.82</i>	<i>0.48</i>			
Home oxygen therapy	1.44 (0.60–3.42)	1.33 (0.66–2.69)	0.90		
<i>p-value</i>	<i>0.41</i>	<i>0.42</i>			
Active smokers	0.40 (0.21–0.79)	0.82 (0.47–1.43)	0.11		
<i>p-value</i>	<i>0.01</i>	<i>0.48</i>			
Pretreatment with systemic glucocor- ticoids <i>p-value</i>	1.34 (0.66–2.69) <i>0.42</i>	1.49 (0.78–2.82) 0.23	0.83		
Hospitalisation >8 days	1.85 (0.92–3.70)	1.39 (0.85–2.27)	0.52		
p-value	0.08	0.19			

Table S4A (continued)							
FEV ₁ (% predicted) discharge	1.24 (0.81–1.90)	1.31 (0.96–1.78)	0.85				
<i>p-value</i>	<i>0.32</i>	<i>0.09</i>					
Re-exacerbation and/or death	Re-exacerbation and/or death						
Age	1.20 (0.81–1.78)	0.98 (0.72–1.34)	0.42				
p-value	0.35	<i>0.92</i>					
Short-term group	1.03 (0.53–1.98)	0.78 (0.49–1.23)	0.50				
<i>p-value</i>	<i>0.93</i>	<i>0.29</i>					
Home oxygen therapy <i>p-value</i>	1.46 (0.61–3.46) <i>0.40</i>	1.63 (0.86–3.11) <i>0.13</i>	0.83				
Active smokers	0.44 (0.23–0.86)	0.87 (0.52–1.49)	0.12				
<i>p-value</i>	<i>0.02</i>	<i>0.62</i>					
Pretreatment with systemic glucocor- ticoids <i>p-value</i>	1.32 (0.66–2.64) 0.44	1.61 (0.89–2.91) <i>0.12</i>	0.67				
Hospitalisation >8 days	1.89 (0.95–3.77)	1.55 (0.97–2.48)	0.64				
<i>p-value</i>	<i>0.07</i>	<i>0.07</i>					
FEV ₁ (% predicted) discharge	1.21 (0.80–1.85)	1.16 (0.86–1.56)	0.86				
<i>p-value</i>	0.37	0.33					
All variables listed in the table were simultan * Chi ² -Test	eously included in the model.						

Table S4B: Multivariate analysis of re-exacerbation or re-exacerbation and/or death stratified by gender.				
	Women	Men	Heterogeneity	
	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	p-value [*]	
Re-exacerbation				
Age	1.12 (0.67–1.86)	0.88 (0.58–1.33)	0.47	
p-value	<i>0.68</i>	0.54		
Short-term group	1.25 (0.53–2.99)	0.88 (0.47–1.64)	0.52	
<i>p-value</i>	<i>0.61</i>	<i>0.69</i>		
Home oxygen therapy <i>p-value</i>	1.17 (0.30–4.54) <i>0.82</i>	1.57 (0.61–4.06) <i>0.35</i>	0.73	
Active smokers	0.27 (0.10–0.69)	0.73 (0.36–1.47)	0.10	
<i>p-value</i>	<i>0.01</i>	<i>0.38</i>		
Pretreatment with systemic glucocor- ticoids <i>p-value</i>	1.57 (0.56–4.40) 0.39	1.17 (0.50–2.71) 0.72	0.66	
Hospitalisation >8 days	2.48 (1.02–6.05)	1.43 (0.76–2.67)	0.32	
<i>p-value</i>	0.045	<i>0.27</i>		

Table S4B (continued)				
FEV1 (% predicted) discharge <i>p-value</i>	1.63 (0.92–2.89) 0.10	1.55 (1.04–2.31) <i>0.03</i>	0.89	
Re-exacerbation and/or death				
Age p-value	1.19 (0.72–1.99) <i>0.49</i>	0.94 (0.62–1.43) 0.77	0.47	
Short-term group <i>p-value</i>	1.12 (0.48–2.66) <i>0.79</i>	0.74 (0.40–1.38) <i>0.34</i>	0.44	
Home oxygen therapy <i>p-value</i>	1.24 (0.32–4.76) 0.76	2.74 (1.02–7.38) 0.046	0.35	
Active smokers <i>p-value</i>	0.32 (0.13–0.80) <i>0.02</i>	0.75 (0.37–1.52) <i>0.43</i>	0.15	
Pretreatment with systemic glucocor- ticoids <i>p-value</i>	1.48 (0.54–4.10) <i>0.45</i>	1.34 (0.58–3.13) <i>0.50</i>	0.88	
Hospitalisation >8 days <i>p-value</i>	2.59 (1.07–6.24) <i>0.03</i>	1.76 (0.95–3.28) 0.07	0.49	
FEV ₁ (% predicted) discharge <i>p-value</i>	1.55 (0.88–2.73) <i>0.13</i>	1.32 (0.89–1.97) 0.17	0.65	
All variables listed in the table were simultaneously included in the model. * Chi ² -Test				