Treatment of congenital aortic valve stenosis: impact of the Ross operation
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Summary

Goal: To evaluate the impact of the Ross operation, recently (1997) introduced in our unit, for the treatment of patients with congenital aortic valve stenosis.

Methods: The period from January 1997 to December 2000 was compared with the previous 5 years (1992–96). Thirty-seven children (<16 yrs) and 49 young adults (16–50 yrs) with congenital aortic valve stenosis underwent one of these treatments: percutaneous balloon dilatation (PBD), aortic valve commissurotomy, aortic valve replacement and the Ross operation. The Ross operation was performed in 16 patients, mean age 24.5 yrs (range 9–46 yrs) with a bicuspid stenotic aortic valve, 7/10 adults with calcifications, 2/10 adults with previous aortic valve commissurotomy, 4/6 children with aortic regurgitation following PBD, and 1/6 children who had had a previous aortic valve replacement with a prosthetic valve and aortic root enlargement.

Results: PBD was followed by death in two neonates (fibroelastosis); all other children survived PBD. Although there were no deaths, PBD in adults was recently abandoned, owing to unfavourable results. Aortic valve commissurotomy showed good results in children (no deaths). Aortic valve replacement, although associated with good results (no deaths), has been recently abandoned in children in favour of the Ross operation. Over a mean follow-up of 16 months (2–40 months) all patients are asymptomatic following Ross operation, with no echocardiographic evidence of aortic valve regurgitation in 10/16 patients and with trivial regurgitation in 6/16 patients.

Conclusions: The approach now for children and young adults with congenital aortic valve stenosis should be as follows: (1) PBD is the first choice in neonates and infants; (2) Aortic valve commissurotomy is the first choice for children, neonates and infants after failed PBD; (3) The Ross operation is increasingly used in children after failed PBD and in young adults, even with a calcified aortic valve.
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Introduction

The Ross operation (auto-transplantation of the pulmonary valve into the aortic position) [1, 2] has recently (1997) been introduced in our unit as one of the options for the treatment of children and young adults with aortic valve stenosis. We therefore decided to perform a retrospective study in order to assess the impact of this evolution in surgical management.

Materials and methods

All medical records of patients treated for congenital aortic valve stenosis were reviewed, with the only exclusion criteria being an age of over 50 years. The patients were divided into groups of children (<16 years) and young adults (16–50 years). They all underwent one (or more) of four types of treatment: percutaneous balloon dilatation (PBD), aortic valve commissurotomy, aortic valve replacement and the Ross operation. All patients were grouped according to the last treatment received. Since the Ross operation was introduced in our unit in 1997, we arbitrarily decided to analyse the period from January 1997 to December 2000 and compare it with the preceding five year period (1992–1996).

Table 1 summarises the treatment received by 20 children and 30 young adults during the earlier period (1992–1996) and by 17 children and 19 young adults during the latter period (1997–2000). Among the 16 patients (6 children and 10 young adults – mean age 24.5 years, range 9–46 years) who underwent a Ross operation for a stenotic bicuspid aortic valve, 4 children presented with...
aortic regurgitation post-PBD (after 1, 9, 9 and 10 years), one child with previous aortic valve replacement with prosthetic valve and aortic root enlargement (6 years before), 7/10 adults with calcifications of the aortic valve, and 2/10 adults with a previous aortic valve commissurotomy (after 7 and 23 years). In one young adult patient the pulmonary valve used for the autotransplantation into the aortic position was a bicuspid valve, first detected at the intraoperative transoesophageal echocardiography. In all these patients the Ross operation was performed as aortic root replacement (Fig. 1). The autotransplanted pulmonary valve was replaced in the first 6 patients of our series with a conventional pulmonary homograft, in the last 10 cases with a new biological valved conduit constituted from gluteraldehyde preserved bovine jugular vein (Contegra®).

The mean duration of cardiopulmonary bypass was 170 minutes (range 126–215 min), the mean duration of aortic X-clamping was 98 minutes (range 80–124 min). In all patients myocardial protection was accomplished with antegrade blood cardioplegia at the beginning, and continuous retrograde blood cardioplegia through the coronary sinus during the entire duration of the aortic X-clamping.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Patient characteristics.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Period</td>
<td>age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992–1996</td>
<td>&lt;16 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16–50 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997–2000</td>
<td>&lt;16 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16–50 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1**
A. Intraoperative photograph of the diseased native aortic valve in a child who underwent the Ross procedure at 9 years of age, after previous pulmonary balloon dilatation at 5 months of age. B. Intraoperative photograph of the same child during implantation of the pulmonary autograft (Ross operation) with the root replacement technique, with reimplantation of the coronary arteries.

**Results**

**Percutaneous balloon dilatation**

The first two neonates, in the initial period, undergoing PBD because of critical aortic stenosis, died. Autopsy showed a severe degree of left ventricular fibroelastosis in both. All the other children survived PBD, with 4/13 having undergone a Ross operation respectively after 1, 9, 9 and 10 years.

Although none died, PBD in adults was recently abandoned owing to unfavourable results: all 5 young adults who underwent PBD in the first period, required aortic valve replacement within the 2 years of follow-up.

**Aortic valve commissurotomy**

Good results were obtained in all 13 children, with no deaths and no need for re-operation. Aortic valve commissurotomy has never been performed in adults in our department.

**Aortic valve replacement**

In children, despite good results (no deaths, one re-operation after 6 years because the child outgrew the prosthesis), the aortic valve replacement has recently been abandoned in favour of the Ross operation. In young adults with an indication for aortic valve replacement (despite good results with no deaths and no re-operation), the Ross operation has increasingly been taken into consideration as an alternative surgical option.

**Ross operation**

In a mean follow-up of 16 months (2–40 months) all patients are alive, asymptomatic, without need for cardiac medication or anticoagulation, and have not required further interventions. Echocardiography prior to discharge showed absence of aortic valve regurgitation in 10/16 patients and a trivial or mild degree of aortic valve regurgitation in 6/16 patients (Fig. 2). At the last echocardiographic follow-up, those without re-
Discussion

In 1967 [1] Ross described the use of the patient’s own pulmonary valve to replace the diseased aortic and mitral valves. The new technique was considered too complex by the surgical community and was relegated to simple curiosity in the surgical armamentarium. In 1988, however, the reported long-term results of Ross’s experience [2] with the pulmonary autograft in the aortic position encouraged an increasing number of surgeons around the world to initiate a series of this procedure. Since then more and more Ross operations have been performed, showing not only its feasibility, but more importantly, its advantages [3, 4]:

- superior haemodynamic performance compared to any other aortic valve replacement method, because of the ideal “effective orifice area” of the native pulmonary valve;
- very low thrombogenicity, with no requirement for anticoagulant therapy;
- demonstrable growth potential in the paediatric age-group;
- expected durability;
- active lifestyle.

The number of Ross operations performed worldwide has increased significantly in the last decade, as shown in the International Registry for the Ross procedure [5], with more than 500 procedures per year within the last 5 years.

The recent literature reviewed the anatomical basis allowing for the performance of the Ross operation [6], the use of different surgical techniques [7–9] and the results obtained by units with initial experience with this surgical procedure [10–12] as well as by more established centers evaluating the Ross operation as valve re-replacement [13].

Indication for operation

A Ross operation with pulmonary autograft may be considered whenever replacement of the aortic valve is indicated. The expected durability, the very low thrombogenicity (no requirement for anticoagulant therapy) and the superior haemodynamic performance compared to any other aortic valve replacement method, would encourage the use of pulmonary autografts in young adults with an active lifestyle, women planning pregnancy and in patients with contraindications to treatment with warfarin [14, 15].

Rheumatic aortic valve disease, previously considered a contraindication for the Ross operation, has been treated with pulmonary autografts with good results in a large number of patients [16]. Some caution is required in young patients during the active phase of rheumatic disease and in the presence of severe concomitant mitral valve regurgitation.

Because of the demonstrable growth potential of pulmonary autografts implanted in the paediatric age-group [17–19], the indication for the Ross operation has been extended to early infancy for both simple and complex left ventricular outflow tract obstructions [20].

Contraindications for the Ross operation

- Primary abnormalities of the pulmonary valve.
  When there is significant regurgitation of the pulmonary valve in preoperative surface or transoesophageal echocardiography its use as an aortic valve replacement should be discouraged. The use of a competent bicuspid pulmonary valve is controversial. In our experience we have on one occasion used a bicuspid pulmonary valve, detected at intraoperative transoesophageal echocardiography, demon-
strating good functioning at the first post-operative echocardiographic control.

- Iatrogenic lesions of the pulmonary valve. Pulmonary valves injured during excision from the right ventricular outflow tract should not be utilized.
- Marfan syndrome. It is considered a contraindication for using pulmonary autografts because of the abnormal structural morphology of the pulmonary valve.
- Autoimmune tissue diseases. Like other connective tissue disorders, systemic lupus erythematosus and rheumatoid arthritis are contraindications for the use of pulmonary autografts.

Results

In large series [2–5, 11, 12, 16, 20] and in the International Registry for the Ross procedure [5] a relatively low early (3.5%) and late (<2%) mortality has been reported. These results compared with the conventional aortic valve replacement with mechanical or biological prostheses, favour the Ross operation, despite its technical complexity and its limited tolerance of errors. In our experience we had no early or late deaths in the initial group of 16 patients.

Re-operation

Ross reported 33 re-operations in 399 patients followed for 24 years [21], with 19 patients re-operated for technical problems with valve insertion early in the experience, when the surgical technique used the sub-coronary valve replacement method. With the current technique of aortic root replacement, adopted since the beginning of our experience, the technical problems should be a less prominent cause for re-operation.

Dilatation of the neo-aortic annulus, with subsequent aortic valve regurgitation, was the most frequent cause for re-operation [3–5, 7–9, 21]. This problem occurred more frequently when the Ross operation was performed for aortic valve regurgitation than in aortic valve stenosis, and technical modifications have been introduced to achieve external fixation of the new aortic annulus thereby preventing this problem [4, 5, 7, 8, 22]. Nevertheless the International Registry reports absence of this problem for autograft reoperation in 97% of patients at 5 years [5].

The second commonest cause for re-operation is the pulmonary homograft replacement, particularly in the paediatric population, where a small homograft is inserted initially. In the large population reported in the International Registry for the Ross procedure [5] the need for pulmonary homograft replacement is currently 1.5% at 5 years. In the last 10 pulmonary valve replacement patients of our experience, we used a new biological valved conduit constituted by a gluteraldehyde preserved bovine jugular vein (Contegra®). The preliminary results of this type of conduit are encouraging and have also been reported after implantation for complex congenital heart defects [23]. So far none of our patients have needed re-operation in a mean follow-up of 16 months.

Potential complications

Potential complications of a Ross operation include the following:

- injury to the pulmonary autograft during preparation/implantation; in this case the surgeon has to be ready to switch to the conventional aortic valve replacement;
- injury to the left coronary artery, because of its anatomical position; very accurate dissection is required, possibly by a surgeon with experience in complex congenital heart surgery;
- poor myocardial protection, because of the long aortic X-clamping time; in our experience this complication has been avoided by the routine use of continuous retrograde blood cardioplegia through the coronary sinus, therefore avoiding myocardial ischaemia during the entire duration of the aortic X-clamping;
- bleeding, because of adhesions in the case of previous surgery, extensive dissection and long duration of cardiopulmonary bypass; in our experience, thanks to very accurate dissection and haemostasis immediately after every anastomosis, together with the routine use of tissue glue and aprotinin, chest re-opening because of bleeding was never required.

Conclusions

The preliminary results of the recent introduction of the Ross operation in our unit, as a surgical option to treat patients with congenital aortic valve stenosis, have been encouraging, with no early or late mortality, no re-operation, and more than satisfactory aortic valve functioning.

As a result of this retrospective study, showing a positive impact of the Ross operation in the management of patients with aortic valve stenosis, the approach for treating children and young adults in our unit with congenital aortic valve stenosis is now as follows: (1) percutaneous balloon dilatation is the first choice in neonates and infants; (2) aortic valve commissurotomy is the first choice in children, and in neonates and infants after failed percutaneous balloon dilatation; (3) the Ross operation is increasingly used in children after failed percutaneous balloon dilatation, in young adults, even with calcified aortic valve, and particularly in patients with an active lifestyle or women anticipating pregnancy.
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